## KODIAK/ALEUTIANS SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

## PUBLIC MEETING

VOLUME II

Cold Bay Community Center Cold Bay, Alaska September 27, 2017 9:00 a.m.

## COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Della Trumble, Chair
Melissa Berns
Coral Chernoff
Patrick Holmes - (Telephonic)
Richard Koso - (Telephonic)
Samuel Rohrer
Thomas Schwantes
Antone Shelikoff
Rebecca Skinner

Regional Council Coordinator, Karen Deatherage

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Page 168 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 (Cold Bay, Alaska - 9/27/2017) 4 5 (On record) 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Good morning, everyone. This is day two of 8 our meetings, and most of our activity today is 9 reports, but we'll start by introductions. 10 11 And I quess, Rebecca, we'll start with 12 13 you this morning. 14 Thanks. Rebecca Skinner 15 MS. SKINNER: 16 from Kodiak. 17 18 MS. CHERNOFF: Coral Chernoff, Kodiak. 19 20 Melissa Berns, Old 21 MS. BERNS: 22 Harbor. 23 Sam Rohrer, Kodiak. 2.4 MR. ROHRER: 25 MR. SCHWANTES: Tom Schwantes, Kodiak. 26 2.7 MR. RISDAHL: Greg Risdahl, Izembek 2.8 29 Refuge manager. 30 MR. LIPKA: Colt Lipka, Alaska 31 Department of Fish and Game, Kodiak/Cold Bay. 32 33 34 MR. EVANS: Tom Evans, Fish and Wildlife Service, OSM. 35 36 37 MS. LaVINE: Robbin LaVine, 38 anthropologist, OSM. 39 40 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And 41 can we find out who -- oh, we also have -- go ahead. 42 43 MR. DOOLITTLE: Yeah, Tom Doolittle, 44 deputy assistant regional director for OSM. 45 46 MS. DEATHERAGE: Karen Deatherage, 47 council coordinator, OSM. 48 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Also in the room 49 50

```
Page 169
     we have Tina, our court recorder, and Antone ran to get
 1
     something, so he'll be back. So can maybe we have the
 2
 3
     people that are on line.
 4
 5
                     MR. KOSO: I'm here, Della. Rick.
 6
 7
                     MR. HOLMES: Pat.
8
9
                     MS. DAMBERG: Carol Damberg in
10
     Anchorage, regional subsistence coordinator.
11
                     MR. SVOBODA: Hi. This is Nate Svoboda
12
13
     in Kodiak with Fish and Game
14
15
                     MR. WITTEVEEN: Mark Witteveen also in
16
     Kodiak with Fish and Game.
17
18
                     MR. SHARP: Dan Sharp with BLM in
19
     Anchorage.
20
                     MS. FOX: Lisa Fox in Kodiak with Fish
21
     and Game.
22
23
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
                                           Good morning.
2.4
     This is Division of Subsistence in Anchorage.
25
26
                     MR. LAMP: Good morning, Tom Lamp,
2.7
     Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak.
2.8
29
30
                     MS. SOMBRERAS: Good morning, Taylor
     Sombreras (ph), the Aleut Corporation.
31
32
                     MR. WITTER: Good morning, this is Rob
33
     Witter with the U.S. Geological Survey in Anchorage.
34
35
36
                     MR. LIND: Good morning.
                                               This is
     Orville Lind with the Office of Subsistence Management.
37
38
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do we have
41
     anybody available from the Kodiak Refuge at this point.
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
     have a couple more people that walked in the room.
46
47
     Latisha from the Izembek Refuge, and?
48
49
                     MS.
                          MODLA: Kelly Modla. Kelly Modla.
50
```

US Fish and Wildlife Service.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And Kelly Modla, and that's from US Fish and Wildlife Service.

Okay. Again, everybody, welcome. And I just heard another beep. Maybe can you identify yourself.

MS. SPANGLER: This is Beth Spangler with the Alaska Native Science and Engineering Program.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Everyone, again welcome. For the most part this morning we're going to be doing reports. And with that, we will start with tribal governments. But before I do that, I just want to make note that if anybody would like to testify or have anything to say, there are blue forms at the back of the room. Feel free to fill them out and turn them into me, and we welcome any comments any time during the meeting.

2.4

2.7

 MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair. Madame Chair. If I may, I wanted to ask if we could add at some point in the agenda Colton Lipka. He's one of the proponents for the Maclees Lake FRMP project, and I think this is a great opportunity since he's here in the room, if he could speak about the proposal, and we would have a chance to ask questions. If we could add that somewhere on the agenda.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right. We can do that. Thank you.

 All right. Moving on, we will start with agency reports, item number 12 on the agenda. And you will have 15 minutes for your report. Are there any tribal governments on line that would like to make a report at this time.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any Native

(No comments)

 organizations.

1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. We will 2 move on to U.S.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: I was wondering. I think I heard Tom Lance on earlier for Sun'aq. It might be quite interesting for the Council to hear about the work that they've been doing, and particularly their new grant to look at the crayfish in Buskin Lake.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Tom, are you online and would you like to speak?

MR. LANCE: Thank you. Yeah, I was going to give a short update on that, if you would like that now or later.

2.7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: If you can, we'd appreciate it if you could do that now.

MR. LANCE: Okay. Yeah, we were one of three tribes in Alaska to be fortunate to win a tribal wildlife grant fro U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. It was for almost \$200,000 to continue the study of the signal crayfish, which is an invasive crayfish. To continue the study started by others, and we did a little bit of work last year trying to characterize the extent of the invas -- the species invasion in Buskin Lake, which is, as many of you know, is our most important subsistence water body here.

The work this time will include a more robust design to determine a population density. It will also include isotope analysis, looking at diet study -- diet of the crayfish. And then lastly we'll have a system of tracking them using radio tags, and that will be seasonal work as well.

So we're pretty excited about it.
We've got many partners involved, and I want to thank
OSM and everybody else. Fish and Game, Fish and
Wildlife Service, Seagrant Alaska, and the Kodiak Soil
and Water Conservation District. They've all chimed in
as being supporters of this. And, of course, the Fish
and Wildlife Service staff have been very helpful in
some of the technical aspects of putting the project

together and the study design and that sort of thing. And the Coast Guard as well since it's their land. They're the land manager, land owner of Buskin Lake watershed.

So that in a nutshell is what will take place over the next two and a half years.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Does any Council members have any comments or questions for Tom at this time.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank you for your report.

organizations.

Are there any other Native

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Moving on. Is there Staff available from the Kodiak Refuge online at this time and ready to give your report.

2.4

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Alaska Maritime

30 Refuge.

MR. WEBBER: Yes, good morning. This is Mark Webber, deputy refuge manager, Alaska Maritime. Am I on?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, we can hear you, Mark. Go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  WEBBER: Oh, okay. Great. Good morning, everybody. Sorry I didn't have any briefing materials for you.

What I wanted to share today was that we -- some of the activities of this year. We found it was an excellent year of working with our partners and communities in the Pribilof Islands and the Aleutians centering around events that happened during World War Two, because we're coming up on an important anniversary for the Battle of Attu Island. The 75th

anniversary will be -- event will be happening next year, and we're sort of already building up to that point. We had the opportunity to have a number of events already happening this year that I want to talk about and a lot of you participated or know about these already.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3 4

5

But a remembrance trip to Funter Bay on the -- in Southeast, which was an internment camp for people from the Pribilof Islands was made, and our refuge manager, Steve Delahanty who regrets not being able to be here with you today; he's on assignment in Washington, D.C., and our deputy refuge -- our deputy regional director, Karen Clark, attended that trip. don't know all the participants, but they enjoyed their meetings with Demitri Selamonof (ph) on that trip, and I think it was a very special event as I heard. wasn't there. However, everybody who participated to have a chance to get back there to personally witness the location and the site and remember the people who suffered through their times there, the difficulty that they experienced. So that was one of the first events that this year happened in May.

232425

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

There was an event on St. Paul Island with our director of our entire program, Refuges -- or, excuse me, our Fish and Wildlife Service, Jim Kurth, along with our regional director, Greg Siekaniec and our manager Steve Delahanty when a formal apology was delivered to the people on St. Paul Island from our agency for their -- things that happened during World War Two, that internment process that followed. Fish and Wildlife Service at that time was part of -at the Pribilof Islands before the war and during the war period. So that happened in June. And also following that was a visit by our ship to St. George, where a plaque was delivered to Mr. Merculief at the island there to commemorate what happened, and to remember what happened at that island as well, St. George Island, during the same time.

40 41 42

43 44

45

46 47

48

And then this year, later this year then, in August we made two trips using our ship the Tekla out to Kiska and Attu islands, and we were very pleased to have a chance to bring along community members, and particularly on first trip family members who survived -- or whose elders and parents and relatives were part of the people who were taken prisoner by the Japanese and removed from Attu Island.

You know, it's kind of a chance for them to get back to the village site on Attu, so we had quite a few families represented. Unfortunately none of the original survivors who were with us, could make the trip, but family members had a great visit, a chance to in some cases even meet some of -- each other, or have had long separations from each other. So I think they all enjoyed that.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14 15

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

And then following that trip, we made a planning trip with additional community members and media representatives with a second trip to Attu to explore the island and discuss the war events, and prepare for the -- some of the events we'd like to do in general for the whole battle next year. important outings to Attu Island.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8 29

And then also this year we continued to have our very successful participation in culture and science camps in the Pribilof Islands with Rural Seabird Youth Network at Sand Point and at Unalaska. And we were fortunate to have students, YCC youth come out to us from St. George. Leah and Colby Lekanoff, and Daniel Peters from Unalaska joined a student from Homer to be our YCC youth leaders and teachers, and so they participated in a variety of ways in the culture and science camps this summer, so we had lots of visits to our ship, the Tekla, when it called, and participation in the camps at their location. So very pleased about all that.

30 31 32

33

34

35 36

37

On the wildlife biology side, we managed all of our -- getting all of our field camps out this summer, the usual ones to Buldir Island, to Iktak Island, and out to the Pribilofs, Cape Lisburne, the Barren Islands. We did not attend camp at St. Lazaria Island in Southeast near Sitka this year due to a shortage of funding.

38 39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

Some of you may have heard that there's been over the last number of years quite a few instances of seabirds dying, being found ashore at various -- by community members and scientists working all throughout the Aleutians and the Bering Sea areas, varieties of species, varieties of events. them that have been investigated, including the most recent one with quite a few puffins dying, having been attibuted to starvation in those individual birds. We're not sure of the driver for that. We do see ups

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 175

and downs in populations, but particularly the large murre event of several years ago was concern about various kinds of marine toxins for example being responsible or involved. They have been pretty much ruled out to this point with no evidence showing up that it is toxins. And all the birds that have been examined by veterinarians and pathologists, all the findings have come back as starvation, so some kind of interruption in the availability of food that they are specialized to get and dive for seems to have happened in pulses in different locations and different times around our operating area for seabird monitoring. And that's the best information we currently have on that situation. I know that's been a question we've been asked a number of times by different people in different communities and other places around Alaska about these seabird die-off events. They've certainly made the news. They've been pretty well covered in the media from time to time, because particularly with the murr event, murrs were flying all over Alaska, way into the Interior, showing up in strange places like Fairbanks, and lakes and rivers way up in the Interior, seemingly on search for -- desperate search for food in the case of those birds several years ago.

25 26 27

2.8

Those are some of the highlights that I wanted to bring up and mention for you. And I'm certainly happy to answer any questions for the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, if I can.

29 30 31

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Does anybody have any questions.

32 33 34

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, this is Pat.

35 36

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

37 38

MR. WEBBER: Good morning, Pat.

39 40

41

42

43

MR. HOLMES: Yeah. Good morning, Mark. I -- when I was with the Department, I made several trips out in the Aleutians and also worked out at Shemya in '63 and '65. And there was a couple of things I'd like to ask you.

44 45 46

47

48

When you have your crew out at Kiska, I've noticed in different publications the vessel that is sunk right off of the main sandstone cliff in Kiska Harbor, that the Japanese name is -- I've heard at

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

Page 176

least two and occasionally a third different Japanese name for the boat. It would be very interesting to have that identified for sure. And when I was there in '82 things were in really halfway decent shape, so we were able to check things out. And I noted that that vessel was an English steamer, because the Japanese name was superimposed on the stern. And I think that connection would be an interesting one that I have never seen discussed in any of the literature on Kiska. And I also noted that a couple of the artillery pieces on the main part of Kiska Island were not Japanese; they were English. And I don't know, but my speculation is that possibly they may have been seized in Singapore, so that's a connection with Kiska that I don't -- I've never really found in any of the literature, and that would be something that would be I think quite interesting to add into your whole program.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

MR. WEBBER: Yeah, those are great points. I am not really well versed in the history. I can find out. There are people that are, and we also work in partnership with the National Park Service on some of the -- there's quite a bit of war material and stuff on the bottom. I'm not sure what all is out there, but vessels were sunk and -- during some of the U.S. efforts to bomb the island prior to the retaking So I can find out certainly more about the history of that vessel. I'm sure folks know about that. I -- I agree with you, I'm fascinated as well. At least I've been to both Kiska and Little Kiska and I have seen some of the coastal artillery defense pieces out there, and I did hear -- and I'll also try and confirm this for you, that they are in fact English. A number of the larger guns are English. The eight-inch coastal defense guns. And I think they go way back. think they were actually purchased as I recall hearing by Japan before the war from England. They might go back into the late 1800s in some cases in terms of their manufacture. But there are people who study that. They have serial numbers and histories and that is known.

41 42 43

44

45

46 47

48

There's a specialist whose name I'm just blanking on from Australia who's -- his whole study, specialty of study is Japanese artillery basically from the war. And he did presentations in Alaska some years ago when I first got here in about five or six or seven years ago I believe. And we have contact with him, and if you get me your contact, your

email and such, I'll be happy to get you more material on both the ship and the guns.

> 6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, that would be really splendid. Karen can give you that with my permission. No problem, because I really would like to learn more about that, and particularly that Kiska Island case there would certainly deserve some good video footage for folks, because you can just stand there on the hill and see where the Japanese were loading that antiaircraft gun when they evacuated, and that's just so striking, and the whole island and the -- anyway, but, please, I would like to talk with you some more. I think Karen even has my cell number, but I'd really like to know what's happening there, because I was working on a presentation on Attu, and a fellow that was here with the Corps of Engineers during the war for our local pioneers group.

18 19 20

21

22

23

2.4

And another connection for you folks would be the Kodiak Military History Museum and Joe Stevens, because there's some interesting artifacts and they have a lot of connections and items and things from out on the Aleutians from before it became, you know, a national monument.

25 26 27

So anyway, great report.

28 29

MR. WEBBER: Great.

30

MR. HOLMES: Thank you so much.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

49 50

MR. WEBBER: Thank you. And thank you for the suggestions. We have also put together what's called kind of nowadays a story map of the war. just gone live. I'll get this link sent to you so everyone can check it out. It's got a lot of history both about the war and about the impact to Aleut people throughout the Aleutians from the war. So we're really gearing up a lot to -- and rediscovering the same history as you're talking about, and have many people sort of digging in. We've got a number of seasonal people helping us get ourselves ready to be -- there is such a huge amount of history out there that unfortunately mostly as biologists we don't spend enough time with. And this has been a great reminder for our Refuge about how much has happened through history and with people as well as the wildlife out in the area of this wildlife refuge. So I look forward to

Page 178

any inputs from you or any members about this history, and we'll get you the story map and welcome your comments about it and how we've started to try to cover some of these pretty amazing and very complex events that happened that kind of lead up to the war and during the war itself is where our focus is now, just because of the upcoming anniversary.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

1

2

3 4

5

6

MR. HOLMES: And I'd really like to commend you folks for your work in acknowledging what happened to the Onagan people out in the Aleutians and the Pribs, and through the years having met and talked and listened to folks from Attu and from the other villages of the impact of that would just -- when I listened to the elders, it would bring tears to my eyes, so I'll be quiet and -- but thank you for doing what you do.

17 18 19

MR. WEBBER: Thank you, sir.

20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Mark. And just maybe a comment on the Funter Bay. I had a niece that attended the activities there. She's a student with Alaska -- UAA in Southeast. But she had attended, and for her it was very emotional, because my mother had -- actually she was six years old when they were removed from St. Paul and on to Funter Bay. And the stories that I've heard from my aunts and, you know, it was really very traumatic. And what I've heard from people that did attend from the Pribilofs and other communities basically said that it was well -- the ceremonies were well done and it was a very emotional experience for them. And I saw a lot of the documentation and the flyers that they had. So thank you for that.

35 36 37

And I think does anybody else have any other comments.

38 39 40

(No comments)

41 42

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank

you, Mark.

43 44

MR. WEBBER: Thank you for having us

46 participate.

47 48

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do we have anybody on line from the Kodiak Refuge.

```
(No comments)
 1
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right.
 4
     10:00 o'clock we were going to do the U.S.G.S. ANSEP.
 5
     He was going to be available then. So I guess what --
 6
     we can move on to -- oh, I'm sorry. Karen.
 7
8
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                      Thank you, Madame
     Chair.
 9
10
                     MR. WITTER:
                                   Madame Chair.
11
12
13
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: I believe Rob is on
     the phone now, and he -- if you give me a couple
14
     minutes to set up a PowerPoint, he might be available
15
16
     to do that ANSEP presentation now if you wish.
17
     you.
18
19
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. Rob, are you
20
     available?
21
22
                     MR. WITTER: Yes, I am.
23
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
2.4
                                                   We'll
25
     give you a few minutes.....
26
                     MR. WITTER: I am available.
2.7
2.8
     happy to speak now.
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
                                                   Karen is
     setting that up, and we'll let you know when we're
31
     ready. Thank you.
32
33
                     I think while we're setting up there
34
     were about four or five beeps after we started the
35
36
     meeting. Maybe you can let us know who came on line.
37
                     MS. PETERSON: This is Chris Peterson
38
     from Alaska Fish and Game in King Salmon.
39
40
41
                     MR. PYLE: Good morning. This is Bill
     Pyle with Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge.
42
43
44
                     MR. WEBBER: This is Mark Webber,
45
     Alaska Maritime. I was a late arriver. I was one of
     the beeps.
46
47
48
                     DR. REAM: Good morning.
                                               This is
49
     Joshua Ream, anthropologist with the Office of
50
```

Subsistence Management.

1 2 3

MS. BRUMMER: This is Christine Brummer, Pathways anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.

5 6 7

8

9

10

4

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: For those of you who came on late, at this time we're setting up for the U.S.G.S. ANSEP report. And -- or ANSEP report, yeah. And then we'll follow that with the Kodiak Refuge report.

11 12 13

## (Pause)

14 15

16

17

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair. ready for Rob to go ahead and begin his presentation. Thank you.

18 19

MR. WITTER: Good morning. I'm ready

20 21

when you are.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rob.

22 23 2.4

25

26

2.7

MR. WITTER: Okay. Thank you. you for the opportunity to address the Council this morning. I have a series of slides and Karen's agreed to advance them as I speak. And can everyone hear me okay?

2.8 29 30

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, we can hear you fine. Go ahead.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

MR. WITTER: Okay. My name is Rob I'm an earthquake and tsunami geologist with Witter. the U.S. Geological Survey in Anchorage. And my collaborators listed on the first slide are leaders in earth science education and STEM. And they're from the Anchorage School District, Central Washington University, the University of Portland, and Alaska Native Science and Engineering Program, STEM. Beth Spangler actually on the call right now.

41 42 43

44

45

46

So what I'd like to share with you today is a new geoharzards education program funded by the National Science Foundation that we call EarthScope ANGLE. And ANGLE stands for Alaska Native Geoscience Learning Experience.

47 48 49

Okay.

Please go to the next slide.

1 2 3

 So the next slide's a map of the North Pacific, and it shows the maximum sea surface amplitudes predicted for a giant tsunami. This tsunami would be something generated by a magnitude greater than 9 earthquake in the Aleutians. Now, as many of you know, along Alaska's southern coast, the collision of the Pacific and North American plates generates earthquakes and tsunamis that threaten the safety, security, and economic well-being of Alaska as well as communities around the Pacific Rim. U.S.G.S. research that I lead is aimed at finding clues about the magnitudes and the sizes of these past earthquakes, the heights of tsunamis that they generated and how often they occur.

2.4

2.7

Now recently there's been concern about the impacts of large tsunamis generated in this part of Alaska in L.A. and Hawaii in particular. And the impacts to these regions, and Hawaii, like is shown in the Hawaii Tribune Herald article, is that they could be devastating, but, however, so could the impacts to rural communities in Alaska, the fishing fleet, and the subsistence resources that you all depend on. And we want to help Alaskans build resilience to these hazards that include earthquakes, tsunamis and volcanic eruptions.

Okay. Next slide.

The reason I'm sharing this project with you is to seek the input of the Council. We would like your help to develop the most effective geoharzards education program for Native Alaskan students, their teachers, and other educators across the state. And the primary aim of EarthScope ANGLE is to increase Alaskan resilience to geoharzards through education and by building an action-oriented learning community.

So now to slide 4.

This slide addresses the question what is EarthScope? EarthScope is a National Science Foundation facility, and it's designed to explore the structure and the evolution of the North American continent, and to study plate tectonic processes that cause hazardous earthquakes, tsunamis, and volcanic

eruptions. So this facility of EarthScope has three main observatories.

Go to the next slide, which is slide 5.

So the three observatories include the transportable U.S. array, the plate boundary observatory, and the San Andreas Fault observatory at depth. And I'll just briefly explain these.

The U.S. array is a transportable network or grid of seismometers. And this was initially deployed along the west coast of the Lower 48 in 2004 and 2005. And since then it has marched across the Lower 48 as movable or a transportable grid. And now it's in Alaska. The deployment of new EarthScope seismic stations in Alaska began in 2014 and now our network includes around 275 stations. In Alaska the array consists of a grid, it's kind of fuzzy in that lower left of the diagram here, but the grid in Alaska consists of stations spaced about 85 kilometers apart covering most of the state and parts of neighboring Canada.

2.7

Now, the plate boundary observatory, that's in the lower left of the slide here, this is an observatory that consists — it uses satellites to measure 3-D motions of the surface of the earth caused by plate tectonic motions, volcanoes, and changes in hydrology. The PBO network includes more than 1200 continuous GPS instruments. Just like a hand-held instrument, but these can — are much more accurate and very precise. An example of what they look like is in the photos that passed — it kind of looks like a gumdrop with toothpicks for legs. Okay. So in near real time these instruments can inform scientists about potential hazards related to earthquakes, tsunamis, and on-going volcanic eruptions.

Finally, the San Andreas fault observatory at depth was a 3.1 kilometer deep borehole, and it was drilled through the San Andreas fault in California halfway between San Francisco and L.A. And this drill hole offered the first opportunity for scientists to study the rock and fluids in an active fault zone in the area where earthquakes nucleate.

Next slide.

So EarthScope's the largest geophysics initiative ever in the U.S., and its deployment will span more than 15 years, and now it's in Alaska, so we want to take advantage of that opportunity to educate the public, especially people who have a lot of risk. Another way to think about EarthScope is that it's like aiming the Hubble telescope into the earth. Our project, ANGLE, aims to translate EarthScope science into educational lessons and resources to help Alaskan increase resilience to these hazards that we are faced with.

So the goals of ANGLE are to synthesize EarthScope educational resources and place them in Alaskan contexts. We want to increase participant knowledge of Alaskan geoharzards and EarthScope, the program, with particular emphasis on learning geoscience, understanding the risks, and taking action to make our communities more resilient, and also to develop an Alaska geoharzards learning community, which is really a network of educators and students and stakeholders so that we become more prepared and increase community resilience again.

Okay. Karen, next slide. We should be on slide 8 now.

2.8

And this is to talk about experience teaching Native students. We're collaborating with the Alaska Native Science and Engineering Program who is a lead investigator on this project, and they have great experience teaching Native students. We're going to leverage their middle school academy program. middle school academy is a two-week experience designed to promote science, technology, engineering and math education for careers. And the middle school academy's directed towards Native Alaskan students. collaboration uses EarthScope science to engage students in a variety of hands-on activities at the middle school academy that includes testing bridges and other structures on an earthquake simulation table like the photograph on the lower right, and designing tsunami evacuation buildings, among other activities.

Okay. Next slide.

We're working on a proven approach to translating earth science to middle schools an middle school students. ANGLE is built on a proven approach

Page 184

developed during other successful EarthScope education projects in the west coast of the Lower 48. And, for example, this website shown on this slide highlights -- or is a portal to the CEETEP project. The CEETEP project stands for Cascadia EarthScope Earthquake and Tsunami Education Program. And this is a successful education program in the Pacific Northwest which we gained from by their experience and the educational resources that were developed for the geoharzards in the Pacific Northwest that are very similar to Alaska. Like Alaska, the Pacific Northwest has the Cascadia subduction zone and along the Aleutians and Kodiak we have the Aleutian Alaska subduction zone.

2.4

2.7

CEETEP, the program, brought together teachers, park museum interpreters, and emergency managers in professional development workshop and helped forge community collaborations between different types of educators. There's a rich body of teaching resources that's already available that we plan to adapt for the Alaska setting. And the experiences gained by CEETEP and these other programs in the Lower 48 include working with emergency managers and teachers in the Makah, Quileute, and Quinault Nations in Washington. ANGLE aims to take the lessons learned from these programs and develop an effective educational program designed for Alaska students and educators.

Okay. Next slide.

This talks about our partners. We have many partners. They're very supportive. And this includes the Alaska Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, the Alaska Earthquake Center in Fairbanks at UAF, the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, and many others that are listed here, including state, federal agencies and private institutions.

We recognize there will be many challenges, including geographic and cultural ones. And this is why ANGLE hinges on a community-based learning network that links the Anchorage School District, the smaller schools around the state as well as informal educators in museums and other institutions that need to know geoharzards as well as emergency managers. We also have specifically developed collaborations and partnerships with programs that

Page 185

support Native Alaskans like the ANSEP program, the ANTHC, and we are seeking input and feedback from you, the Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Advisory Council.

Next slide. Okay.

2.4

We're almost to the end here, but this really talks about the guts of what we propose to do. In this conceptual diagram it illustrates how ANGLE will work, okay? So if you start on the left, the whole program starts with partners and themes that bind us together. The themes include EarthScope science, community resilience, and Native knowledge. Our partners guided by these binding themes will help us develop educational workshops for teachers, park and museum educators, and emergency managers. And these workshops will translate EarthScope scientific discovery into practical knowledge that will build resilience to geoharzards.

So the bubble, the middle bubble in this diagram represents the educator workshops. And what we'd like to do is train teachers in these workshops that will then share lessons and educational materials with students at the ANSEP middle school academy, which is the other white bubble.

The ultimate goal is to use this to bring together residents from across the state and visitors to form a statewide geoharzards learning community to facilitate great resilience in Alaska.

Okay. Next slide.

 This is the time line. The project spans three years from 2017 to 2020. Most of the first year involves planning and development, and most important is the rural stakeholder meeting which we hope will be in Kodiak in February. And this is where we want to get input and feedback from you to develop effective educational resources. The program includes three educator workshops held each year in Anchorage, and two summers of teaching at ANSEP middle school academy, teaching their students with teachers trained in the workshop. The program also will involve ongoing assessments, analyses, evaluations to measure attitude and preparedness, and finally products will include reports and a publication at the end.

Page 186

All right. So just to sum it up here, EarthScope ANGLE is designed to capitalize on the presence of EarthScope instrumentation across Alaska. And this is over 200 seismic instruments and a network of GPS instruments that spans the west coast of the U.S. And it's a great opportunity to better understand how plate tectonics is driving the earthquake, tsunami and volcano hazards in our state. The primary aim is to increase Alaskan resilience to these geoharzards through education and building an action-oriented learning community.

2.4

So we would like to invite your participation. First, the -- so go to the next slide. This is -- I've got just two more slides here. We seek your input to help us build the best possible geoharzards education program for your children and their teachers. We would like to announce the opportunity for students from rural communities in Alaska to participate in ANSEP middle school academies, and we invite you to play an advisory role by participating in the ANGLE stakeholder meeting which we think may occur around or after February 22nd following your next 2018 winter RAC meeting. I understand that's something that may be decided in this meeting today.

So the purpose for the stakeholder meeting would be to get your input and feedback on questions like those shown in the next slide, and this is my final slide. We seek your feedback on questions like how are residents already building resilience to geoharzards in their community? What oral histories about geoharzards you have that you can share with us? Are there barriers that might complicate sharing science with rural communities? And, finally, how can we translate science most effectively to help communities -- help your communities strengthen their resilience to geoharzards?

Thanks for the opportunity to address the council, and I'm happy to answer questions, but I'm hoping this will initiate a longer-term discussion and dialogue between us and all the stakeholders out in the Kodiak/Aleutians area.

Thanks.

this time.

Melissa.

MS. BERNS: Hi, Rob. Melissa Berns from Old Harbor. Thank you for your presentation.

 I know that we have one of the ENAFCO sites on our Native corporation land, and it was always — you know, we know it's up there, but we don't what it's doing. So this is great information and something what would be wonderful to share with our community. And I know that several of our youth go through the ANSEP program, and it's really helped them to grow, and a lot of them are very much interested in science and engineering because of their involvement with that program.

2.4

2.7

 Our tribe currently has a FEMA grant, and it's looking at documenting oral history of the --looking at the 1964 earthquake and tsunami, and then also looking at historically events that had happened predocumentation, so that we can better prepare ourselves for an event in the future. And so that's something that the tribe is actively working on right now. And if you don't mind, I'm going to share your information with Jim Ceduna (ph) who is actually managing that grant for our tribe, because this is information that I know that he would be very interested in.

MR. WITTER: Melissa, that's great news. And, yes, please do share this far and wide. That's the purpose for addressing the Council today. We -- this is mainly marketing for what we hope is the initiation of building this network of education across the state.

And, you know, you brought up an important point. The reason why we wanted to focus on the Aleutians and Kodiak is that because in some of these villages the EarthScope instruments are deployed very near by. And this gives us a great opportunity to take advantage of that co-location of people and risk and hazards and the science that we use to understand it better.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca.

MR. WITTER: And can the Council comment on the meeting, our proposal to have a meeting or a workshop in Kodiak after the next RAC?

3 4 5

6 7

1

2

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, we were looking at that, and we will definitely take that into consideration. We have another Council member that would like to speak.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

MS. SKINNER: This is Rebecca Skinner. In addition to being on the RAC, I'm on the Kodiak Borough Assembly. And one of the things that we covered at one of our meetings within the last couple of months was emergency preparedness. So letting community members know what, in this case, the municipality, the borough, and the City of Kodiak would be able to provide in an emergency situation. So if there were another earthquake or tsunami. And what individuals in the community should really expect to provide for themselves or take care of themselves.

20 21 22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

And I did like the way your presentation tied the science part to, okay, we have the science, but what do you do with it and how does it trickle down to benefit actual people in the community. So I think that I see a lot of opportunity here in, you know, increasing the awareness of science, the awareness of ANSEP, the importance of it, and data information that can come through science. But then taking it the next step to really kind of teach the kids, well, how does this relate to every day life and how can it be beneficial. I mean, I think that this -when you talk about community resilience, to me that means if something -- if there is an earthquake or a tsunamis in a community, what do we need to do? do we need to have in place to be ready to move forward from that, you know, the day of, the day after? kinds of -- you know, we need to have fresh water available, we need to understand where we're going to get food. If we don't have power, what's the plan for that. So I do think there's a lot of opportunity for outreach in that area, and to -- I mean, I would expand the network you're looking at to include municipalities, because in Kodiak the city and the borough, for example, do play a key role in emergency response.

46 47 48

Thank you.

KODIAK-ALEUTIANS RAC 9/27/2017 KODIAK-ALEUTIANS SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING Page 189

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. 1 2

3 MR. WITTER: Thank you for that 4 excellent comment. What was your name again?

MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner.

> MR. WITTER: Thank you, Rebecca.

8 9

10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat or Rick, do you have any comments. 11

12

5 6

7

MR. KOSO: No, I don't have a thing.

13 14 15

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

16 17

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think this was a great program. Becky is certainly going to be your contact to move this in a direction to the municipalities. If you might be able to send me, and again check with Karen, by email, I could get you some other good contacts that would help. And I'd be willing to go and do an early pitch to the Kodiak School Board and a couple other connections for you is the Kodiak Historical Society. I was on their board for more than 20 years. And they have a collection of oral histories and things. They have an ongoing oral history program. And also one incredible resource we have in Kodiak is a retired geomorph (ph) professor, Dr. Carver, and he was one of the first people to define major tsunami events on the west coast, and he's retired in Kodiak, and getting to the end of the line, but he's a spunky guy with a tremendous amount of knowledge and has done work out in the Aleutians as well. And so if you could spot me something electronic in a summary, why, I would boost those things to those three groups.

39 40 41

MR. WITTER: Thank you very much.

42 43

Karen, will you please give me the gentleman's contact information?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. maybe, Karen, just to add to that, if you can get this PowerPoint presentation to Karen, and maybe she can forward that to all the Council members. And I think

49 50

that -- this is Della. And just a question. Was the boat that was this summer doing the work -- was a boat out this summer doing some work I know around King Cove and Sand Point on the ocean -- looking at the ocean floor? Is that part of this?

5 6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

MR. WITTER: Not exactly. I'm sure there was a boat out there, but it's not something that was -- that we're directly involved with. However, there's a huge push in new geoscience in Alaska that even goes beyond EarthScope. And over the last few years they've been installing seismometers across the state, every 85 kilometers in spacing. They've established new GPS instruments like the one Melissa described in her community. And then offshore there's a new program that's just been approved. I'm not sure it's been funded yet, but they plan to put seismometer and pressure sensors across the sea floor to date -really scientists have been completely blind offshore. We really don't know how the earth moves, how the seafloor moves between earthquakes in Alaska. But I suspect, yes, something must be going on in preparation for the deployment of some offshore instrumentation.

23 24 25

26

27

2.8

29

30

31

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank you. And I very much appreciate your report, and I think any way we can be involved in helping you with contacts, let us know. And if Karen gets that PowerPoint to us, and if you have any questions or would like any more resources, send that to us as part of your PowerPoint, and we can definitely get that to you.

32 33 34

MR. WITTER: Thank you, Della.

35 36 37

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank

you.

38 39

At this time I guess we will move to Kodiak Refuge.

40 41 42

43 44

45

46

MR. PYLE: Good morning. This is Bill Pyle with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge. I serve as the subsistence wildlife -- or the supervisor of wildlife biologists, although subsistence overview is certainly one of my....

47 48

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Bill, can you

move closer to the microphone or phone.

1 2 3

MR. PYLE: Sure can. Is this any

better?

4 5 6

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah. And I've been asked to tell you to speak slow.

7 8 9

10

11

12

MR. PYLE: Okay. Can do. I will be covering the report submitted to the Council by Kodiak Refuge. And the first topic I'll be doing is sequentially starting on the first page, talking about fisheries.

13 14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

Reports we received indicated that it was a good year for customary and traditional salmon fishing. The numbers are promising a good return of salmon in many areas. For example, the (indiscernible -- beep on phone) area. One portion will be Karluk, Ayakulik (ph) River as well as in the Olga Bay vicinity. Particularly notable was the high return of salmon of early run sockeye salmon to the upper station which was the highest in 10 years. And, by the way, the standards (ph) that I mention on salmon numbers is provided by the Department of Fish and Game. upper station run was certainly quite exceptional this year. That's good news. And then in the northern area returns were also strong for sockeye salmon. that provided the basis for subsistence use for the Alitnik and Buskin stock. And reports we've received recently indicate that it should continue to some extent for Karluk, we're continuing to harvest late on sockeye, and those in the vicinity of Kodiak are harvesting coho salmon.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40 The one kind of dim spot would be regarding Chinook salmon, and neither the larger stock in the Karluk, in the Ayakulik met their lower escapement goal. Close, but not quite. And that's been more or less the trend for the, you know, recent past.

41 42 43

44

45

46 47

48

Moving on to the salmon monitoring that's performed by the Refuge, we have continued for a third year to monitor sockeye salmon escapement in Akulura Creek, and essentially using the same methods we've applied for the last few years. And so we did collect and it's about to end for 2017, and then I believe over the last two years we have enumerated,

estimated 30 to -- 31 to 33,000 sockeye salmon with a confidence interval of about 7 to 8,000. And we intend to continue that project in the foreseeable future to give us a handle on the variation in returns that serve as the basis for discussing of restoration.

Moving from fisheries to wildlife, regarding brown bear, in collaboration with the Department of Fish and Game we annually survey brown bear in late May to monitor trends in population sizes over the Kodiak Island. In terms of the recent warm years, because we were unable to complete the survey, we did not have suitable conditions. However, int 2017 we pulled it off. And we conducted the survey in a 122 square mile area down in southwest Kodiak Island, and within that area we counted 50 bears which equates to a density of about 190 bears per 1,000 kilometers square. And so this area is a very important area for brown bear, and would serve as a baseline. We don't have any trend information for this area, but with that estimated kind of at the low end of average for the -with primary areas, regional areas that we count. So we expect to follow up in that area in the future.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{We}}$$  completed our air stream surveys this summer and we presented the councils the next report.

Regarding research, a technical report entitled streamalogical (ph) synchronization, which in rough terms it's interactions between Kodiak brown bears and salmon, was published in the Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences in late August. The Refuge sponsored that research. It was conducted in partnership with the University of Montana and other organizations.

And then we also had some ongoing locally based research. In April we concluded a two-year pilot study of methods that could be applied to monitor the berry supply important to brown bears. We focused on four berry species here. They are common and widely distributed, and of those there were two that we really have, salmonberry and elderberry. And based on the feedback that we received from folks that we consulted with on the outcome of the study, we put out a report and a report in April, we decided to immediately implement the methods and the operational monitoring context. And the preliminary reports of

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

Page 193

2017 monitoring indicated a low supply of elderberries, salmonberries, and blueberries. Those were one of their top choice then. And I might mention that the bulk of our work in my discussions pertains to Kodiak Island ranging from Red Lake, Pilot Lake, Uganik Lake, and then the Kodiak road system. Apparent cultural factors of salmonberry and blueberry patches included the lower average temperatures between December and March coupled with normal snow cover. In elderberries the primary current factor is there are no sizable population of Sitka blacktail deer which could act at interior and mountains and due to the minimal snow pack.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

11

12

Different topic. Community bear dedication on August 19th, 2017. The Refuge hosted a community celebration and unveiling ceremonies of a new The statue is there on the east side of brown statue. the Refuge visitor certain in front of the ferry terminal. And typical for residents the Kodiak brown bear represents the work history of human connections to wildlife. And for the past few years a community team led by the Kodiak Brown Bear Club has worked to bring the symbol to downtown Kodiak at the terminal public sign and number 1 icon. Included is -- this is several projects, reflected the spirit of collaboration for conservation management of bears and their habitat, and continues the legacy of much loved bear statues that honors Charles Matson, the old bear guide and champion of the Emerald Isle.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

As mentioned by in the Council member reports consistent of what we received through reports from agency biologists, local residents and hunting guides regarding significant over-winter deer mortality observed in western and northern Kodiak Island, and which likely will have consequences in terms of reduced availability of deer for hunters in this region in 2017.

39 40 41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

Regarding mountain goat, the Department and Refuge collaborated on monitoring, and so we were able to get most of the island surveyed this year. The Refuge passed that information on to the Department. The Refuge focused on the south end, and Goat Management Unit 480. We counted 1950 goats, perhaps 90 percent of the goats within that large management unit. The Department will make results available his fall. And there's one thing to be included in discussions of

goats on including the Kodiak Advisory Council, because there's -- it's likely that there are some proposals that will be submitted for consideration of regulation change to the Board of Game. And so it's likely that the -- it's probable that the advisory council will convene a subcommittee to review and discuss and potentially take action on proposals, so that is of note.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

> Regarding migratory birds, seabird colonies surveys were conducted by our Staff this year for the first time since 2008 to 2010. It's a two-year project, this year focused on Kodiak Island. Next year will be Afognak Island. 150 of 180 pounds of iglets (ph), a total of 121,000 birds was counted, and that was back in June. When they met in August 75 regions is to check for seaweed. And we'll present the results in more detail to the Council this winter, but in general as far productivity goes, it's -- it was complete (ph) this year pretty much across the board for Kodiak were some of the surface nester versus, you know, gulls versus the burrowers such as puffins. And that was noted across most of the survey areas. So this is a valuable record. We conduct these surveys periodically and so were two other surveys, and it's important to keep track of these colonies. In part they do provide some important customary and traditional use for egg gathering in the spring.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

We conducted some research on the Aleutian and arctic tern, and this is an ongoing Department project. With respect to the Refuge, there is seven flights. (Indiscernible) were resident, only eight locations were confirmed for nesting. And so it's a special (ph) of tern species have to do with the fact that in particular (indiscernible) been experiencing declines with populations concern associated with that, and in the Aleutian tern where they observed the information.

39 40 41

42

43 44

45

Regarding migratory bird subsistence levels, we often do play the role in the ongoing discussion regarding a change in harvest regulation, pertaining to emperor geese as well as preparation of outreach materials in cooperation with Sun'aq tribe and other information that's posted on our website.

46 47 48

And I'd also note that our law enforcement staff has done a total of 252 hours patrol.

We need them in the spring. No hunters were encountered. There was three agents, so that accounts for the large number of hours. No hunters were encountered; however, we did receive a report of two hunters that went out and getting to go and were unsuccessful.

6 7 8

9

1

2

3 4

5

So on other news, the Refuge received a small grant to support the Afognak, Umiak and Ontiokchik (ph) camps for a second year, some fuel for camp travel, of course, camp supplies and equipment.

11 12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

10

And in conclusion we want to recruit a locally-based refuge information technician or a subsistence biologist this fall. We've continued our outreach efforts, and any one more than welcome. Candidates please contact Refuge Manager Mike Brady. might mention that in the history of federal subsistence at Kodiak Refuge as far as Refuge staffing, we started with a subsistence biologist with the inception of the subsistence program back in the early 90s, and then we added an RIT back in 2001 when that program -- well, when our RITs became an integral component of the program. And the subsistence biologist originally was performing the functions of outreach and I know there are some of the Council members know that the long-time person in that role, Robert Soval (ph), did one capable job, so we're looking around and we're checking things out, and hope to move on that, one of those positions here this fall.

30 31 32

Thank you very much, and I'd be glad to take any questions.

33 34 35

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Does any Council members have any questions.

36 37 38

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

39 40

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat.

41 42 43

44

45

46 47 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, the ultimate report. I really think that the Refuge has done a lot in the recent years on their outreach and working with tribes and with the community and working with the Fish and Game A.C., and then the Fish and Game's A.C. study groups on deer and goats, and folks are doing a pretty jolly great program in outreach.

Page 196

I would note that on the RIT and subsistence biologist that we used to have both, and on previous communications from our Council to the Federal Board we've commented on the need to have that subsistence biologist back and the RIT, and I think I'll suggest when we do our letter to the Board that those two topics be included in our things of interest.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

1

2

3

4 5

6

I think your bear berry research, it really could track Alacandra's (ph) work back in the 70s. Sarah pointed out that berries were as important or more important sometimes than the salmon returns. And so I think that's commendable. I would like to ask you to speculate, because since I first hit Kodiak in '63 I've watched cubs, the bears and probably the bear population my gut estimate has probably increased three times, so gradually moving from having one cub per sow to two and then recently three, and all of that in my mind tracks along with the warming winter temperatures with the exception of last winter, and also, you know, the increase in berry production. And so I hope you're going to keep an eye on things there, because I'm kind of worried about this fall bear and human interactions particularly because of the loss of the berries. And I'm also a bit concerned on the potential survival on the cubs from this year, because the mom's fattening up before they go in the den. That may well be a big part of it.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

So anyway, with those points of caution and also a commendation for your work. I know myself I'd really like to see a good RIT back with experience at Kodiak, and also get your position back that your headquarters transferred out up to Anchorage. I'd like to see you folks get back and full staffed again. So keep up the good work.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

MR. PYLE: Thank you, Mr. Holmes. And one comment regarding what you said about expectation about some potential implications of the berry crop failure on bear productivity, that's consistent with our expectation. It's a pattern that we've seen before, and hopefully with this type of annual monitoring where both berry supply and the bear cub activity we're able to actually connect the dots more effectively. And this is the kind of year that in fact it is our expectation that by the time we get out and look at the initial survival next summer when we're doing our bear stream surveys that, you know, we're

detecting fewer bears. And no big deal if it's just one year, other than the implications for as you mentioned bear/human safety here particularly this fall. And in terms of the bear population, one year -- one big -- you know, if you had multiple years, that becomes a concern. Typically it's just been a one-year issue.

7 8 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

In any case, other questions.

10 11

12

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other questions from the Council.

13 14

Coral.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

MS. CHERNOFF: I don't have a question, In here -- and I couldn't but I do have a comment. hear well, so I don't know if he talked about the migratory bird and culture workshop, so we're pairing with the Refuge, and I'm going to be the artist. And I'm working with the Refuge to do some projects with the public, and then we're going to two villages around the island. And the theme is kind of -- the idea of project is to talk about the importance of migratory birds and the Alutiiq culture with an emphasis on conservation, and then they also want to increase the entrance for the migratory bird calendar. So we have a project we're working on. We're going to do a general project for the public, and then I'm going to do a smaller project with older middle school and high school kids where we'll look at imagery and bird part uses in Alutiiq cultural I guess what we call art, but it's just usage of parts for food and art or objects. And then we are going to make paddles, traditional Alutiiq paddles. And after all the exploration of bird, they will put their own imagery of birds on their paddles. So I'm very excited about that. I think it's -- usually we're sort of -- you know, the cultural part's on this side, and then hunting is on the other side, and so it's going to be exciting to sort of merge that all together as, you know, we talk about how birds are important to everyone.

42 43 44

MR. PYLE: Thank you for the comment,

45 46 47

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other

48 comments.

Ms. Chernoff.

(No comments) 1 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank you 4 very much. 5 6 I think what we may want to do at this 7 point is we have -- we'd be moving to the ADF&G portion of this, and I'm not sure if anybody would respond, go 8 ahead and take a 10-minute break at this point, and 9 10 then we'll get set up. Izembek. What I'd like to do is go ahead and do ADF&G Buskin River, and then we have 11 Colton. We asked that be added to agenda. And then if 12 13 we can do Izembek Refuge and then ADF&G for the Aleutian area after that would tie into the request I 14 think that we have with Unit 10 on Unimak. 15 16 17 If that's okay with everybody, we will 18 take 10-minute break. 19 (Off record) 20 21 (On record) 22 23 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, everybody. 2.4 The next item on the agenda I believe is Buskin River. 25 26 2.7 MR. WITTEVEEN: This is Mark Witteveen on the line. I'm the assistant area management 2.8 29 biologist for sport fish in the Kodiak area. I'm also the principle investigator of the Buskin Sockeye Stock 30 Assessment Project. Are you picking me up okay? 31 32 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We hear you 33 fine. Go ahead. We're all set. Thank you. 34 35 36 MR. WITTEVEEN: Okay. I just submitted a couple slides here. You also should have the updated 37 report. With that I will just hit kind of the broad 38 strokes of the 2017 season. You should be looking at 39 40 slide number 1, which is a bar graph and the dark 41 vertical bars are the sockeye escapement through the Buskin River Weir across 2012 through 2017. These 42 numbers reflect through July 31st, although that 43 44 represents most of the escapement. 45 The upper horizontal lines is the 46 recent five-year average. As you can see, in 2017 we 47

48 49

50

passed about 7,200 fish, which is a little bit below

average, but well within our escapement goal range,

Page 199

which are the next two dotted lines, represent the escapement goal range.

The smaller lighter-colored bars are Lake Louise escapement and with the dotted lines in that area being very average. This year escapement through Lake Louise Weir was fairly low at 70 fish through July 31st, although we passed another 71 since then. Still below average, but there's no goal for that system so we don't really have a good handle on what's normal. We're still learning about that system.

Overall sockeye returns to Buskin were strong. The subsistence fishery area was liberalized. We opened up to the mouth at the beginning of June.

2.7

Karen, if you can advance the next slide, please. This slide shows the daily escapement and the progression of the percentage of the run. As you can see, it's pretty heavily loaded to the early side of things. We got a lot of fish early, like most of them in May.

Karen, could you go to the next slide, please. Lake Louise daily escapement. Lake Louis is a really small run and the escapement is generally correlated with rain events to get a little extra water in the system and that pushes fish up.

Next slide, please. This slide is something I just prepared. It's not in the report, but it shows average run timing in blue. The smoother curve below in blue is average run timing. And then this year is in red, the top line. So you can see the timing this year was about a week early and that kind of affected how we did our sampling.

There weren't very many available fish later in the season. All the harvest was kind of on the front end. But overall a good strong year and fish were healthy and subsistence harvests were reported to be pretty good. I think a lot of people got fish out of the Buskin this year.

Next slide, please. I just wanted to touch a little bit on our intern program that we're continuing. This picture is a photo of our interns this year. We hire two high school interns each year and we have a really good success rate as to their

professional development. I believe we've hired 20 of 26 interns back to be permanent seasonal employees.

At the urging of the Council we also started working with some other organizations this year. The person in the back is Mandy Cox. She was a Native intern that we worked with from the Sun'aq Tribe in Kodiak here and she had a really good experience. She was a really good employee and we're hoping that we can hire her back someday as a permanent employee as well.

2.4

Last slide, please. I'll just speak a little bit more about the longevity of our program. This is our 2017 crew with the two high school interns on the right. Levi is one of our former interns that we hired as a crewmember this year and Katrina is the crew leader, who is a former intern of several years ago and she got promoted to crew leader this year.

So we're really happy with this program and it seems to be a really good professional development. The two interns that we worked with this year all are pursuing biological sciences and I'm sure we'll see them all again as professionals.

That pretty much sums up what I wanted to talk about that was new. As you know we submitted a proposal for continuation of the sockeye stock assessment project. We also hope to integrate a KANA intern or two. This year we tried to do that and we didn't have any qualified candidates this summer, but if funding continues we hope to pursue that and get a couple interns from them.

With that I am happy to answer any questions about the 2017 season or questions about the proposal.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Someone needs to mute their phone. Do we have any questions for Mark.

MR. HOLMES: Through the Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Mark. Excellent report. One of the details I think you left out on your intern program on its success, which is absolutely

Page 201

commendable, was that the area management biologist for sport fish, Tyler Polum, I think at least is still your boss, he started out as an intern in that program. I think it's probably one of the outstanding programs that goes on in the state. I really want to salute you on that. Also being able to keep that program going for so long.

I have a couple of questions or one basic question that I always ask is what does it look like for next year. Granted, when you folks have the funding for the smolt program through OSM, you had a little more precision on knowing what the upcoming years returns should be by looking at how fat or skinny the smolt were.

2.4

2.7

But what I'm wondering is, looking back at your graphs in Figure 1, the 2013-2014 and then also your average age it seems to be a five-year return on the fish. So given that the 2013-2014 escapements were over the upper end of the escapement goal, what do you think is going to be happening next year? Will there be another drop in the returns or what is your scientific gut estimate?

MR. WITTEVEEN: Thanks, Pat, for those comments. Yeah, we're still analyzing data from this year, so we did collect a lot of scale information as I'm sure you know and we haven't finished aging that. So that would be our primary indicator for next year at this point. We are coming off some strong escapements, but I think they're well within the range of what we can expect reasonable returns based on the size of returning fish.

You know, there was pretty good distribution of bigger fish and smaller fish, which would suggest that there are a fair number of two ocean fish in the escapement this year and a three ocean component that would be related to that next year. So we'll have more information on that coming in the next couple weeks as we age fish, but my speculation would be that things are going to continue on and be pretty strong.

Thanks.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, thank you very much. That would be great. When we have our winter meeting

if you could give us an update then on what you've been able to put together, I think that would help a lot of folks with their planning. Thanks a bunch there, Mark.

MR. WITTEVEEN: Thanks, Pat. Yeah, we'll certainly do that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any more comments for Mark.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none. Mark, thank you very much for your report.

you.

MR. WITTEVEEN: Madame Chair, thank

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Moving on, we'll continue with ADF&G wildlife. Svoboda.

MR. SVOBODA: Hi. This is Nate Svoboda with Fish and Game in Kodiak. Can you hear me okay?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We hear you fine. Go ahead.

MR. SVOBODA: All right. Great. First of all thank you for allowing me the opportunity to provide you with some information today. Just to give you an idea on how I'm going to run through this, I'm going to go species by species and first talk about some of the harvest information and then some of the research that we're doing. I will be sure to try to limit this to 10 or 15 minutes here.

First I'd like to talk about brown bears. The harvest from fall -- talk about the spring and the fall harvest. The fall of 2016 in Kodiak we harvested 73 bears, 45 of which were male, 28 females. That gave us a 62 percent male harvest. None of those bears were harvested under the subsistence regulations.

For those interested we did have nine trophy bear skulls in the fall of 2016. Those are bear skulls that measure over 28 inches, both the length and the width combined. The largest total skull in the fall of 2016 was 29-1/4 inches.

2.4

 Page 203

In the spring of 2017, we harvested 118 bears, 93 of which were male, 25 were female and that's about a 79 percent male harvest. We typically target about a 60 percent male harvest in any given season. There were two subsistence bears harvested in the spring of 2017.

For those interested, we did have 14 trophy skulls that were registered in the spring of 2017, the largest of which was 29.7 inches. Hide quality was pretty good both in the spring and the fall. We do get some rubbed bears from time to time,

but overall this year seemed to have pretty good hides.

The non-sport mortalities that we've had this year, which are bears that came to our office that were either harvested as in defense of life or property or perhaps they were just natural death that somebody found the skull and brought it in or agency kills are also included in this number.

In 2017-18 so far we've had 13 bears checked into our office, two males, three females and seven bears unknown gender. Seven of those were bears killed in defense of life or property, five of those were natural or what we classify as natural mortalities, and then one male bear was killed by the Alaska wildlife troopers.

Bear activity in town has been pretty similar to previous years, however this year we seem to be having a large number of incidents with fishermen largely because I think we need to do a better job of getting the message out to fishermen and how they need to be responding to bears that come into the area when they're fishing.

It's quite often we have problems when fishermen forfeit their fish to bears. Unknowingly they think they just need to drop their fish and get away, so that has continued to be a problem and actually resulted in a few bear deaths this year already. But overall activity in town is pretty similar to previous years. We haven't had a whole lot of activity this summer, which is a good thing for sure.

Moving on to some of the research activities with regard to bears. We do have a

Page 204

Sitkalidak project going on down in Sitkalidak Island right outside of Old Harbor and that's a collaborative project with Old Harbor Native Corporation, the Kodiak Brown Bear Trust and then much of the funding has came from the Dallas Safari Club. The goal of the project is to estimate annual litter production and cub survival as well as investigate reproductive interval of adult females, so how often are females having young.

This is a continuation of a long-term project. This actually began with my predecessor, Dr. VanDale, in 2008. The goal is to look at survival and productivity. So from 2008 to present we've captured and radio-collared 31 female bears and monitored them annually.

2.4

For those of you who don't know, studies like this where you're investigating reproductive interval and survival, usually you have to have 20 or 30 years of data before you can really tell anything with any amount of confidence regarding the population, so we're going on about 10 years now. Bears that we've collared have been tracked annually anywhere from one to 10 years with the females observed anywhere from zero to seven times annually.

As you can imagine, some bears we put a collar on and then just never see them again, so that kind of explains why some of the bears we have yet to observe at all. We have observed 56 dependent cubs. When I say dependent cubs, I'm talking about bears ranging anywhere from six months old to basically about two and a half or three and a half years old depending upon when they get weaned. So we identified 56 dependent cubs belonging to 18 females and they were monitored consistently until they weaned or died or otherwise weren't able to be located.

From 2008 to this last month we've completed 40 flights to assess survival and productivity. Our mean female reproductive interval, which is how often females have cubs, was one litter about every 4.13 years. So about every four years female bears on Sitkalidak are having cubs. However, this data was a little bit skewed because we have kind of a low sample size and one bear we observed didn't have a litter at all for six years, so that certainly skewed some of the data, but for the most part bears

are having cubs about every chance they get, about every three to four years.

As soon as they wean the cubs from the previous litter they immediately have cubs the following year. That seems to be pretty consistent looking at our data. The mean litter size for these known breeding females is about 2.9 cubs per litter.

Then we also looked at, as I mentioned, age specific survival. I apologize for not providing you guys any handouts, so I'm going to give you a few numbers here. If you want to jot them down, it might help you to better understand what we're looking at with regard to age specific survival. We look at survival of cubs every six months. As you know, we don't really even get to see them for the first time until they're already six months old because they're usually born in January or February. We don't get a chance to see them until spring or summer.

2.4

2.7

What we've observed over the last decade is cubs on Sitkalidak ranging from six months old to one year have about a 85 percent survival rate and bears from one year to one and a half years have about a 93 percent survival rate. Bears one and a half to two have 100 percent survival and then bears two to two and a half dips down to about a 81 percent survival. That can be due to a couple reasons. One, bears are dying or, two, bears are being weaned earlier than maybe that we might think.

So quite often brown bears usually are weaned between two and a half and three and a half years old depending on resource availability. What research has shown is that bears that have abundant resources will often wean their cubs a year earlier so they can again get pregnant and have more cubs the following year. So that could be one of the reasons for that dip in survival.

Because bears going from two and a half to three years old have a 96 percent survival rate, three to three and a half years old have 91 percent survival rate and anything over that we've observed have pretty much 100 percent survival rate. So all of that combined gives us about a cumulative survival rate of about 57 percent from six months old until bears are weaned. So it's a pretty high survival and Sitkalidak

is a very productive population.

Currently we're monitoring 13 bears on Sitkalidak Island, however seven of those were collared in 2012 or earlier. So this year our goal is to increase the sample size again to get that up to 20 or 30 animals. This is expected to be a long term. As I mentioned earlier, these types of studies really require a long-term dataset before you can see anything with any amount of confidence. So we plan on keeping this as an ongoing project as long as our partners are willing and interested.

So moving on from Sitkalidak to Afognak. I know the last couple years I've been telling you guys we have a big Afognak project that we've been trying to kick off. It's taken about three and a half years to finally get it implemented, but this year we were able to do that. This is a large-scale project where we have a number of collaborators, including four Native corporations. Those being Ouzinkie Native Corporation, Natives of Kodiak, Afognak Native Corporation and Koniag Regional Corporation.

2.7

We also obtained an intern this year. Jessica Rich was her name and that was an intern we obtained from the Sun'aq Tribe, so we shared Jessica all summer long. She was super helpful helping out on the Afognak project. Some of the other partners on the project are the Kodiak Brown Bear Trust as well as Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation.

The goal of this project is to evaluate seasonal and annual habitat use and resource use. So what habitats are bears and elk using as well as what food resources are they using at different times of the year and how does this relate timber harvest in forest successional stage or how old the forest is.

As some of you might know, Afognak Island has been forested pretty significantly since about the '70s. The main goal of the project is to try to determine what impact, either positive or negative, that this might be having on movement and distribution of both bears and Roosevelt Elk.

So, as I mentioned, we just kicked off the project this year and we'll continue for the next five years. So this June we captured and handled --

Page 207

well, June and again in September for a couple reasons I won't get into, but we did capture and handle 79 bears, 47 females and 32 males, as well as 43 elk, which I'll talk about here a little bit later.

One thing that we were able to do this summer that was really interesting is we were able to weigh pretty much all of the animals. About 90 percent of the animals we were able to weigh using a helicopter and a crane scale. The mean weights, the average weights that we got for spring bears, these are bears just caught in June, not including the ones in September.

The females averaged about 400 pounds each and range from 214 pounds to 752 pounds. The males on the other hand had a mean body weight in the spring of 526 pounds and ranged anywhere from 167 pounds to about 950 pounds.

2.4

2.7

As I mentioned, we also did recaptures in September for a couple reasons. In September we recaptured five females, three of which were able to be re-weighed. This I think is kind of interesting information. The weight gains that we observed for the three months between captures from June to September we had three females that we were able to re-weigh and they averaged an average weight gain for those three months of 154 pounds. One female gained 80 pounds, one female gained 181 and another gained 200 pounds in those three months.

 On the other hand we were able to recapture and re-weigh one male bear which gained pretty close to about 300 pounds in those three months. So it was averaging about 100 pounds a month of weight gain for at least the one male bear that we were able to weigh twice. That's something that's somewhat unique. It's been quite a long time since we've been able to weigh live bears on Kodiak, so it's really valuable information.

 This project will continue as I mentioned for the next five years and we've had incredible cooperation from our Native partners as well as the Kodiak Brown Bear Trust. It's taken a long time to get going, but its really been a successful summer so far.

One of the other projects we're working on that I won't really touch on is a collaborative project with the National Wildlife Refuge. It deals with berry production and berry phrenology. My colleague Bill Pyle spoke to this earlier, so I won't really get into it, but it's been a great project. Bill's done a phenomenal job of taking the lead on it and really trudging ahead, so I appreciate that.

Moving on to Sitka Blacktail deer. In 2016-17 we harvested 8,185 deer, which is the highest harvest we've had since the late 1990s. Of those 8,185 deers, 7,262 of them were males and 923 were females. As Bill mentioned earlier, we did have a fairly significant overwinter deer mortality last year. However, we've had a pretty mild summer and based on anecdotal evidence that we're getting from transporters, hunters and guides as well as our own observations in the field, the deer population still is pretty robust.

2.4

It should be a pretty good year for deer hunting. I don't think it will necessarily compare with last year. It was kind of a phenomenal year for hunting and due to this die-off it might not be quite as good, but based on the number and the condition of deer we've seen this year we should be okay.

Moving on to Roosevelt elk. In 2016-17 we harvested 86 elk, 53 bulls and 33 cows. This is the highest harvest we've had since 2008 and 2009 season. But things have been really good. The population continues to expand. However, we would like to increase our cow harvest in some areas of the Archipelago, specifically Raspberry Island in the southwest Afognak area. Southwest Afognak is open. We'll have a registration season, which runs from October 23rd to November 30th where you can just come in and get a permit over the counter.

 So for those of you interested in elk hunting southwest Afognak we could really use your help in reducing the cow population there. We do overall have an increasing population. Although we weren't able to conduct our last survey for this year due to weather and elk season started on Monday, so we don't like to be out there surveying when hunters are out there.

The elk population is doing well. We estimate it to be between 1,000 and 1,200 animals, which is the first time we've exceeded our 1,000 elk estimates since the late '90s, so the population is increasing slightly so that's a good thing. Our calf/cow ratio is about 21 calves per 100 cows, which is okay. I'd prefer it to be a little bit higher, but that's still a really good radio.

The bull/cow ration is 16 bulls per 100 cows, which is pretty decent. We don't want to get it too much higher than that. So overall that population is looking really good. However, we do believe that there's greater potential for the population. So that's part of the reason we have this study underway to investigate the impacts of winter as well as logging.

2.4

2.7

2.8

As I mentioned with the bears as far as research, we refer to it as the Afognak Elk and Bear Project. Again, as I mentioned, we started this project, really kicked it off this year with our Native corporation partners and Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, the Brown Bear Trust. Similar objectives for elk as we have for bears and that again is looking at seasonal and annual habitat and resource use. So what foods and what habitats are they using at different times of the year and how does that link to different forest stage stands.

You know, there's a real patchwork of different forest ages due to logging, so we're trying to disentangle some of the questions relative to elk and brown bears and how they're using the landscape after the logging.

So 2017, in addition to the 79 bears that I mentioned earlier, we captured and radio-collared 43 elk, 23 cows and 20 bulls on both Afognak and Raspberry Islands. We did get really good distribution. There's eight herds on the two islands and we did get a couple cows and at least one bull from each herd, so that will be really interesting to see how that goes.

We'll obtain hourly locations, we'll get a location on every bear and every elk at one location every hour until September of 2019, so we should have some really good data. Until then, on

September 1st of 2019 all the radio collars should drop off the animals. Before I mention the weights of brown bears in the spring, and I do have some weight information that is kind of interesting.

4 5 6

7

8

9 10

1

2

3

The spring weight for elk that we were able to weigh, the mean or the average cow weight in the spring was 584 pounds and ranged anywhere from 282 to 694 pounds. Bulls on the other hand had an average weight of 703 pounds and ranged anywhere from 500 to pretty close to 1,000 pounds. So pretty large animals as many of you probably know.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

11

The ultimate goal of this is to work with our Native partners to develop a long-term forest and wildlife management strategy. We like the strategy to incorporate certain things such as sustainable logging, responsible wildlife management as well as increased sport and subsistence harvest opportunities. It's taken a while to get this underway, but there's a lot of forward momentum going. Our Native partners have been really excited about the project and it's nice to have it finally underway, so that's great.

23 24 25

26

27

2.8 29

30

31

32

33

Moving on to mountain goats. and '17 there were 315 goats harvested, 210 of which were billies, 104 were nannies, one of which was unknown gender. This is down slightly from the last two years, but overall our harvest continues to increase pretty significantly annually. Just three or four years ago we were only harvesting about 200 animals. We're getting close to 400 now. Last year was 383. This year we have a little bit of a dip down to 315.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

Overall, both the harvest and the population has been growing. There has been increased interest the last few years in hunters harvesting two goats in our registration goat hunt 480. For those of you who don't know pretty much the half the island, the western half of the island or southern half of the island, depending on how you look at it, is a two-goat bag limit, either sex. They're just registration, so you can just come to our office or you can get registration permits online. There has been increased interest in that for sure.

46 47 48

45

Last regulatory year we issued a little over 1,500 registration permits just for RG480 alone

and that's out of the about 2,000 permits that we issue total, about 1,500 of them are for the registration area. Out of 315 goats that were harvested last year, 226 were harvested out of the registration goat hunt area. It's a real good area to hunt. For those who don't know, Kodiak is quickly becoming a goat hunting destination hotspot. We're seeing more and more people from the mainland and non-residents coming every year and continues to increase.

During our 2017-18 surveys that we cooperated with the Wildlife Refuge on, as Bill mentioned earlier, they did kind of the southern half of the island and Fish and Game did the northern half. This year we observed 3,254 goats island wide and that is the largest count in history by quite a bit. The goat population continues to grow pretty rapidly, but as does the number of hunters and the harvest.

2.4

 For instance our 2015-16 estimate we counted 2,732 goats. So we're up a little over 500 in the last two years. The kid/adult ratio is about 25 kids per 100 adults. Needless to say the goat population is robust and continues to do fairly well. The faucet is wide open as far as harvesting goats, particularly in the RG480 area, so I encourage people to get out there and shoot some goats.

We do have a research project underway with the Wildlife Refuge. Essentially we're trying to quantify seasonal annual habitat use and movements. It's been kind of a nightmare. We've had some problems with radio collars, so I'm not going to get into the issues with the project, but we're trying to kind of re-kickstart the project now since we had some major catastrophic collar failures in the last couple years, but I won't get into that.

 Moving on to furbearers. I'm just about done here. Furbearers in 2016-17, 30 beaver were harvested and 126 land otters were harvested. That's pretty average. Last year we had the highest number of land otters harvested in the last decade. So this year it's considerably lower and it's more along the average of what's harvested on Kodiak from year to year.

As I mentioned the last couple years mink are continuing to show up on the North Road system and on Long Island. We're not really sure how they got

here or how many of them there are, but it is a concern of ours and we're going to probably address that here at the upcoming Board of Game next year.

With regard to caribou in 2016-17, we harvested 46 caribou, 28 males and 18 females. This is the largest caribou harvest on record since they were I guess changed from feral reindeer to caribou this year. We normally harvest about 20. This year we harvested 46, so there was an increased interest this year for whatever reason. We did have more hunters out there as well.

2.4

The population though is still pretty stable or perhaps even slightly increasing. It usually maintains about 300-325 animals. Now we're up to about 350-400 animals, so it's pretty stable. Maybe slightly increasing, but with the increased harvest we don't anticipate that continuing.

Lastly our bison. I addressed this the last couple years because of the bison concerns that we've had, but thanks to Melissa and the Old Harbor Native Corporation this is no longer a Fish and Game issue, so we don't really have much to say on that.

With that, that's all I have. Sorry, I know that was a lot of information to try to digest there, but hopefully you got at least the lion's share of it. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to take those now.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any questions at this time from Council members, comments. Go ahead.

MS. CHERNOFF: Hi, Nate. This is Coral. I had a question about your bear populations.

MR. SVOBODA: Uh-huh.

MS. CHERNOFF: Do you guys look at like maximum populations that certain areas can sustain? Like, for example, we see a lot of bears in town or maybe they're just reported more so it seems like there's more. But is that population of bears near the city of Kodiak is that a growing population or is it staying the same or do you in general just look at populations all over the island and not by area?

Page 213

MR. SVODOBA: Yeah, well, that's a good question, Coral. As you can imagine, getting a population estimate for brown bears is really difficult to do particularly on a large landscaped level like we have on Kodiak. We do, as Bill kind of alluded to earlier with our intensive aerial surveys, we do do those every summer when the weather cooperates and those are more localized and focus more on a particular area.

For instance, as Bill mentioned, this year it was in southwest Kodiak. That covers a pretty good area. However it is still fairly localized and we can get pretty good estimates for localized areas during those surveys, but again that's just a snapshot of what's taking place. As you can imagine, immigration and emigration takes place and bears move in and out of the population, so it's really hard to put your finger on.

2.4

2.7

With regard to bears along the road system, we don't do intensive aerial surveys on the road system largely because it's probably not really necessary. We have a pretty good handle on how many bears are along the road system or at least maybe not numbers per se, but we know when they become an issue and we do that because we have what we call the Road System Bear Group, which is made up of a variety of agencies and personnel that reports bear observations to -- every day any bear observation that's observed along the road system that's reported to one of these people or agencies they send a report to me and we map these in real time every morning.

So we have a pretty good handle on what goes on along the road system with regard to bears. We don't have necessarily numbers per se, but we do have a really good handle on bear activity along the road system.

I don't know if that necessarily answers your question. If not, maybe I can clarify it a little bit more.

MS. CHERNOFF: I think that answered my question except for I may have asked or meant to ask do those numbers -- do you realize when it seems like bears have reached their saturation point, like the maximum number that that area can sustain? So I quess

talking about the road system and all those reports, would you ever look at something like that and say this is all that this system can sustain?

MR. SVOBODA: So there's a couple things to address there. One is the biological carrying capacity and the other is social carrying capacity, right? So biological carrying capacity is what the environment can sustain, what the resources will allow the population to sustain itself out at.

The other, which is what occurs when the road system is I guess more appropriately referred to as social carrying capacity and that's what individuals that live in Kodiak and along the road system that's kind of how we manage the bear population on the road system based on social carrying capacity. So what will the community and the public tolerate with regard to bears along the road system. That's kind of how we manage bears on the road system.

2.4

2.7

For those who don't know, the bear hunting season on Kodiak is primarily a drawing hunt, but along the road system we have a registration hunt. The purpose of the registration hunt is to reduce human/bear conflict and to reduce the bear population along the road system. You can just come into our office and get a registration permit and go out and shoot a bear along the road system if you're an Alaska resident. So that's kind of how we manage those populations, Coral.

 The road system is primarily a social carrying capacity and I don't think bears will ever get to the point or wouldn't be tolerated -- social carrying capacity is typically much smaller than biological carrying capacity just because -- particularly for large carnivores like brown bears, people just don't tolerate densely populated bear populations in areas that have densely populated human populations.

So we do monitor, I guess, the social carrying capacity of bears along the road system, but not necessarily the biological carrying capacity. We try to address that kind of in real time based on the number of human/wildlife conflicts that are reported and the issues that we have along the road system. But putting a number on it is extremely difficult and that

we don't do along the road system at all.

I don't know if that helps.

MS. CHERNOFF: It does, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

8 Rebecca.

 MS. SKINNER: Hi, Nate. This is Rebecca. Can you talk a little bit about the importance of the berry diet to the bears and give us a sense of what percentage on average or however you want to give it of the bear's diet there on Kodiak comes from the berries. I'm asking this in relation to the very poor berry season that we've observed this year. Thanks.

2.4

2.7

MR. SVOBODA: Sure. Yeah, berries are certainly important to bears, you know. Bears exhibit ecological plasticity and that's just a fancy way of saying bears are opportunists. Bears are going to eat basically whatever they can find, whether it's berries or whether it's deer, whether it's fish or whether it's some other marine-derived nutrients. Bears are going to take advantage of that. However, much of the research has shown that both berries and salmon are incredibly important to Kodiak brown bears.

It's important for bears to have a mixed diet. I don't off the top of my head know what the -- you know, I don't think anyone does what the ideal ratio is of salmon to berries. What I can tell you is both of those resources are extremely important to bears. It is a concern of both the Refuge and of Fish and Game. The poor berry abundance that we've had this year and what that might mean for the bears in Archipelago, particularly bears along the road system.

As Bill kind of alluded to earlier, one of our concerns is what does this mean for hunters. You know, bears typically will eat salmon earlier in the year and then as the salmon starts to waiver they'll switch over to eating largely a berry diet. With the poor berry production this year that might not necessarily be an option in a lot of areas. It's yet to be determined how that's going to play out for the bears.

Page 216 Overall I'm not overly concerned about 1 the berry population right now. All indications of 2 other research have shown that bears are doing really 3 well on Kodiak and we continue to harvest old bears and 4 we continue to find old bears that have died of natural 5 causes. Based on their body condition scores and their 6 7 weights we haven't seen anything alarming yet. 8 9 So I don't know if that necessarily 10 answers your question, but I don't..... 11 No, it did. It did. 12 MS. SKINNER: 13 Thanks. 14 MR. SVOBODA: 15 .....really feel 16 comfortable on trying to -- okay. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Any other 19 comments. Sam. 20 21 MR. ROHRER: Good morning, Nate. Rohrer here. 22 23 Hi, Sam. 2.4 MR. SVOBODA: 25 MR. ROHRER: Thanks for being online. 26 Can you just talk a little bit about what your opinion 2.7 is on the -- or what the Department's position is on 2.8 29 total number of goats, the carrying capacity for goats on Kodiak Island. 30 31 MR. SVOBODA: That is actually kind of 32 tied into what I kind of breezed over with regard to 33 our goat project that we have in collaboration with the 34 Wildlife Refuge. That's one of the things that we're 35 really interested in looking at. The goats on Kodiak 36 have pretty much exhibited exponential growth since 37 they were introduced in the '50s. 38 39 40 We're trying to take measures to try to 41 get a handle on -- I think we have a good handle on the population, but what we don't have a really good handle 42 on right now is seasonal annual resource use and what 43 44 their primary diet consists of during these different 45 times of year. 46 47 Before we can really get a handle on

48

49 50 the impact that they might be having on the habitat we really need to disentangle some of these questions

Page 217

about resource use and how that changes throughout the season. So it's something that we are super interested in, you know.

As I mentioned before, we do have so to speak the faucet wide open with regard to goats particularly in RG480. A lot of the goat populations that are on, I guess, eastern side of the island a lot of them have kind of stabilized. You know, we do have obviously fluctuations from year to year within each of those metapopulations, but nothing like RG480 where the goats seem to just continue to explode.

Even though we have a two goat bag limit, we have an eight or nine month season, it's registration permit, it seems as if we still might need to harvest a number of goats. But really we're not going to know the impact that they're having on the habitat until we can get a research study underway to investigate that.

2.4

2.7

Working with the Refuge we did implement a fairly large-scale project in 2015, but unfortunately like 90 percent of our radio collars failed within the first six months. So what the Refuge and Fish and Game are currently looking at ways we can get this project off the ground again and we're hoping to do that next summer.

As the Refuge has alluded to earlier, we both have some interest and possible concerns on what this elevated goat population might mean for the habitat.

MR. ROHRER: Thanks, Nate.

MR. SVOBODA: We need to get some more answers, Sam, is what I'm getting at there.

MR. ROHRER: Sure, sure. No, I appreciate that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right. I would like to wrap this up.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, go ahead.

 Page 218

MR. HOLMES: I'd just like to quickly comment on the Fish and Game Advisory Committee study group that's worked on goats and other mammals and a real positive relationship with the Refuge and the Department of Fish and Game through the years. I sat in on a lot of the meetings of the study group as a subsistence advocate as well as Mitch and a lot of the other folks on the RAC, but not necessarily representing the RAC, but subsistence users. I think that the Kodiak model that's been developed on providing for subsistence in creative ways as well as providing for good management of the critters has been pretty darn exceptions. So my hat's off to everybody.

I would also like to comment on that intern program. Jessica, I listened to her little seminar at the end of the season. I'd say anybody that's in the resource business that sees her resume come across your desk, you should hire her because she's an exceptional young lady and I think that shows the positive results of intern programs, be it fisheries or game.

Anyway, good job.

MR. SVOBODA: Thank you, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. I think

we'll move on.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  SVOBODA: Thank you, Madame Chair and the rest of the Council. I appreciate your time.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Nate. I know we don't have ADF&G King Salmon online, but, Chris, are you still available?

MS. PETERSON: Yes, Madame Chair. This is Chris Peterson from Fish and Game in King Salmon.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Am I correct that you didn't have a report today but would have one for the winter meeting?

MS. PETERSON: I do not recall anyone talking about that with me. I do have a very -- what I was asked to provide today was a summary of the Unimak Island caribou.

```
MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             Okav.
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. PETERSON: And I have a little bit
     of information on that if that's correct.
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
 6
 7
     perfect. We'll go ahead and have Colton come up and do
     a report on McLees Lake and then I think we'll go ahead
 8
     and let you do your report and then we'll go on to
 9
     Izembek and then we'll talk about Unit 10 caribou.
10
11
                     MS. PETERSON:
12
                                    Okay.
                                            So just to
13
     clarify, so after he gives his report you want this
     little bit about Unimak or is that off until after the
14
     other reports?
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Let's go ahead
17
     and do Izembek and then we'll have you -- then we'll
18
     tie in that little bit that way.
19
20
                     MS. PETERSON:
21
                                    Okay.
22
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
23
                                             Okay.
                                                    Perfect.
2.4
     Thank you.
25
                     MS. PETERSON:
                                    So I will -- thank you.
26
27
     Bye.
2.8
29
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hold on.
                                                       Tom.
30
                     MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, I'd like to hear
31
     specifically if she has anything on the Unimak Caribou
32
     Herd.
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             That's what she
35
36
     said she had. He didn't hear that, but, yes, that's
     what Chris will be reporting on.
37
38
                     Okay, good morning, Colton.
39
40
41
                     MR. LIPKA: Good morning, Madame Chair
     and Council members. I'm Colton Lipka with the Alaska
42
     Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries
43
44
     Division. I'm the assistant area manager biologist for
     the South Alaska Peninsula and Aleutian Islands area
45
     and the project leader for the McLees Lake Weir.
46
47
48
                     What I've prepared for you today is
49
     just a brief overview of the project history and a
50
```

summary of the 2017 season. The McLees Lake sockeye salmon run is an important subsistence resource for the community of Unalaska and it accounts for approximately 70 percent of the annual subsistence salmon harvest.

The weir has operated from 2001 to 2017. The project was initiated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in cooperation with the Qawalangin Tribe from 2001 to 2011 and by ADF&G in cooperation with the Qawalangin Tribe from 2012 to 2017 with funding provided by the Alaska Sustainable Salmon Fund.

Sockeye salmon escape has ranged from 8,661 fish in 2008 to 101,793 fish in 2003. The average return is 31,631 fish with the run typically peaking in the first week of July. The 2017 return was below average at 13,195 sockeye salmon with characteristics of later than average run timing.

2.4

2.7

2.8

In response to the low return, ADF&G issued emergency orders restricting subsistence fishing within 500 yards of the stream mouth from July 1 until July 22nd to provide protection and allow more fish to enter the system, ensuring adequate spawning for future returns. ADF&G has established a sustainable escapement goal of 10,000 to 60,000 sockeye salmon and has met this goal every year since 2001 with the exception of 2008 and 2009.

The McLees Lake Weir is an integral management tool for Unalaska's largest subsistence salmon resource and a valuable data collection project for long-term monitoring of the system's health and productivity. Currently the status of the funding of the weir is uncertain. The Department has submitted a proposal to OSM for four additional years of funding and we are waiting notice of that funding.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Colton. This is number 4 on that list we had when the two tied and then there was Unalaska harvest practices and then estimation of sockeye on McLees Lake. That is the project you're talking about?

MR. LIPKA: That is correct, Madame

 Chair.

2.4

2.7

 Page 221

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Do we have any comments or questions for Colton. Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Thank you. I was wondering if you could talk more about the partnership with the tribe. I guess I'm looking for more specifics. Is it a formalized partnership with MOA or something like that. It sounds like from what you said earlier there's a long history of ADF&G partnering with the tribe, but if you could expand on that so we could better understand the partnership. Thanks.

MR. LIPKA: Through the Chair. So the partnership has gone on since the beginning of the project and it follows — the tribe from Unalaska provides one fisheries technician and the State agency or the Fish and Wildlife Service provided the other Tech 3 and crew leader. The two technicians work throughout the summer and we maintain close contact with the tribe as the project goes along.

For the future of the project we'll be directly involving the tribe with the hiring process with an emphasis on getting local hires to the weir and expanding some of the scientific profession out there. We are also trying to plan an educational excursion through the summer to get local youth from Unalaska out to the weir and expose them to some of the fisheries science that we are taking.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Does that answer your question?

MS. SKINNER: Yes.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, by any chance do you have any comments or questions for Colton?

MR. HOLMES: Yes.

(Laughter)

MR. HOLMES: I was wondering how did the -- we were hoping that they might be able to get a community harvest permit for McLees to take some pressure off of the Front Beach and Unalaska Lake. Was the community able to put that together this year?

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

MR. LIPKA: Through the Chair. No, the community permit did not go through this year.

MR. HOLMES: That's indeed unfortunate. I was hoping that that might be a way to get some positive results on getting more returns into Unalaska Lake because when we had out meeting out there it was pretty apparent that not much has happened in the last 20 years. But I understand that Unalaska Fisherman's Association has hired Abby to kind of coordinate things so hopefully there will be some improvement there to try to get some resolution to the problems for a community sockeye harvest for folks.

I really appreciate you following through and keeping that program going. I know that our Council is going to continue to hope to see the funding go through for that weir.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca, then

Antone.

MS. SKINNER: Thanks. Can you talk a little bit about the challenges that will occur if you don't have a weir at McLees Lake.

MR. LIPKA: Through the Chair. So in the absence of a weir at the lake we will revert an aerial survey-based assessment prior to the weir's installment in 2001. That was the manner in which that run was assessed. I believe our dataset goes back to 1985. So we would just institute that program again in midsummer fly from either Cold Bay or Sand Point and conduct aerial surveys.

MS. SKINNER: What would be the impact to the ability of people in Unalaska to keep doing subsistence harvest? Would going back to an aerial survey have an impact on the actual subsistence harvest availability?

MR. LIPKA: Through the Chair. So if we revert to aerial surveys being a less precise method of enumeration, the Department will be more conservative with our management of that subsistence fishery. So in years of low runs, for instance like this year, the closures could be longer.

Page 223 Does that answer your question? 1 2 3 MS. SKINNER: Yes. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Antone. 6 7 MR. SHELIKOFF: Thank you, Madame Chair. Antone with the RAC. I have one question and 8 probably some comments. What is fish escapement? 9 10 What's that defined? The fish that gets counted. And the comment I had was none of the subsistence harvest 11 books have that definition. 12 13 MR. LIPKA: Through the Chair. 14 We're 15 looking for the definition of escapement? 16 17 MR. SHELIKOFF: Yeah. 18 19 MR. LIPKA: So escapement are fish that 20 have passed the weir and moved upstream to the lake to spawn. So once they are past the weir they can no 21 longer return downstream and they are classified as 22 23 escapement. 2.4 25 MR. SHELIKOFF: Okay. 26 2.7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 2.8 29 MS. CHERNOFF: And on that could you just clarify are those real numbers or those numbers 30 come about by counting a certain amount and then doing 31 a formula? 32 33 Through the Chair. 34 MR. LIPKA: weir provides a census of the escapement, so those are 35 real numbers. Each fish counted individually by the 36 technicians on the weir periodically throughout the 37 day. 38 39 40 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I've got a 41 question. 42 43 MR. KOSO: Della. Rick. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick. 46 47 MR. KOSO: I've got a question on the --48 it sounds like you're getting a lot more escapement up 49 into the lake. Did they ever solve that problem out in

Page 224

the Front Beach as far as getting too many gillnets set prior to the fish being counted up in the lake?

MR. LIPKA: Through the Chair. I'm not sure I quite understood your question there, sir.

MR. KOSO: I think they had a proposal in last year to -- you know, right out in front of the town there they were getting too many gillnets fishing there and they were trying to curtail that. I don't know if that ever happened or what was the outcome on that.

MR. LIPKA: Through the Chair. Okay, so you're talking about Front Beach.

MR. KOSO: Yes.

MR. LIPKA: So that regulation did go into place and there was a buffer put in place on Front Beach, the regulatory signs I believe are out.

MR. KOSO: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: My question I think is I know McLees Lake has been part of the study and the funding for, gosh, a long time. I know it's been on the books for a long time. I guess, in going into four years in this, what is the real purpose, our goal here besides getting the numbers? I'm trying to understand going into this for another four years what is the real goal.

 MR. LIPKA: Madame Chair. The McLees Weir is a valuable in-season management tool for the subsistence fishery. Being the scale of the fishery that takes place, having that information available daily to monitor allows management a more precise tool for ensuring the run is not overharvested or in areas of large runs to allow more harvest opportunity. And then the value of just having the dataset continued provides a stronger set for future management and monitoring the health of the system should any changes take place.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Thank you. Does anybody else have any other questions. Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: I don't have a question but I do have a comment and this seems like the appropriate time to make it. I do hope that in regards to the FRMP projects I think the importance of weirs and weir counts for continued access to subsistence resources really maximizing the access and having consistent access is really important.

Last year when we were in Unalaska in August we attended the Fish and Game Advisory Committee meeting and it was very educational. The challenges that are occurring in Unalaska because there isn't enough monitoring to allow for kind of realtime inseason management and that's having a real impact on subsistence users' ability to harvest. So for that reason I do think these weirs are really important to allow access.

In reading the -- I guess the summary comments that came from the Technical Review Committee they didn't really talk about the partnership with the tribe, so that's why I was asking you to explain the partnership with the tribe. That is one of the things that's considered with these projects.

2.7

So I just want to, I guess, emphasize that there is a long-term relationship there and it's actually being increased and augmented with your new proposal. So you're actually having the tribe participate in the hiring decisions and it sounds like offering more professional or scientific development for locals and for the partners through the tribe.

The other thing is the importance of this particular weir and this particular fishery, the McLees Lake fishery, to the subsistence users in Unalaska. I think this is kind of akin to the Buskin Weir in Kodiak that I already spoke about, but to hear that 70 percent of the salmon subsistence harvest is coming from McLees Lake, if you don't have a weir and you don't have the counts and you can't have the inseason management, then -- well, without the data there is going to be more conservative management decisions being made and that is going to limit the harvest and it could significantly limit the harvest and the harvest opportunity.

So, in my opinion, the need for this weir and this project is really important for

subsistence use in Unalaska and I just wanted to make 1 sure that I got that on the record. 2 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. 7 other comments. 8 9 (No comments) 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right. 11 You did good. 12 13 MR. LIPKA: Thank you, Madame Chair and 14 15 Council members. 16 17 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right. We're coming up to 12:00 o'clock and I am trying to fly 18 out of here this afternoon because the weather is 19 supposed to come up this evening. If we can go ahead 20 and move to Izembek and then ADF&G King Salmon. 21 ready when you are. 22 23 Thank you, Madame Chair. 2.4 MR. RISDAHL: Members of the Council. My name is Greg Risdahl, the 25 manager of Izembek National Wildlife Refuge for the 26 record. First I want to thank you all for coming to 2.7 Cold Bay. I wish we had a little bigger turnout, but 2.8 29 this is what you got. So thank you very much for coming and taking the time to share with us and listen to us. 30 31 32 I started to say a few things yesterday when we thought we were going to do the report. I have 33 one typo. I'll just put it out there right off the 34 bat. On Page 11, I think it's about the second 35 paragraph under brown bears. The last line says the 36 number of sows decreased from 36 to 3. It should be 37 33. I actually have corrected versions of the report 38 in the back here if you want a cleaner copy. I did fix 39 40 a couple of other things up, but basically the report 41 is the same. 42 I'll start off with the Federal 43 44 subsistence caribou hunt. We've talked about some of

45 46 47

information than I can give in 15 minutes here.

48 49 50

As I mentioned yesterday, being the new

this, so I'm going to just pick out some of the

highlights from my report. There's a lot more

manager here I felt compelled to learn as much as I could about the Refuge and much of the biology and research that we do here is done with partners. We don't have a very big staff. We have a full-time permanent staff of seven and we're short three people right now, so a lot of us are doing double or triple duty just to get the work done.

But we have phenomenal help from many different agencies. ADF&G number one. Our own Migratory Bird Division does a lot of work for us. U.S. Geological Survey, they do a tremendous amount of work for us. We've got the universities here. SeaLife Center. Lots of folks.

So like this week I think we have about 16 people staying at our bunkhouse and our duplex and another one of our houses, so we're very fortunate that we have such good support. There's a lot of interest in this area. It's a unique place and lots of things are happening as the climate is changing. We're hearing some of that in the reports that have been given already.

2.8

The last thing that I'd like to do after I give this if you're interested I can give a little bit of a snippet on the subsistence and some of the observations that we've had here too that relate to changing wildlife populations and such.

Moving on to caribou subsistence permits. We've changed that program. I think one of my biggest concerns about the Federal Subsistence Program is that we are always kind of behind the curve. So I was really enjoying listening to the group yesterday. You guys are moving forward with a way to make us be more responsive to changes in the caribou harvest, for example, for the Southern Alaska Peninsula Herd.

So last year we harvested 7 caribou total and we did have a quota of 75 bull caribou permits split up between the communities of Cold Bay, False Pass, King Cove, Nelson Lagoon and Sand Point. This year there is no quota. It's as many permits as people want.

I mentioned yesterday I think the most important part of the Federal Subsistence Program for

us right now is that we have the designated hunter program, which does allow for hunters to provide meat on the table for folks that can't get out for whatever reason. So that is a good important part of the Federal Subsistence Program.

The State harvest last year was a total of 40 caribou, so we actually only harvested 47 caribou that we know of in the Southern Alaska Peninsula Herd. As you've heard, the population is growing and there is some concern that we might quickly reach the carrying capacity and outstrip the vegetation here to support the herd. So we are in support of Fish and Game's adaptive management program to increase the harvest bag limit if possible. So that's all going real well.

The survey information we talked about it very briefly yesterday as well. We did not do a winter count. We typically do that, but without a biologist that didn't get done and some other personnel things were going on. The State did their survey and we've relied heavily on their information for many years.

2.7

I don't want to steal Chris Peterson's thunder, but she'll be giving some information specifically about Unimak. I'll just briefly mention the numbers corresponding to the two herds here. The Southern Alaska Peninsula Herd. They did a sample survey, observed 1,442 caribou, which included 757 cows, 291 calves, 374 bulls, which came up with a bull/cow ratio of 49 bulls per 100 cows, which is very very good. A pretty decent calf/cow ratio of 38 calves per 100 cows.

Similarly, the Unimak Island Herd is growing. It's a different situation there and I will definitely leave most of this to Chris because she's more knowledgeable and has been here much longer than I have. During the flights in October 258 caribou were classified, which included 149 cows, 60 calves and 49 bulls, giving a bull/cow ratio of 32 to 100 cows and a calf/cow ratio of 40 calves to 100 cows. So the population is doing well. It is a smaller herd. Like I said, I'll let Chris give more information about that when we get into that discussion.

One of the most important work that we do here has to do with the waterfowl population.  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 

mean this Refuge was founded in 1960 primarily for the Izembek Lagoon and the complex of lagoons in the area and bays. This Refuge has been doing Brant age ratio surveys for many years. Last year we changed it up a little bit.

Instead of using two people and doing the survey over a period of weeks we reduced it down to a five to seven day period where we had 10 biologists out trying to get observations of groups of Brant to classify them as juveniles or adults. It's an important tool for us and it's part of what we use to manage the harvest and set the harvest limits, the bag limit, that sort of thing.

 Last year in about a six-day period the percentage of juveniles in the population was estimated to be 17.1 percent compared to 2015 that was 12.9 percent. The population is still doing well. There's some things that people have been theorizing about what's going on. I'll mention some of this because it's kind of interesting.

I didn't put it all in my report, but more and more birds are wintering on Izembek Lagoon, but we're finding that most of those birds are now coming from the Arctic. They're not coming from Yukon Delta anymore, which was the traditional nesting location of the Brant.

The theory or suggestion is the climate is changing enough that it's producing a much better nesting habitat area with virtually unlimited food supplies up in the Arctic. Through isotope analysis we know that the birds that are coming here and wintering in Cold Bay are coming mostly from the Arctic now. So I found that to be very interesting.

On Page 5 you can see the index for productivity for those juvenile Brant. The population is doing well. That takes me to the very important fall population survey that is done by migratory birds here. Every fall they fly and they count Brant, Cackling Geese, Emperor Geese, Steller's Eider, a variety of waterfowl species and come up with a trend count if you will and we compare that from year to year to gauge the health of the population and productivity.

Last fall we had the highest count ever

of Pacific Brant at Izembek. Over 200,000 birds were counted. That's the highest all-time record. In fact it was 33 percent higher than the long-term average of about 137,000 birds. It was also up from 2015 by 21 percent. In 2015 the count was 160,700 birds.

I'm going to allow you to read through some of the other survey information on your own because there's a lot of information there, but it was important for me to learn too what's going on and I think it will be helpful for you folks to have that information right there in front of you easy to access if you need it.

I will go on and mention the midwinter population count before we leave the Brant. As I mentioned earlier, more and more birds are staying on the Lagoon all winter long as a result of the Lagoon not freezing. Apparently they know what's going on and they have gauged within themselves, an intrinsic thing, nobody really knows how this happens, but they are finding adequate amounts of food in the Lagoon, so they're not migrating south in the winter. They're actually overwintering here.

We seem to have plateaued around 45,000 birds since 2011. That is generally the number of birds that have been wintering on the Izembek Lagoon. We had a few more the year before, probably closer to 55,000. Compared to the long-term average, the midwinter count has only been around 18,500 birds. So more and more birds are staying here and then just leaving and going directly north to their new breeding grounds essentially in the Arctic. So I found that to be very interesting.

The next thing I'd like to talk about briefly is some of the research projects that are going on here with help primarily from the U.S. Geological Survey. For several years now we've been doing avian influenza and blood parasite studies with USGS Ty Donnelly. He usually comes and he spends two months here, September and October, and he takes samples from hunter-harvested birds, usually about 1,000 birds in his sample, to see if the avian influenza is showing up here or what's going on.

I did find a report Andy Ramey and three other researchers from USGS were here this fall

doing some studies and the results of some of their work was published just in 2016 in a journal called Infection, Genetics and Evolution. Essentially what they found was that there are some viruses in the populations here contain a common ancestry with H5N1 and H5N2, but they actually have not found those viruses here.

So apparently there's interchange -- these viruses change over time, so we're seeing some evidence of those viruses or other viruses here, but we haven't actually found the H5N1 or N2 in our population here.

We did do our Tundra Swan survey this year. Not much to report there. Not real much change from previous years. We counted a total of 735 swans and 11 nests. The nests are just sort of aside to the actual swan count. We do it in two units, the Izembek and the Pavlof Units and essentially there's not much change there. I'll let you look at the numbers.

2.4

2.7

I will point out on Page 9 and 10 I did put in a couple of figures this year that showed where those swans occurred. I know Pat had asked last year where are you seeing these birds, where are they nesting, so I decided to put these figures in from the actual surveys so you can see where the birds were observed during surveys. Those are Figures 3A and 4A.

I was happy that we were able to get our brown bear survey done before you came and I hurried up and added it to the report before I took off for my moose hunt. We do a trend survey here too essentially flying those areas where bears are easily observed in association with salmon spawning streams. We start up around Joshua Green and we work our way down through the Izembek Lagoon complex and end up over on Unimak Island. It usually takes us about five or six days.

This year we observed 281 bears. We usually see about 300 bears on this survey and classify them. Not a whole lot of change. I think Nate Svoboda pointed out that it's really hard to enumerate or census an entire bear population, so we don't even attempt to do that. But from our information it's clear that the bear population here is very good and extremely productive. I think Nate was saying that the

average litter size was 2.9 and without doing any real statistical analysis I would definitely agree. It's probably close to three bears, three cubs here as well.

In the Izembek Unit there were 46 single bears observed on the flight and 32 sows accompanied by cubs. Of the cubs, 33 were cubs of the year, 32 yearlings. I thought it was a little interesting that there was only one 2-1/2 year old cub observed in the Izembek area because personally, just driving around, I've seen a lot more 2-1/2 year old cubs than that. It's just kind of a chance thing. Like Nate was saying, it's a snapshot. That one moment in time when you happen to go out and you see what you see. It's by no means a census. It's just kind of a trend count.

2.4

2.7

Unimak Island, 137 bears were observed, which included 43 singles, 21 sows with cubs and of those cubs 19 were cubs of the year, 28 yearlings and 26 2-1/2 year olds, which seemed much more normal to me. Anyway, I did make a note in there about the single bears. I wouldn't put a whole lot of stock in it having any particular meaning.

On the Izembek Unit where we have a much greater harvest Unit 9D, the actual number of single bears increased slightly from the previous year 5 percent. The Unimak Island number of single bears actually decreased by 27 percent, which I have no idea what that means. It doesn't really mean anything I don't think.

 The harvest is far greater on the Izembek Unit and Unit 9D and I'll talk about that a little bit. That was something I hadn't seen in our previous reports and I was very interested in it. If you move on to Page 13, I talk a little bit about brown bear harvest. This information is from Alaska Department of Fish and Game, our good partners, and Dave Crowley sent this information to me when I asked for it.

Just briefly, in Unit 9D -- we have an every other year harvest season basically. We have a fall season in the odd numbered years, so we have a fall hunt this year, October 1 to October 21, and then we'll have a hunt in May from May 10 to May 31 next year on the even-numbered years. It's interesting to me

Page 233

that from 1988, on Pages 14 and 15, we typically harvest on average of about 130 bears a year during the odd-numbered year hunt in the fall but only like 9 or 10 bears in the spring.

So it has something to do with the availability and observability of bears. They're just a lot easier to find in the fall. They're either still down on the salmon streams or they're up out in the open feeding on berries, which I do want to talk about the berry thing. We've had a big berry die-off. Very few berries here this year as well.

Anyway, I found it very interesting that people are taking most of the bears in the fall and very few in the springtime. Also along with that 81 percent of the harvest in Unit 9D are by nonresidents, so those people are being taken out by outfitters and guides.

2.4

2.7

In contrast, on Unimak Island Unit 10, that's an every year hunt but it is a draw hunt, so you have to apply and typically only 9 or 10 bears are harvested during that hunt. Approximately 37 percent of those taken are by nonresident hunters. So there's a lot more Alaska residents that put in for that Unimak hunt. It is a unique hunt and I would say overall you might see a few more larger bears, say 9.5-footers or whatever. More like Kodiak because it is a closely-monitored hunt for trophies, but there's plenty of big ones out here too as well.

Just a couple of other projects that I want to talk about that are quite important to the Refuge. One is our water temperature monitoring project. I start talking about that a little bit on Page 15, but on Page 16 there is a figure of where we have our water temperature monitoring sites. Currently we have 24 sites.

We started this project about three years ago and it's important for us because we're collecting baseline information about what's going on here at Izembek during a period of great transition. This project is actually not just taking place here. We're doing projects just like this in other Refuges in Alaska and actually it's a nationwide effort. So similar work is being done across the nation to monitor climate change

Page 234

What we're finding is that water temperatures are warming up. Basically we go out and we set up -- we put what are called data-loggers in containers made out of PVC and we jam them into the ground and if we're lucky the bears haven't pulled them out by the next year we'll go back and we pull those out of ground, we download the data and while we're there we also take some additional information down about stream water velocity and discharge. We measure pH, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, those kinds of things, so we get a lot of good information.

In doing the study I want to thank the Water Resources Branch, Meg Perdue in particular, for coming out this year and leading that study up without a biologist here. She did a great job and offered some good ideas for improvement. Basically that has to do with the difficulty of keeping these things up and running. Our biggest problem has been brown bears finding them and jerking them out of the ground or chewing them up. We lost a number of those. Well, each year we've lost a number of them.

The other thing that has happened a few times is some of the data-loggers have been lost due to flooding events. So we're learning a little bit better where to put them. You know, you don't put them in a braided stream that just gets major amounts of debris and water coming down. We're still pursuing this project because we think it's worthwhile. We've gained some good information from it and I'm sure we're going to see some more changes in the future as we move forward.

I don't think I mentioned this, but as an example we have another project which I didn't even put in this report with UAF and the SeaLife Center. They have been doing some water monitoring themselves for us, with us, out in Izembek Lagoon and the bay here. The water temperature in Izembek Lagoon was 10 degrees warmer than it's been at any time in the past last year. As a result, we're seeing some things that we're starting to wonder what's going to happen. There may be some concerns, but what you can do about it I don't know.

That takes me to the eelgrass studies we've been doing. These have actually been ongoing

2

3 4

5

6

Page 235

since the 1960s, so we're looking at abundance of productivity. This year we also started to look at some of the pathogens that are starting to show up in the eelgrass as a result probably of changes in water temperature and other things. We're finding some of the eelgrass is dying out in areas. Other places it's increasing. The plants themselves are changing.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

Typically since the '60s I spoke with one of the earlier biologists, Peter. I can't think of his last name right now. He lives down in Valdez. He said the plants have changed a lot since he started first coming here. The plants are not shorter-leaved and wider-leaved. He believes that it's due primarily to the increased number of sunny days and they're able to photosynthesize more efficiently by changing.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

We are concerned that we may have some potential diseases showing up as a result of seeing some of the plants die off, so we did initiate a study with folks in our regional office and USGS to look at the presence of pathogenic organisms in our eelgrass here in the Lagoon.

23 2.4 25

26

2.7

2.8 29

30

31

In the past, just as an example, there were some major die-offs along the Atlantic Coast in the '30s as a result of these same kind of pathogens that have showed up. And another one where they were doing studies in Europe discovered that the pathogen reduced the sea germination of the eelgrass by six times. So things could happen, we don't know, but we are trying to monitor that.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

The other thing that we've kept up with here at the Refuge is our marine mammal surveys. don't really have a really big program yet set up for that, but we do have 10 remote trail cameras set up at some specific locations on Unimak Island and the Izembek Lagoon where walrus and sea lions have been hauling up. As you probably recall, three or four years ago we didn't have any walrus or sea lions here. So it is kind of neat to see this. We've seen as many as 2,000 walrus hauled up in Uralic Bay and two to three hundred sea lions hauled up in different places.

44 45 46

47

48

We had a group of NOAA marine mammal biologists who have been coming now for a couple years looking at seals. Seals have traditionally hauled up on Amak Island out here just outside of Izembek Lagoon.

It was a real windy day and they went out and flew and they noted when they came back -- I always check with them how did things go, what did you see, and they said there were no seals on Amak Island, but the leeward side of the island out of the wind was packed with sea lions.

So the sea lions are chasing the seals off. It's easy to tell them apart. The other interesting thing was that the sea lions are real climbers, their flippers are much more mobile and they can climb way up into the rocks, so that's what they were doing to get out of the wind, but they were keeping the seals out of a traditional haul-out site.

So that's most of the biology that I wanted to go through with you folks today. I will mention that we made an effort to do a little bit more in the villages. I hired three interns this year. Unfortunately I had to let one of them go.

2.4

2.7

The other one that I didn't want to leave, Charlie Musser, has decided to leave on his own, but while he was here he did participate in the culture camp at Sand Point and King Cove and he did a really nice job of writing up his experience there. So I put it in the report at the end and put in a few photographs that he had given to me for your reading pleasure. I really like the way Charlie writes. We were members of a little writing club here in Cold Bay, so I was sad to see him leave, but he's moved on. He's actually down in Puerto Rico studying sloths right now.

 One of the things that we did -- he's a cultural anthropologist and, doggone it, we let him participate in some of these other activities. So he did the walrus and sea lion surveys over on Unimak Island and he helped with the bear survey. He said I've learned one thing about being here. He said I want to be a biologist. So he's off doing biology now and we were sad to see him leave.

But my goal is -- the funding is still available through the Student Conservation Association and I'm going to try to refill that position and get somebody back in that position. I think it's really important. It's something that I've wanted to do since I've been here and I know the Fish and Wildlife Service has wished to do something where we could get out into

the villages more frequently, participate in culture camps.

I would like to get somebody here next month if I can. I couldn't get to it this month, but next month -- and they're standing by at SCA with some interested applicants and I'd like to get that person onboard and trained up and get all the -- you know, there's a lot of effort that goes into getting even seasonals onboard. I think there's 14 different application documents you have to complete just to get an SCA intern here.

Hopefully we'll have somebody here within a month or two and they'll be able to go to the schools and the villages and share some of what we do and participate in village life. So that's something that I really want to get done and I will be working toward that come next month.

So any questions.

2.4

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any Council

members.

Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I had two questions in your report.

On Page 7, and this is in regards to the Emperor geese at the very top of the page there's a note that the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta nest plot survey suggested that the Emperor geese produced low numbers of eggs, had low nest success and low clutch sizes, and I guess first of all, is that from work in September of 2016 or was that from work in 2017 and then can you give any more context or information about that.

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, through the Chair. That information is actually put together by our Migratory Bird Division, and that data was from 2016 so I don't have any 2017 data. So they do this annual nest plot survey out in the Yukon Delta and they are finding that things are changing there. Like I said, the birds that are, well, in this case we're talking about Emperor geese but birds are going elsewhere. They're doing different things. So what's going on there I don't -- there was nothing in the report that

135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

we got from Mig Birds that stated why. You could guess what possibly has gone on, you know, cold wet spring, lack of insects, who knows.

I have all the reports where this information came from if you're interested in any of that.

MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you. And then my second question, in regards to the water temperature monitoring of the fresh water streams, and I understand it's to create a baseline and see if we see climate effects, but what -- then what? So say we have this data and we say, oh, there's climate effects, what will we do with this data or how does it help us in kind of practical terms?

MR. RISDAHL: That's a good question,

2.4

 Rebecca.

So biologists like to collect baseline data because it gives you somewhere to start from and if you don't know what you got at the beginning you can't -- you really don't know how you got where you become. So in this case there's a lot of information out there that we don't have and we're collecting that now and it gives us the ability to say, oh, yeah, this is happening as a result of a warming climate or lack of snow cover. You know we had a huge crow berry dieoff this last winter here and I mentioned it to some biologist and everyone I've talked to said, oh, yeah, that's happened where we come from, up and down the Alaska Peninsula and out on the Aleutians, what was going on, you know, I thought maybe this was a normal thing but I saw how long it was taking for the crow berry to recover. Without documenting that you just don't know what's going to happen.

Along with that, as an example, from right at our office over to my house, it's probably 50 yards, the crow berry died there as well, but the most interesting things that I noticed is that there are willow plants, three different species that I can see growing up between, you know, within the crow berry; where did it come from. You know, obviously the seed bed is there but it's taken certain conditions to be just right for those willows to suddenly germinate and start to grow. I mean if this continues on the path that it appears as though it will, it'll be very

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

Page 239

similar to what's happened in the Interior of Alaska where the birch and willow distribution has continued to advance north and west and along with that the moose herds have grown and increased. So people that used to harvest caribou for subsistence on an annual basis are now all harvesting moose because it's better moose habitat. And I can just imagine that same kind of thing happening here with the changes that we're seeing.

9 10 11

12 13

So that baseline information is really important to know where you came from and then you can say, oh, well, this has what's happened and had you not collected that information you wouldn't have any idea how you got to where you were.

15 16 17

14

MR. SCHWANTES: Madame Chair.

18 19

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom.

20 21

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, Greg, can you tell me why we didn't get a winter survey done on the Unimak herd?

23 2.4 25

26

2.7

2.8 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46

22

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, through the Chair. Like I said, our biologist -- our head biologist, Stacy, she left actually the very beginning of January right prior to the big shuffle with the new Administration coming in. Everybody knew that jobs were -- nobody was going to be hiring anybody and so the Fish and Wildlife Service, like some of the other Federal civilian agencies tried to get people moved around as quickly as they could. Stacy had an opportunity -- she had been here for about six years and she was looking to do something different and so she took that position, a position in Hawaii. And then Chris -- I had already given Chris permission to do a detail down in Guam, so he was gone for two months helping Fish and Wildlife Service in Guam and when he came back, actually that was just prior to the Kodiak meeting and Chris joined me at the meeting, the RAC meeting in Kodiak, and after that he decided that he was -- living in Cold Bay was just too difficult for him and so we've worked with him to find him a new home. He was a good biologist, no complaints about his work, but he's now transferred officially down to a Refuge down in Washington State.

47 48 49

So we didn't have a biologist here.

And just without somebody around to do it, we just couldn't get it done. And that was prior to getting the interns brought on board, so, hopefully that will answer your question.

MR. SCHWANTES: It answers my question but it doesn't do anything for me. And I'll just tell you, it makes my blood boil, because for the first several years I sat on this Council that's exactly what we got from US Fish and Wildlife was excuses. For several years we didn't get those surveys. We have a responsibility to provide subsistence for the people who live out here, it's hard for us to do that without those reports. And so I'm just telling you, to me, that's a number 1 priority, that we get those reports. And it's just -- an excuse is just not acceptable to me. We had assurances from your leaders and directors that we would get those reports. And so for us not to get them, to me, is just not acceptable.

Thank you.

 MR. RISDAHL: Through the Chair. You know, I appreciate your comment and respect that and we'll do the best that we can.

I think I mentioned earlier, I have been pushing very hard to get a biologist brought back here and my boss and I talked on Monday and he said, I assure you that the biologist at Izembek is the highest priority position to be refilled from all the southern Refuges. So there's eight Refuges under my boss' authority and he's assured me that we are going to get a biologist here. I've already completed the paperwork for both the GS-11 and GS-9 biologist and it's been sitting on my desk for months in my to-go file, but until we're given the authority to even submit a waiver — we have to submit a waiver to have positions filled and it has to go all the way to Washington, D.C., before we're given permission to fill any positions.

As you can see we get a lot of help from a lot of people. For instance the eel grass monitoring and the Brant age ratio monitoring. I've asked biologists -- or the water monitoring project -- I've asked water resources branch, I begged them to send a biologist out here to help us with that. David Ward from the USGS has offered his help to lead the

Brant age ratio survey that they'll be starting soon. So a lot of people have stepped forward to help us. But with a Staff of four people here it's just very difficult to get everything done and we're paying for that, you know, we are paying people -- we're flying them out here to stay, we're paying their per diem, so it's not like we're not making the effort, we really truly are. And it's important to us as well.

And fortunately in the case of the Unimak and Southern Alaska Caribou Herds we have the Alaska Department of Fish and Game as an ally and a partner and they did do their recruitment surveys and so we do have good information about the population, we just didn't get the minimum winter count done this year. And there's been other years, if you'll look back at the tables you'll see that there's other years that some of those surveys didn't get done, too, whatever the reasons were, I don't know, I wasn't here, but we do our best and sometimes it's a weather-related situation that you just can't get to some of those surveys as well. But we will continue to do our best.

I appreciate the comment.

MR. SCHWANTES: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Greq.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, is

that Rick or Pat.

-

MR. HOLMES: This is Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: I will try and keep my comments brief and I believe if it's okay with you and Karen I'll probably write most of them down and then send them to you and Karen.

I wasn't going to say anything but I would like to comment on Tom's thoughts.

We have, in, I don't know how many years I've been on, close to 20 now, but we've had assurances from every single Refuge -- the head of

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47 Page 242

Refuges, the head of Fish and Wildlife Service that your programs for Unimak would be the priority and most of those blanks came up with the same thing; we didn't have a person, we didn't have a plane, the plane needed it's 100 hour, all those things were known in advance. And you've done the best job, I think, Greg, on trying to answer our questions and try to get things done so it's not really a reflection on you, but it's a reflection on the whole operation. You don't need a biologist to count caribou. I know Glenn Devenport, when he was the salmon guy out there, the salmon guy at Cold Bay, he would count caribou and moose when the moose started showing up out there and relay the data. And I find it, your agency deplorable, that you can get 10 people to count Brant but you can't get one person to do caribou. You're counting marine mammals within five miles or 10 miles of where the calving grounds are on the north end of Unimak Island.

And also, you know, we've talked, our Council has asked every single manager to why don't you contact the Coast Guard for a machine of opportunity and it's never happened and so we have a lot of exasperation with your shop, not necessarily with you, you do a good job, but those counts need to be done and if nothing else, maybe we need to have some -- develop some capacity building with the local tribes out there, Aleutians East Borough and just charter Steve Harvey, retired Fish and Game pilot that was a commercial

pilot, charter pilot out there for close to 50 years,

hire him to come down, he'll get them counted.

32 just so important.

And then the big question that I've asked several times is why a winter count. Some of the Refuges up in Northwest do spring counts because that's when you get the whole herd congregated and you can have better options on weather and so it's counting the critters, not the time -- or not the person or the biologist.

So that's our exasperation, and I'll be quiet Madame Chair, thank you, and good luck Greg.

MR. RISDAHL: Well, thank you, Pat, I appreciate your comments, too, and they're taken to heart and we'll just do the best that we can, that's all I can offer at this point.

Thank you.

MR. SCHWANTES: Madame Chair.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair, this is Rick.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick, and then Coral had a comment and then Tom.

 MR. KOSO: Yeah, Greg, thanks for the great report there, I thought that was a good report. I guess, you know, most of the Board members still feel like we're getting short cut on the Unimak but we'll keep working on it.

The question I had, I guess, I know the caribou is still way down and I don't expect any hunt down there, the question I had are those caribou the same caribou as 9D; is that correct?

2.4

2.7

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, through the Chair, Rick. Genetically they are the same caribou. And we have seen caribou swimming back and forth, so, yeah, technically they are the same herd. They probably —the ones that are on Unimak probably tend to just stay on Unimak most of the time. We haven't seen a lot of interchange back and forth between the island and the Peninsula but they are definitely the same herd.

MR. KOSO: Okay. And as far as the wolf population goes, I guess you probably wouldn't know that because you didn't get a chance to do the survey, but did the State do a wolf count while they were doing caribou?

MR. RISDAHL: Through the Chair. Actually in conversation with Dave Crowley, I believe he said they did not see a single wolf when they flew that Unimak flight. So apparently they don't know where they went to or maybe they just took off because the caribou numbers were low, who knows, but what Dave told me -- but maybe he can give you more of an update or Chris, but I'm pretty sure he told me they did not see a single wolf when they flew Unimak.

MR. KOSO: I just have one more quick question. How about the moose in the 9D area, were you able to see any moose in that area?

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

Page 244

MR. RISDAHL: Through the Chair. Yeah, Rick, actually our pilot, Ken Richardson, he has mentioned seeing moose periodically when they've been flying over there for various different purposes so I don't really have a handle on what the population might be. From the sound of it, folks in King Cove have been keeping an eye on a cow and a calf and a bull or something, you know, so they've regularly seen a few individuals but it doesn't sound like there's a big population there. We have not been monitoring it.

10 11 12

Okay, thanks, Greg. MR. KOSO:

13 14

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral.

15 16

17

18

MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you. interested in, we have the Emperor goose subsistence hunt in the spring, did you hear of anybody taking birds?

19 20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26 2.7

2.8 29

30

31

32

That's a very good MR. RISDAHL: question, Coral. Through the Chair. You know, I think the only guy that really went after birds in spring in Cold Bay was Matt, and he tries to get about 80 Brant a year to fill the freezer but honestly Cold Bay doesn't have a lot of subsistence users, if you will, not technically. People all go after their salmon, a few people try to get caribou but there's not a lot of egg gathering or bird hunting in the spring that I have seen here in Cold Bay in the short time that I've been here. So I don't have a good handle on -- honestly I don't think hardly any birds were taken here in the spring as far as Emperor geese goes.

33 34 35

MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you.

36 37

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom.

38 39 40

41

42

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, I just want to point out for the record that during the last 10 years we've only had four years where we've actually had that winter count so 60 percent of the time we're not getting it and to me that's just not acceptable.

43 44

Thank you.

45 46 47

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Now,

48 49

50

it's my turn.

(Laughter)

1 2 3

4

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And some of my people left here that I did want to ask to speak but of course it is lunch time.

5 6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

The issue on the caribou counts is high priority, as you've well heard from the Council members. But one of the things that adds to the problem of not being able to get those counts done, weather being one, which is something we put up with -we live with it and we work around it as much as we However, the other issue has been the lack of Staffing or the biologist. Stacy was here, tremendous support and liking of Stacy in all the communities she's dealt with, I've never heard a more positive interaction with people than I have about Stacy in Izembek Refuge in all my life of being associated with it. Recently Charlie was in King Cove in the culture camp and we had hoped that him, and I can't recall the young lady's name that was with him, could have stayed for the Eddie Mack Scholarship Fund, just, you know, the ability to understand the community of King Cove more as one of the communities within the Izembek region. The concern that I have and has been voiced to me is basically the turnover of the Staff at Izembek and I'm not sure what the answer to that is but it does reflect on the ability to do some of the stuff that needs to be done so we can all make really good decisions.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44

45

Cold Bay is unique, in the fact that you have your subsistence users and there are subsistence users. You have the sports hunters, you have the -- just about every government agency you can think of is sitting right in this community and it's a mixed bag, it's a big -- you know and then you add our outlying communities to that mix and there is a lot there, and not having Staff to be able to do that, you know, one of the things that I've heard was the issue even with enforcement and exactly what that means, and to what degree I'm not sure, and I'll try to get more information on that. And, Greg, will let you know that I am very up front in telling him if I hear a concern or complaint, I do get to him directly. He probably wishes some days that, oh, no, there's Della.

46 47 48

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: But I thank him for taking, you know, being willing to try to work with us. Because I cannot stress how important it is and God help me as long as I'm involved with this you will hear it all the time. And I can't -- and being a part of this process for 20 years, there's got to be better improvements and relations. You know I'm going to retire and come over here and then I'll be your worst nightmare, trust me.

9 10 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

(Laughter)

12 13

14

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I -- yeah, we've got to -- and I'll do whatever I can to help with that, I'm willing to do whatever I can.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The other thing is the swans. You mentioned the number of swans in that population, I had marked, I believe it was 800 for the Peninsula and — this area and then Pavlof area but in some of our discussions we talked about this being a population that basically stays in this area so it's not part of the migratory bird as far as harvest. Is there any documentation somewhere that basically shows or says that we want the population to be at this level or what exactly is there available on swans.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48 49

50

MR. RISDAHL: Madame Chair. Number 1. I want to say how much I appreciate working with you. I do enjoy my time with you and I really respect your efforts and your honesty. And I will -- before I talk about the swans, I totally commiserate with you on the whole Staffing issue. When I first was hired to come up here to Izembek, I sat down with my boss, Ronnie Sanchez and the Refuge Chief, Mitch Ellis, and they said what are you going to do in Izembek and said my number 1 priority is to try to get some Staff that want to stay here and number 2, to work with the surrounding villages. Those are my one and two priorities. it's obviously been a struggle and all I can say is it's hard to get people to come out to some of these places. You know, for instance I'm a married, I'm a husband and a father and I have two younger kids in Anchorage with my wife, they can't live here without a school, my wife needs access to businesses for her work. Ken Richardson, his wife lives in Idaho. Our maintenance mechanic, his wife is still in Texas. You know it's just changing times, it's hard to find people that are willing and want to live in these places. I'm

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 247

totally fine. I'm a little worried about my family now, personally, but I tell everybody I'm like the zen Refuge manager, I love the peace and quiet out here, this suits me perfectly well, but I do have a family 650 miles away and the kids are going when is dad coming home, you know. And my wife is like, you know, the kids kind of need you, you need to come home more often and I'm like, well, you know, I don't want to spend \$1,000 every month to go back and forth and so I spend most of my time here. It's just difficult. like with Chris, I don't know what to tell you, the kid was doing a great job, and excellent writer and I could have used him but he decided, you know, he said I just can't live here, he said there's just -- my girlfriend is in California and my family is on the East Coast and he says, I just can't -- I just can't live here. do you do? You know so you try to accommodate, you give those people an opportunity, you don't want to lose them as an employee but to get good people to come and live in these places is becoming more difficult. And apparently it's been an ongoing issue in Izembek for a long time.

23 24

I don't know how to change that.

25 26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

My boss' and I, we talked a little bit, and I know they have talked within amongst themselves that there must be some other kinds of incentives they can offer people to come and live out here. You know, for instance, pay our way back and forth from Anchorage once or month or something, but nothing has happened, you know, we don't have any of those incentives. So people just -- either they like it and they live here or they try it out and they move on.

35 36 37

38

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Greg, if I'm not here and I leave this afternoon, Council, do add that to the annual report, the retention of Staff at Izembek.

39 40 41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

MR. RISDAHL: Madame Chairman to answer your question about the swans, of course this program was set up before I got here. I don't know the answer, what kind of information is available that gives the history of why we don't have a hunting season. From what I recall, though, it is considered a unique population because it doesn't really migrate. I don't honestly know that much about it other than the survey information but I will look into it. And, you know,

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Page 248

because it is considered still a migratory bird it would still be handled under the Migratory Bird Division and they would make a season if there was interest, you know, that would be their purview. The Refuge and local input could help in that. But at the moment, you know, until somebody says something, says, hey we want to harvest some of these swans nothing will happen. But if you guys are interested or local folks are interested in having a small harvest on the swans there's no reason we couldn't talk to Julian Fischer, for instance, at Migratory Birds and say, hey, what do we need to do.

12 13 14

15 16

17

18

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Personally I think 900-some birds is not a big population, you know, but the question has been asked to me on a number of occasions so I'd like to just get that out there, you know, any more information we can get on that would be helpful.

19 20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

And I think the other thing that I heard, unfortunately, is having to do with birds and it had to do with ptarmigan with sport hunters using the wrong rifle and shooting ptarmigan and that it's You can't pick up the bird it's swiss basically mush. cheese, I mean it's full of holes. And subsistence users and hunters, you know, when we go out and shoot animals, we do it to eat and it's basically -- and it's hard for -- and this came from people in Cold Bay, the residents in Cold Bay, for them to see that because they're also subsistence users too. So it's something, I think, and the ways of enforcement from State, Fish and Wildlife, you know, I recommend -- I heard -- you know, I had a number of people talk to me in the community and the community of Cold Bay is small and unfortunately they don't want to get into these things where these people are mad at me or this group is mad at me because it's just such a small community so I've always told people, if you've got concerns, do come to me and as I mentioned to you concerns before without names, they're valid and I'd like to protect the people, they have to live here, but one of the recommendations is -- and Angela had left, is the city administrator, you know, every now and then they have these open door potlucks over in this building for people just to get together if they're planning something, I think it's a good opportunity for people that work here, not only from the Refuge, or if you're here from the State, you know, come listen to the

people and what they have to say. It's more of an informal way to do that. But just a suggestion.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

1

MR. RISDAHL: Thank you, Madame Chair for that thought and recommendation. I have been trying to go to the Council meetings and I actually even considered putting my hat in the -- or in my name in the hat to participate in the Council but my boss told me it would be a conflict of interest so that probably saved me some headaches but I still feel very strongly that we should do what we can to help the community. I try to talk with folks, I've been to some of the potlucks, I went to the last Bingo potluck, they had food and Candice took the opportunity to do a little meeting, which was good, because if you don't offer food nobody comes, so the potlucks are really good for that purpose.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

As far as the ptarmigan goes, and I heard some other folks mention this as well earlier. but our ptarmigan population is way down and honestly I wish people would just leave them alone, especially the ones along the road. The rock ptarmigan are doing better up high because people are too lazy to hike up that high to get them, is part of it is my guess. I am seeing a few small flocks down below of willow ptarmigan but there's not a lot and people tend to, if they see them fly out of the alders or something they're on them. And I will say that this year my time has been short here but the second fall, there is definitely more hunters here this year. Part of that, I believe, is the result of the Emperor goose opening, part of it is the result of the caribou population increasing and the word is starting to get out and people are wanting to come up here and get caribou. Not many caribou around Cold Bay, you got to hunt pretty hard to find them. And the third thing is, is we've had interest by three additional outfitters, quides that want to work here and I did give a special use permit to one. I really didn't have a justification not to. But we have some issues maybe that are arising there, bringing in lots of hunters. I think there's a couple of guys here that are operating not guite legitimately and we do have law enforcement here right now. But, you know, they like to build their cases before they come down on individuals, but there's definitely things going on. Especially the more folks that come in from outside. You know the local people, we don't even have law enforcement here and people are

very well behaved. I've been completely impressed, people get along, yeah, there's drama in the background, but people get along and they do look out for each other so we don't really have a need for law enforcement except during the hunting season when this influx of people comes in.

6 7 8

9

1

2

3

4 5

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So Fish and Wildlife is handing out these permits out to guide, do you evaluate those in a year, whether or not they're reissued or is there a deadline on what -- or what?

11 12 13

14

15 16 MR. RISDAHL: Madame Chair, that's a very good question. So there are basically two kinds of special use permits that are given out for commercial guiding. One is a competitive permit and the other is a non-competitive permit.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

In Alaska, well, this is pretty much done only in Alaska -- well, they do some of this in the Lower 48 but it's a big program in Alaska, the big game guides, they have to go through what's called -we call it prospectus process, and they have to submit a lot of documentation about their ability to outfit and guide, including their business plan and insurance and all this sort of thing. Typically those applications are about a half an inch to an inch thick. There's a lot of information that goes into those, they're reviewed and analyzed by a whole group of people and eventually it ends up on the Refuge manager's desk and he looks to see what the panel has done in terms of they rank all of the individuals that apply to be a big game guide on the Refuge and eventually the Refuge manager makes a selection based on his review as well as how the panel ranked all the applications. So that's the one type, that's competitive. So in Alaska all big game guides have to apply and compete to be able to get a permit to guide on a Refuge.

39 40 41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

The other type are the non-competitive and those are annual permits, that's what you're asking. So in the case of a waterfowl guide, they have to submit an application every year or a fish guide, or a transporter, they have to apply every single year and I review their application and there's questions in there about like have you committed any wildlife violations in the last five years, if so, what are they. And I always do a background investigation on

everybody that submits an application so I know if they have any wildlife violations. So for instance the individual I gave the permit to, he had a clean record. Two other individuals didn't have a clean record and I said you're not going to get a permit. I said, you know, we don't -- you know, I was nice, you know, I was diplomatic.

7 8 9

1

2

3 4

5

6

## (Laughter)

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

MR. RISDAHL: But I said, you know, as it is we have enough local people that are waterfowl hunting and we only have a small area, really, we have access to the Izembek Lagoon, which is the primary hunting location for waterfowl and there's three ways to get to it. And even with the existing three waterfowl quides, now we have four, with the existing three waterfowl guides, I was getting complaints -people were coming and saying, you know, every day no matter what day I go out there's guides out there and they all go to the same places, too, because there's only three access points. So the guides are there from dawn to dusk, maybe they go home for lunch, but they're there every single day from the start of the season to the end of the season so no matter what day a local resident goes out to hunt waterfowl he's going to have to adjust where he goes based on the guides and they all typically take, you know, five guys or more at a time. So when I talked to the applicants for these permits, I say, we really don't have that large of an area and we only have three access points and I'm already getting complaints by local people that it's a little on the crowded side and when locals can't find a place to hunt -- I mean it's not like the 48, honestly, I mean I grew up in Montana and even then there were places I just simply wouldn't go, there was just too many people and other places are far worse, I mean I'm sure you know about some of those places, but we don't want a congested hunting, we want a quality hunt and we have -- and we owe it to our subsistence users to give them a preference. So if, in this case, I had no justification not to give one individual a permit, he had a clean record and -- but if there's problems that come up this fall he won't be coming back, that's all I can say.

45 46 47

48

I review the applications, I do a background investigation, I do reference checks on all these guys that apply. I have to know if they have the

financial ability to come out here and if they have a place to stay, where are they going to operate from, but you'd be surprised at how many people try to sneak around and do different things.

So, anyway.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca, did you have another comment and then maybe we'll break here.

 MS. SKINNER: Oh, Coral actually had her hand up before I did, it's just Melissa told you I had my hand up so I'll defer to Coral and then I can go later.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral

MS. CHERNOFF: I had a question about enforcement and, of course, it always comes up and we always talk about enforcement and lack of enforcement.

2.4

So does enforcement come out here on a regular basis, how many days would you say enforcement is per year, are they sort of obligated to hit areas, a certain amount of time, do you get them two days out of the year.

I guess that's enforcement back there.

(Laughter)

MS. CHERNOFF: And if they come out, is there a particular season they come out in or do they only come out when they're called out.

 MR. RISDAHL: Through the Chair. Coral. I would like to introduce our law enforcement, Kelly Modla, she's from Kenai and she's been coming out, I don't know how many years. She has more years here than I have. Right now she's planning on being out here twice for a couple of weeks at each stay, I think; is that correct?

OFFICER MODLA: (Nods affirmatively)

MR. RISDAHL: So she tries to arrange her travel based on her other duties as well as when we really need her here. And so openings or when birds are really flying in or, you know, there's also other

law enforcement here but I can't talk about them.

1 2 3

(Laughter)

4 5

MR. RISDAHL: That's more under cover,

6 7 8

9

so to speak.

But Kelly is our face and she's out there contacting people on a daily basis and she doesn't just work in the afternoon and quit at 5:00, I see her out on the weekends and working evenings, too. So she's definitely out there when she needs to be there so.

13 14 15

11

12

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca, did you have a comment or was it just Coral.

16 17 18

19

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I actually had a couple followup questions regarding the caribou data and a biologist.

20 21 22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Can you comment on the necessity of having the winter count in order for the subsistence harvest to be appropriately managed. And I ask that, keeping in mind that Fish and Game does have -- they do have the survey data, et cetera. And then to help me better understand where you're coming from, what are your priorities. So if you did get a wildlife -- or a biologist, I think at this table you've heard the importance of having a biologist to do the caribou count, so that kind of goes towards the first question, which is, are these winter counts necessary or are they nice to have, can we not have a hunt without them, which obviously we can have a hunt because hunts are happening, but if you got this biologist, are there other things that you would prioritize over the caribou. And that may be a loaded question for this group but it would really help me understand where you're coming from and what -- you know, what your perspective is.

40 41 42

Thanks.

43 44

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, thank you. Through the Chair, Rebecca, that is a very good question.

45 46 47

I was content knowing that we were getting good information from ADF&G about the caribou, getting a production count in the spring sometimes and

49 50

always a recruitment count in the fall where we 1 classify bulls, cows, calves, yearlings, so we know 2 what the recruitment of the population is. 3 4 talked -- I actually poised the same question to Fish 5 and Game. Now, I was a Fish and Game biologist myself for 15 years in Montana so this is what I did, I flew 6 surveys 60 to 80 days a year, far more surveys than the 7 Fish and Wildlife Service does and I flew everything 8 9 from elk and deer and antelope to sage grouse and 10 short-tailed grouse, sharp-tailed grouse and turkeys from the air, we did a ton of surveying from the air, 11 we did not do anything like what you would consider a 12 minimum count, ever, and I don't believe the State does 13 either, generally speaking, that's just not something 14 that is a normal wildlife management technique --15 16 method. We do very specific surveys to get -- we don't 17 just go and count numbers, we want to know what the demographics of a population are so for us to really 18 get valuable information, if you're just counting a 19 20 total number you're really not getting as good of information as you would be, as if you were classifying 21 them as bulls, cows, calves, whatever -- whatever you 22 -- just like we do with the bear survey. 23 The bear survey is very important for us because we're 2.4 classifying there's bears, we know what the population 25 is doing, we know what the demographic spread of age 26 classes and sexes are. But if you're just going out 2.7 and counting numbers, that, to me, isn't as important. 2.8 29 So I was feeling content, even though we -- and there 30 was more than just lack of a biologist, Ken was --31 we've had some airplane problems, too, so we couldn't 32 get -- there was more to it than just not having a biologist, but had we been able to we would have done 33 the flights anyway because it's something that the 34 Refuge has tried to do, but having the recruitment 35 demographic information from ADF&G studies, I felt very 36 good about having a season and knowing what's going on 37 out there because that's what I used for 15 years with 38 the State as well, and then 10 years as a biologist for 39 the Feds. That is normal survey information. That is 40 41 useful for setting season dates and bag limits.

42 43

44

45 46

47

48

So missing those minimum counts, I'm not even sure, honestly, why they started doing them. That would be -- if I had to be totally honest, and I don't want to be, you know, you guys might think it's -- I'm -- I don't know, it's not as important as doing those recruitment or production counts because you're not getting as good of information. And if you're

Page 255

having to let something go, which, you know, we keep being told you're going to have to let stuff go anyway, because it's looking like the funding for the Federal civilian agencies may continue to decrease, that's something that would go far before -- I mean if Fish and Game wasn't doing a recruitment count, we would be doing it, we would definitely be doing it because that is important. We need to know what's going on out there. We don't need to know the total number of animals, we do need to know the bull/cow ratio and the cow/calf ratio and have some idea about the trend in the population; that's what we really need to know.

So I hope that answers your question.

2.4

2.7

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, it really does. You know being very aware of the financial constraints at every level, local, State and Federal, we may want a lot of things but the reality is we're not going to get them and so it's very useful to hear from your perspective the -- I'll say the biological need, or the management need and the utility of that data because if there's other stuff that we need more than -- I mean I want to understand that because I don't want to be advocating and trying to get something that's not adding any value, particularly if that means we're giving up something that we really do need. So your answer was very helpful for me.

Thank you.

MR. RISDAHL: You're welcome. The one thing that really was useful, I think, for doing those winter counts is we would typically radio-track caribou as well. I think it was Chris had mentioned that there's about 40 collars on caribou out there and it does help us to locate them and we can give that information to the State and then when they're preparing to do their recruitment counts or whatever, we can say, yeah, this is where the herd is because we located these caribou. But they an find them even without.

Another thing that I did in Montana was I had about 160 mule deer with radio collars and neck bands and we would do observability studies so you go out and you fly three days in a row every month in different seasons, in different vegetation conditions when the does are having fawns and things to determine

Page 256

the best time of the year to be able to actually fly and see those animals and count them and do -- get good survey information. So you can do a lot of different things with collared animals and we had been doing some of that. But right now those collars -- I think the most useful thing we're getting from the collars right now is to see where those animals are and if there is much interchange between Unimak and the Southern Alaska Peninsula Herd. How many of those caribou actually go back and forth between the island and the Peninsula. But as far as a winter count, it is not as high a priority as getting a recruitment or production count done.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you, Greg. We're going to break for lunch. I told the lady that we'd come down at 1:00 and then we'll come back -- oh, okay, Tom.

2.4

2.7

MR. SCHWANTES: Just one quick comment. I would like to maybe in the future have some more information on this Tundra swan thing because I have had a number of people here, locally, ask why we didn't have a Tundra swan subsistence hunt here when they did in other areas. So maybe if you could just provide some information on that in the future.

Thanks.

MR. RISDAHL: You bet. I'll try to get that for you, Tom.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Karen, I think we'll break for lunch and then come back in an hour. Just so you know, I'm already on weather hold.

MS. DEATHERAGE: That's your middle name, Della Weatherhold Trumble, right.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So if those of you on line can come back, I'd say, like 2:15, if that's okay with everybody -- or 2:00 o'clock, we can eat fast.

(Off record)

```
(On record)
 1
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
                                                    The next
 4
     item on the agenda is ADF&G, Chris, King Salmon.
 5
 6
                     MS. PETERSON: yes, Madame Chair, this
 7
     is Chris.
 8
 9
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             Thank you,
10
     Chris. We're ready when you are.
11
                                    Okay, thank you.
12
                     MS. PETERSON:
13
                     As you all heard this morning, Greg
14
     Risdahl presented a considerable amount of data on the
15
16
     Unimak herd and that will shorten what I need to put
     out there, though, if anyone has any questions, please,
17
     feel free to clarify some points or ask for more
18
19
     information.
20
                     Here at Alaska Fish and Game -- so let
21
22
     me start over.
23
2.4
                     It was pretty clear that there were
     some good questions that people have about what we are
25
     doing in terms of managing the Southern Peninsula Herd
26
     as well as the Unimak Caribou Herd, and there was
2.7
     concern over us raising bag limits. I -- it felt like
2.8
29
     people were thinking that we were just going to run out
     there and raise the bag limits. So I just, very
30
     briefly, wanted to run through some of the management
31
     limitations that we have and the key features that we
32
     watch for that clue us in as to whether or not we can
33
     raise a bag limit, which does not necessarily mean that
34
     we would, but whether or not it would be biologically
35
     acceptable, and the key factors that we watch for that
36
     we require us to close hunts or to decrease
37
     availability of animals for harvest.
38
39
40
                     So just very briefly I'd like to run
41
     through that.
42
43
                     I can't say it all in this short time
44
     but perhaps it will be helpful to some of you to hear
     some of this.
45
46
47
                     For the Unimak herd, our management
     plan includes a population goal of at least a thousand
48
```

49

50

caribou. But since this is an island herd, and a very

Page 258

unique situation for a herd of caribou, we -- the island cannot support much more than a thousand caribou, and so that is our minimum. It's also very close to the maximum. We have a very small range within to manage this -- within which to manage this herd. So we would like to get it up to a thousand and then be able to keep it somewhere between a thousand and a few hundred more than that.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

Because of this island geography and habitat for these caribou, the management things that we can do, the tools that we have to use need to be used -- in order to be effective, they need to be used quickly, we can't have a lot of lag time between what we see that we need to do and actually being able to do it, and we have to be cautious at both ends. words, if they're declining and getting -- decreasing in numbers, we need to be very quick and cautious to decrease bag limits or to close hunts or whatever is appropriate, and then on the other end of the spectrum, if the population is growing then we need to watch those top numbers, because it would not take much at all before the population exceeded what that island can support and then we have other problems that we don't want.

25 26 27

So everything that we do is somewhat constrained because of that island geography.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43 44

45

46

On Unimak we are -- one of our goals is to manage for a minimum fall bull to cow ratio, at least 35 bulls per 100 cows. And the last few years we've not had any trouble with that at all, we've had very good numbers as Greg presented earlier. Although it is not written into the plan for our caribou biology, we know that we need to have a minimum of 20 to 25, absolute minimum, calves to 100 cows and we've been able to exceed that for the last couple of years, about three years now. And this past year, in 2016, it was actually at 40 calves per 100 cows, which fits what we have been seeing down there as far as this herd goes. It is rapidly growing. And they are in extremely good condition and predation is way down. everything is very good for this herd, other than, that it is growing rapidly on a very small island and so we have to watch those things and be able to counter those quickly.

47 48 49

Some of the key factors that we watch

Page 259

for is if the population reaches one-half, or 50 percent of that minimum, and you remember the minimum is a thousand, if we reach 500, well, we can begin to consider hunting, that doesn't mean that we have to, but it does make it so that we -- you know, it makes sense to consider it and everything would depend on what else we're seeing out there. If the habitat has recovered or what predation is like and things like that all play into this. If the population is above the minimum of a thousand, then we are required to provide sufficient harvest to manage the herd to keep it from getting too high. And if its hit a thousand really quickly and it's just climbing, like screaming up there, then we need to be able to get in there and harvest some animals and keep it from growing that fast.

On the other end of the spectrum, is if it starts to decline and that decline lasts more than a year and it just keeps going down then we need to be able to get in there and do -- figure out what's wrong and put some stops on the hunting, slow it down and be able to figure out what's going on.

So all of our regulations and our tools are aimed at doing those things.

Hunting restrictions will be implemented during periods of population decline or when the population falls below the threshold to conserve the herd for future use, which, in this case means if the calf to cow ratio falls below 20 calves per 100 cows for three consecutive years or when the total population is less than the 50 percent of the minimum population objective and it's in a period of decline, then we need to close the hunt.

So currently, the level that we're at on Unimak, we're estimating we're somewhere around 300 animals, and I don't have an exact number right here at this moment, but it's somewhere around 300, we are not even talking about starting a hunt on Unimak yet, but it could come up quickly in the next couple years. What it would be, though, would be a very conservative and careful hunt because we do not want to get back into the situation that we've been in in the past with Unimak.

Other parameters that we watch for

would be the total harvest on the island cannot exceed the allowable harvest and so if we did put a hunt in place we would very carefully watch those numbers and if it even began to get close to the total allowable then we're going to be very cautious and ready to close it, if it continued to reach that level.

6 7 8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

The ANS, the amount necessary for subsistence for the Unimak and Southern Peninsula Caribou Herd, in total, combined, is 100 to 150 caribou. Currently with the Southern Peninsula Herd opened to a general harvest ticket for residents and non-residents, I believe we had -- I believe it was around 90 -- no, it was around 80 permits issued for the Southern Peninsula Herd this past year and we only had 40-some animals harvested plus seven or eight for the Refuge, so less than 50, which represented, on the State part -- the 40 represented about a -- it was close to 60 percent success rate, which is really high, but it's still not even close to the harvest that is required for ANS. And that is one of the things that we manage for, is to provide that much opportunity. And the opportunity is there, but it is not being harvested at that level.

24252627

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

If the bull to cow ratio falls below 35 bulls per 100 cows for three consecutive years or if the allowable harvest, the number that we know are available for harvest is less than 10 bulls for two consecutive years, then we can close the hunt. We can take away the bull harvest. One thing that we have to manage for is to maintain the minimum bull to cow ratio regardless of the herd's status. There will be no harvest when the total population is below 20 percent of the minimum population objective. So when it hits 200 there's no harvest at all. And probably with the history of this herd, that would take place sooner than the 200. If it fell -- if it continues to grow and we were to open a hunt and then it declined, by the time it started down under 300 again, we would be thinking about closing that hunt well before it got to 200.

41 42 43

44

45

46 47

48

So those are some of the guidelines that we have to go by for this herd and biologically they should sustain the herd and be able to provide us with some proper management that we need to put out there. We cannot always account for nature and things that will happen, but every survey that we go on out there, we have a whole list of things that we are

watching for, investigating, checking out, making sure what the status of those different factors is before we make any sort of an assessment of the actual survey results.

So hopefully that gives you a little better understanding of how we do that and makes you a little bit -- I would hope it would make you a little more comfortable with those bag limits that we were talking about. They're not something that we're just going to jump into, we have no intentions of doing that. It just makes it so that we can react more quickly.

2.4

Other than that, I'd like to add we are managing for hopefully to get up to 100, 150 animals harvested per year. Currently we have 26 radio collared caribou on Unimak and we do maintain -- are required to maintain at least 15 collared animals, we're at 26, sometimes we've been up to 35 or 50. And we'll look at it this year and see how many animals we need to add collars to. On the Southern Peninsula Herd we have 50 collars out. So those animals help us with our surveys and they also provide a viewability index that we apply to all of our survey data.

Let's see, okay, this past year, in October, late October in 2016 we did our composition survey of both the SAP and Unimak. Specifically on the Unimak herd -- well, on both herds, we look at the proportions of the bulls and their ages, we look at the number of cows, the calves and we examine or analyze the survival of calves since they were born in the spring. This gives us an indication of causes of mortality. It can show us something that we need to investigate more closely. And so that's something that we check out. It gives us the percentages of the herd that are bulls versus cows versus calves and it breaks down the bulls into three different categories, small, medium and small bulls, which is related to age.

In June, in 2017, we did our partition survey. In this survey we examine the overwinter survival of the cows and short yearlings, yearlings being the calves that were born the previous spring. We look at the percent of pregnant cows and how many of them have calves of a given date which shows us whether or not the partrition period is changing during the year. We look at the number of bears and wolves that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16 Page 262

we see close to the calving grounds. So on Unimak the calving grounds are very, oh, they're not congregated like they are for larger herds and so we pretty much cover the entire island when we're doing partrition on Unimak. We look at the condition of the cows and the condition of the calves, and then as I said we check on those calves later in the fall to see how many of them made it. If they don't make it through the first month then that's generally due to predation or nutritional problems. And if we're seeing that then we go in and put on a calf survival project and figure out what that mortality is actually due to. Is it nutrition or is it wolf predation or bear predation. If it's predation, it's most likely bears if it's during the first few weeks of life. Most wolf predation will take place later. So the timing of that has a lot to do with what we come up with.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

We also -- when we deploy our radio collars in the spring, and, again, we probably will be doing some more of that this spring. We missed this year because we had plenty of other collaring projects that we were doing. We do physical examinations. We check for condition, age, damage. Interestingly on Unimak we have a lot of caribou that have no incisors, they're just right down into gum and probably due to several things. A lot of the caribou on Unimak frequent the beaches and they do get into some of the plants that are found on the beaches which have high sand content, which could be hard on teeth, but also there's probably plenty of ice on that island from the volcanos and that is very abrasive to teeth as well. Interestingly also those animals appear to be in fabulous condition even though they don't have incisors so it doesn't seem to be a problem down there at least the years I've been out there.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48

49

In 2016, we only had one wolf harvested on Unimak, which is well down, or at least only one reported harvested, but there probably were some others, which is considerably less than the previous years. This spring on the partrition hunts — or surveys, excuse me, we didn't see a wolf, and that's the first time we have not seen a wolf in quite a few years. We also saw fewer bears, though the number we saw had gone up from the past two years. So we do keep track of all those. And then we just look at the trends through time of all these different things that we're measuring and keep an eye on the herd and see how

it's going.

we watch.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

Also in the previous year, this past spring, we had seven brown bears harvested on Unimak, six were males and one was a female. There are 12 permits distributed or issued in the fall and in the spring on Unimak. So the number harvested is well short of the number of permits.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

And, oh, in addition, if we were to go out on one of our surveys and see indications, either in the animals or in the habitat, that there is a possibility of some sort of nutritional deficiency in terms of browse, maybe the succession is going from willows to alders and we're losing -- maybe we could be losing too much of our willows or something, then we'll go in and do a vegetation, a browse survey, and check that out and see if that's actually occurring or if it just appears to be.

19 20 21

So there's a lot of things there that

22 23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

Currently, Unimak looks really in good shape. It's growing pretty quickly. There -- all the animals we've checked out have been in just exceptionally good condition. I don't think we've seen -- maybe one animal in two years that was less than really, really excellent condition and that was a very old cow. This year we only -- we had 8 percent mortality on cows on Unimak, which, you know, we'd rather it wasn't quite that high but taken in context, you know, just a very few years ago we were down to, you know, around 100 animals, of which most were cows, and all of those cows are getting old, and over the past few years those older cows are dying off. So our adult survival of cows could be a little bit high because of those cows that are dying now, which also indicates that we have a lot of young cows coming on now and we'll probably have really good calf production over the next few years. We already have 40 calves to 100 cows, which is excellent.

42 43 44

45

46

So in a nutshell and very quickly that's all that I had to present on Unimak, so if there's any questions I'd be glad to address those quickly.

47 48 49

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any questions.

MR. KOSO: Della, this is Rick.

3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, was 4

that you Rick.

report.

MR. KOSO: Yeah. That was a very good

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

5 6

1 2

> I got a question. If it comes to a certain point where you start shutting the season down, is that -- if we get into that situation, I never heard you mention that -- I know you mentioned that you would shut the season down, is there a process where you go through where you shut the commercial part of it down for people and then maybe the subsistence part of it kicks in, where, as we did before, have permits for each community. Do you have that in your plan, or do we have to initiate that every year?

18 19 20

MS. PETERSON: That's a very good question, thank you.

21 22 23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

Just in Fish and Game, the Department, that is the way it is set up. So it's not actually written into each plan, that is written into Fish and Game, that as populations decline and the harvest becomes less available, of any species, the first thing that is shut down would be non-residents, and then at certain other levels that are more extreme than that level, as it continues to decline, if it does, then we go to a step system where it may go into a Tier II hunt for a short time, or longer, which is based on a -- as most of you know, you get points -- you can put in for that, you fill out an application and you get so many points for being a local residents, how many years you have lived in that community, how many years have you used that resource for food, et cetera. So it goes from being resident/non-resident, which it may never get to that point in the first place, it may stop at just residents, it may never be opened up to nonresidents, it depends on the situation of the herd, but at any rate if it was, opened up to both, it would go from that to residents only and then down step-wise into local residents and then maybe even be limited on how many local residents. And then it would eventually be closed.

46 47 48

Now, all of those, for a herd like the Unimak herd, all of those steps can take place very

Page 265

quickly. In other words, it may progress from resident and non-resident in the best case scenario and suddenly drop all the way to just total closure, it's a very small herd and things can happen very quickly. So that's one of the reasons that we are looking to have this flexible bag limits set in place there so that we can have a little more utility in applying that tool to that herd and the Southern Peninsula Herd. The Southern Peninsula Herd is certainly much larger, has a lot more space, it also has a lot more harvest and so we -- that actually is better that we are able to get more harvest than none. It gives us the ability to manage there a little bit more quickly.

So, yes, in answer to your question, it is set up to step-wise get from, if it ever got to non-residents, they would be the first ones that would be shut out of that hunt.

Does that answer your question?

2.4

MR. KOSO: Yeah, thank you very much. I got one other question, do you guys monitor Adak or anything out that far?

MS. PETERSON: We don't monitor Adak. I'm glad you brought this up because it did occur to me earlier that there was some questions about out there.

2.7

We do not have the budget to get out to Adak. And Alaska Maritime Refuge does, they are out there so much and they do an excellent job of monitoring the caribou on Adak. They had a couple surveys....

(Teleconference interruption - participant phones not muted)

MS. PETERSON: ....in, I believe it was 2012 and -- I want to say 2015, I'm not 100 percent sure of that year, but I think it was 2015 where they surveyed the entire island as well as the neighboring island to which those caribou have been moving. They had excellent numbers out there. It showed that that herd was decreasing somewhat over the last couple of years, it tends to hit real high points and then decrease, as all caribou do, and then it will climb back again. We do manage according to the numbers that we get from those surveys that they conduct. And we

Page 266

try to -- we try to encourage people to get just cows, or as many cows as they can so that the herd will not increase quite so rapidly or to the levels that it goes, and that is the reason that we have the bull restriction on the hunt on Adak. It's not to make sure that there are big bulls for trophy hunters, it's aimed at encouraging people to get cows so that that will slow down the growth of that herd. Again, it's an island herd. Things happen really quickly on islands and we don't have a lot of control out there, but that's something that we can do.

MR. KOSO: Thank you, very much.

MS. PETERSON: You're welcome and thank you for the question.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Tom.

2.4

2.7

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, I have a question. In last years report on Unimak Herd it says the herd grew from 230 to 330 animals, and I believe I heard you say you estimated the herd this year at 300 animals, so did we take a loss in animals and yet we're showing a cow/calf ratio of 40 calves per 100 cows, so where's the discrepancy there. We went, supposedly from 330 animals last year and now I believe I heard you say you estimated it at 300.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, that's a good question. And the discrepancy lies in the person who's speaking here, I apologize. I didn't have the numbers directly in front of me for our last estimate and so I did say it's somewhere around 300, but, yes, 330 is more exact. And chances are by now I'm sure that has gone up but I have not been out there to do the count yet. We will be going out in about -- well, actually, just a little over three weeks from now we'll be going out and doing our fall count and then I can give you a much better idea.

But, yes, I apologize for fudging a little bit on that number. You're exactly correct, it was at 330.

MR. SCHWANTES: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other.....

MS. PETERSON: You're welcome.

1 2 3

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: ....comments or questions for Chris.

4 5 6

MR. HOLMES: Yes, Della, it's Pat.

7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Chris, thank you for the really swell report there. I think we've gotten more from you in the last 10 minutes than we have from -- gosh, the other groups in years, particularly your population estimates. I don't recall, maybe somebody else does, that we've ever gotten those results of the surveys. When you finish your fall survey if you could relay to us your rough numbers once you get a gross idea and if you'd label them draft then we understand it's draft because it would be really keen for us to keep a little bit better in the know and part of that would help as well as you've done a swell job of identifying the current management plans for caribou in both areas, and if you could relay that through our coordinator, I think that would be really handy to sit down and -- because my wife -- anyway, I just would like to look at it straight out.

25 26 27

And then I'd like -- I wonder if you could speculate on the decreased predation on Unimak Island, both Rick Koso and I can remember when there were 3,000 caribou on Unimak and 2,000 moved north which probably contributed to the genetic spread there, but, you know, the old timers that I have met on the Peninsula used to say rabies controlled the number of wolves and I was just wondering, did you see any incidences of mortality of the wolves or did they just pack it up, or any kind of guess of what's happening out there.

38 39

MS. PETERSON: Thank you.

40 41 42

MR. HOLMES: Thank you.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MS. PETERSON: Yeah, thank you. That's a good question. We do not do any formal wolf surveys unless indicated that we need to when we look at the caribou then we would. And we were slated to put some collars on wolves down on the Southern Peninsula this spring, we were not able to get down there with flying

conditions that enabled us to do the tracking and the fancy flying that it takes to dart a wolf and get it on the ground so that we can put a collar on it. So we were unable to get those on this year. We'll probably still get around to it this next year but it does take special conditions to make that possible.

6 7 8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

1

2

3 4

5

So decreased numbers of wolves that we have seen on Unimak appear to be correlated to the decrease herd size over the last 10 years and then even though the herd has been growing for the last few years, there's always a lag time between when the predators catch up to their prey, in population numbers. And so when the caribou declined to certain low numbers on Unimak, it became probably far more difficult for wolves to find enough caribou to eat. I would speculate that they either starved, which I don't think is terribly likely, it's pretty easy for them to get across to the Peninsula, or they just left and they went where there was more food. And the Southern Peninsula certainly has plenty of wolves. harvest of wolves on the Southern Peninsula has also decreased over the previous few years, it's down very, very low. I don't have the numbers right in front of me but I've watched it for years now and it's just steadily declined. We don't have people -- we either don't have them reporting or they're not going out and harvesting wolves.

28 29 30

But we still see wolves every time we go to the Southern Peninsula.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

It is possible that there's some disease going on there. There were some reports this past year from just north of King Salmon and west of some problems with rabies, possibly, I'm not sure that it was ever verified that that's what it was, but there was some -- there was something going on there. And that could have -- it could have gone all the way down the Peninsula, but we have not seen it.

40 41 42

43 44

45

46 47

48

We do -- as I said, every survey we do we keep an informal tab on the numbers of wolves and bears we see. Over the past five years we've seen, with brown bear in particular, we saw significant decrease, from our informal survey, there is still plenty of brown bears, it wasn't something that we needed to go investigate further. If it had continued we would have. But then it turned around and over the

past two years we've seen an increase. So some of these are just simply natural cycles that populations go through. It's probably related to presence or absence of food, it could be related to disease. Could be related because they don't like their pack leader and they just leave or get killed, they do kill themselves a lot -- each other, I should say. And we see that a lot with wolves, as well as bears.

So there's things going on there that sometimes we don't have an in-depth enough survey going on to determine the cause but we can see the trend and the trend will tell us if we need to get into it in more depth and determine the cause.

So hopefully that gives you a little understanding there of what we do on the bears and the wolves.

2.4

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, thank you. That kind of was what I was guessing at. I used to tease Lem Butler that when I was doing the Fish and Game projects on salmon on Unimak Island in '84 that I probably caused the whole decline of the herd by shooting a rabid wolf out there, not a wolf, but a rabid fox.

(Laughter)

MR. HOLMES: I'll try and dig out a topo map and I'll give you a sketch if you do end up going out there, I know where there used to be, at least years ago, a den, and having watched the wolves there, was quite excited as we're -- oh, I'd call Dick Sellers and he was -- he just thought that was incredible to -- because you hardly saw any wolves out there at that time.

But, anyway, things do change and I appreciate you being so candid with us and explaining more to us. I think your observation on wolf reporting is probably -- have you had a chance to go out to False Pass and give them your presentation, I think that would give them a lot of encouragement and.....

MS. PETERSON: Oh, okay.

MR. HOLMES: .....I'll just be quiet

 now Della.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you for your comments, I appreciate it Pat.

Yes, we keep track of where any dens are that we find, including from back when they did the wolf control around Cold Bay there and north, and we visited all those spots for a week this spring attempting to find a wolf in a place where the wind would permit us to get a collar on it, we didn't see a lot of wolves this spring but we have a lot of reports of people seeing wolves down there over the summer so we know they're still around. You know we'll keep flying and keep looking.

So thanks for your comments, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Is there any more comments or questions for Chris.

(No comments)

2.4

2.7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Chris. The next item on our agenda that we had talked about was actually Unit 10 and the potential of creating a subsistence hunt, which would be having to be done by special action because we missed the deadlines for this year. So I guess with that, we've had some discussion amongst ourself and looking at the figures and limits and I'm not sure if one of the gentlemen here would like to take a try at what maybe we had discussed and maybe look at the Refuge manager and Chris and get their opinion as to what their thoughts are as we move forward, and whether we do a proposal before we leave the meeting today.

Tom.

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, I was just talking to Tom Evans about this special action request and it does say the Federal Subsistence Board may take special action to restrict, close, open or reopen the taking of fish and wildlife on Federal lands. Such actions are taken to ensure continued viability.

My theory is we closed -- this board closed that season a number of years ago and -- at least we put in a proposal to close the Federal subsistence hunt on Unimak Island and that went through. From my perspective looking at these numbers

```
there's now enough animals there for us to reopen that
 1
     season and take a select number of animals.
 2
 3
 4
                     And so what I would be proposing is
 5
     that we reestablish a subsistence hunt on Unimak
     Island, restricted to the residents of Unimak Island.
 6
     It would be a Federal registration permit only. I
 7
     believe we could certainly issue 10 Federal permits to
 8
     harvest 10 animals off that island.
 9
10
                     And so that's kind of where I would be
11
     going.
12
13
                     MR. ROHRER: If that's a proposal I'll
14
15
     second it.
16
17
                     (Laughter)
18
19
                     MR. ROHRER: Is that a proposal.
20
                     (Laughter)
21
22
                     MR. ROHRER: Is that a motion -- excuse
23
2.4
     me, a motion?
25
                     MR. SCHWANTES: Well, I actually hadn't
26
     put it in a motion, but I can do that and make it more
2.7
     formal. Yeah, that's kind of where I want to go, so,
2.8
29
     yeah, I would say that's a motion.
30
31
                     MR. ROHRER: Second.
32
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So we
33
     have a motion on the floor to create a Federal
34
     subsistence hunt on Unimak Island for a limited harvest
35
     of caribou, and it was seconded. So at this point, I
36
     guess, we open the floor for discussion, and I don't
37
     know if Chris or Greg or Tom would like to take a --
38
     okay, Greq.
39
40
41
                     MR. RISDAHL: Madame Chair. Members of
     the Council. We would support that proposal at the
42
     Refuge. That's about all I need to say, I think, as
43
44
     far as that goes.
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Thank you.
47
48
                     MS. PETERSON: Madame Chair, this is
49
     Chris in King Salmon. I -- I -- I had not really put
50
```

any thought into that particular question. I -because of the low numbers that you're going to be
using it would probably be -- I guess I'd be kind of
neutral on that, I don't -- I would not discourage it
but I wouldn't support it necessarily either. We would
probably like to give it another year and see if they
continued to increase as well as they have, but 10
animals is not very many and that's probably fine with
us.

I also did want to say something that I didn't earlier.

2.4

The winter count that the Refuge does down there on Unimak, they have picked the, and not that they wanted to, but they picked the worst possible seasons to get out there on that island and I can understand that they did not always get it accomplished. That survey does become fairly important occasionally when we were unable to accomplish our surveys and that minimum count can be fairly important in those particular years. It isn't every year. So whether or not it's something that they want to continue, I don't know, but there is value to it and I —— I think they do a decent job of getting out there and doing it.

As I said, 10 animals is pretty minimal and -- or 10 permits or whatever -- however you structure it.

So, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, this is Pat.

 $\mbox{\sc MADAME}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hold on Pat, we have Rebecca and then Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Will do.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: I just wanted to understand the timeline of this. So if we take this action today, would this go in front of the Federal Subsistence Board in, what month of 2018, and then when do we think the change would take effect if the Federal

Subsistence Board decided to take action on this?

1 2 3

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Tom.

4 5

> 6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DOOLITTLE: This is Tom Doolittle, Deputy Assistant Regional Director for OSM. obviously we're out of the regulatory proposal cycle for wildlife so it would have to be submitted as a crafted temporary special action that would be good for the duration for the remainder of the upcoming wildlife cycle. And I would recommend that just, you know, between interested parties who decide who can submit it, of course we only -- OSM will only, you know, accommodate a proposal -- not a proposal, but a special action that has been submitted to our office, it will go through the review of validation and then it will go through -- you know, once validated it would go through an analysis and then be presented to the Board. -- we'll have to see what those timelines are once we go through that, but it could be, you know, presented along with our wildlife cycle in April, so that would be something to consider.

23 24

MS. SKINNER: And so if it was put before the Federal Subsistence Board in April of 2018, when would it actually take effect?

26 27 28

25

MR. DOOLITTLE: It could take effect, you know, actually within that following fall.

29 30 31

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: The other thing I think, piece, that you may not have -- there would be a public hearing.....

33 34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

32

MR. DOOLITTLE: Yes, in all temporary special actions there's always a public hearing and availability for the respective agencies, ADF&G, to comment, both in the public hearing and then also in the formation of our analysis, too, whoever's crafting it from the Wildlife Division would contact the appropriate specialists that have been, whether Federal or State, working on that to make sure that there's appropriate data clarity to assure that the right information is presented to the Board for a decision.

44 45 46

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Pat, did you have a question or a comment.

47 48 49

MR. HOLMES: Yes, thank you, Madame

Page 274

Chair. I would be uncomfortable with a harvest of 10. I would think maybe we could framework it in terms of being able to have a subsistence harvest for the folks at False Pass. It's been a couple of years since I talked with Tom Hoblett out there but, you know, the last time they had any caribou is when somebody from Cold Bay gave them a hindquarter or something. And so I think if there is some growth, maybe we should leave it to the -- to Chris to make some reasonable guesstimates and maybe if 10 local permits would yield five caribou or at least something so folks there, or the elders could have some meat, you know, have some traditional food, that's the type of thing that our Council has tried to provide for and I think it would have some really good merit.

So thanks everybody for thinking about

18 this.

I'm done.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

MS. PETERSON: Madame Chair.

MR. KOSO: Della.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I did talk to Tom on Monday when I came over from King Cove, he was on his way to Anchorage so I was able to chat with him a little bit.

Chris.

MS. PETERSON: Madame Chair, this is

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Chris.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you. While you've been discussing this I've just been looking back through our numbers and technically on our management plan we need to get the bull/cow ratio up a little more for a couple of years before we really seriously consider opening a hunt for the State. And I would be far more comfortable in having some time to perhaps get our next survey accomplished before we actually looked at this and said yes we would support taking 10 bulls. I realize that you're talking a minimal take and I'm wondering if you couldn't craft this that it was based on continued increase and perhaps exceeding that

population minimum, you know, 50 percent of the population minimum, which would be 500 animals, which if the increase continues they should hit that in the next two years.

4 5 6

7

8

1

2

3

So I can understand wanting to have this already passed and approved, but perhaps it could be based on -- I would suggest to base it on population and trend in that population.

Rebecca.

9 10 11

Yes, that's -- I guess that's what I'd

12 13 14

15

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,

Chris.

like to say.

\_

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, so I -- I guess with what Chris just said. My interest in seeing this move forward in some way, sooner rather than later, is to create a system and/or a mechanism so that we can quickly respond to changes in the size of the herd, so similarly to comments I made yesterday. I would be concerned if we didn't take action now and then waited and then the time it would take for anything we tried to do at our next meeting, or if we waited until the next game cycle, that we would lose the ability to react quickly if the herd gets too big. So, you know, maybe we can think about adding some language to the current proposal that says up to 10 permits based on, you know, assessment of the herd so that the Refuge manager and Fish and Game have the, I guess, discretion or leeway to confer with each other and come up with a mutually agreed upon plan so creating the structure that would allow a hunt without mandating that one occur.

37 38 39

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,

Rebecca.

40 41 42

43 44

45

46 47

48

Someone, maybe, Tom, can refresh our memory, not only like on the process of having a special subsistence hunt regulated by Fish and Wildlife and how that works, because the reason I want to just kind of refresh our memories because I know we did this on the 9D caribou and it was Federal only until a certain point the population increased and then it was a Tier on the State side plus still the Federal

continued. And I just probably explained the whole thing to everybody.

2 3 4

1

(Laughter)

5 6

7

8

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: But maybe if you can -- is there just -- maybe so everybody understands that better and clearly as we're talking, or setting some sort of guidelines for this.

9 10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. DOOLITTLE: Yeah, there's options and it depends how the, again, how the proposal comes into OSM. This is Tom Doolittle, Deputy Assistant Regional Director for OSM. Yeah, Federal registration hunts, you know, could occur on the Federal public lands with a quota system that's set and also community harvest permits could be issued, for instance, out of the village of False Pass, for instance, and so there would be mechanisms to try to, you know, have it as, you know, prescriptive just to Federally-qualified users on Federal land with a limited amount of permits, and then looking at about what -- you know, what would be, you know, the success and the interest and then, of course, you know, what the science is behind it on what -- you know, what sort of quota would be, you know, could be attained.

26 27 28

29 30 So, you know, I think -- but you're also suggesting is that there's a fair amount of homework that needs to go into the crafting of a temporary special action like this.

31 32 33

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom.

34 35

36

37

38

39 40 MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, I think, you know, when I made that initial information I didn't add in everything I would want to add in. I would certainly want in the proposal that this be based on conservation concerns and harvestable surplus quotas so, you know, it's based on scientific information rather than just throwing it out there.

41 42 43

MS. PETERSON: Madame Chair, this is

44 45

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Chris.

46 47 48

MS. PETERSON: I just wanted to quickly put out there that what we did on this past, on the

49 50 Chris.

6

Page 277

Southern Peninsula Herd -- oh, my phone is really 1 echoing, I'm sorry, I hope that's not bothering all of 2 you. Was as soon as the Southern -- and as you all 3 4 know, the Southern Peninsula Herd crashed about the same time as the Unimak Herd, in some ways it was actually worse, and then the State removed the wolves as Pat Holmes explained earlier and we had a tremendous 7 recovery, it was just -- it was what you want to have 8 happen. And as soon as that herd recovered to where we 9 10 had an appreciable surplus that was we could tell was, you know, could be positive, we turned that over to 11 Izembek and for those first three, or possibly four 12 13 years, we -- we did not put that in as a State hunt, we turned that over to the Refuge and let them administer 14 those permits how they saw fit, and we based all that 15 16 on our numbers and what we were learning every time we went out there, and then the Refuge took that and 17 decided how to distribute those permits amongst the 18 public on the local villages. Only when the surplus 19 got up to a higher level did we open it up as a hunt 20 for the State. And perhaps you could use that in your 21 assessment of how to do this. 22

23 2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8 29

I just keep -- I'm not real comfortable yet with maybe opening it this year or -- I would like to see a little more time for that herd before we set anyone loose in there to start hunting. That was not a good word to use, I apologize for that, that's not at all what I meant, I don't mean set them loose in there, let people go in and begin hunting that herd.

30 31 32

I just would be far more comfortable if we accomplished this next survey and look at the herd then.

34 35 36

33

Thank you.

37 38

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, this is Pat.

39 40

41

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, if you could hold on, Sam's got his hand up and then we'll have you.

42 43 44

MR. HOLMES: Can do.

45 46

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Sam.

47 48

MR. ROHRER: Through the Chair. question, when is the next wildlife cycle? When do we

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm sorry, qo 1 2 ahead, Rick. 3 4 MR. KOSO: Yeah, you know, I'm kind of 5 all for getting this caribou hunt started. It looks like it's going to take us when we put this special 6 7 request in, that we're probably looking at a year anyway before it takes place, and that would give 8 9 enough time for them to do their fall survey and then 10 we get into our January meeting or whenever we're going to have our next meeting and wherever, we'll have this 11 in play and maybe we can act on it then according to 12 13 what the new surveys are and I think that probably should be included into Tom's motion, which I think 14 15 he's going to do. So that would be my stand. 16 17 And I guess I got another guestion, is 18 this going to be for bulls only or is it cows, too? 19 20 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm hearing most 21 likely..... 22 MS. PETERSON: Madame Chair, this is 23 Chris. 2.4 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 26 Oh, go ahead, Chris. 2.7 2.8 29 MS. PETERSON: Yeah, I would certainly hope it would be bulls only. And you're welcome -- you 30 know, you can always base it on numbers and trends and 31 just set the minimum that would trigger a hunt, just 32 set it above that 50 percent minimums, which would be 33 about 500 animals and then it would be a much safer bet 34 to permit some hunting. But, yeah, I would hope it 35 36 would cows only -- or excuse me, bulls only, sorry. 37 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: When we're 38 talking about -- this is Della, timelines, and I'll 39 40 just hypothetically say, we pass a motion to start the 41 process for a special action, I mean nothing's going to really happen on this for awhile. In the interim, 42 43 Chris, you said probably within the next three weeks 44 you're going to be out here doing surveys. Now, maybe by December, January, March, with all due -- you know, 45 with all the stars aligning here, that Fish and 46

47

48 49

50

still in the process there is the work that needs to be

Wildlife will go get their winter survey done, but

done, the biological needs to be done, the paper --

there's things in place that would be put in process, I mean we'd be lucky to have a public hearing by probably March or April of next year, 2018, if it gets passed it -- if it does pass, it most likely will be in the spring meeting, at the Federal Board meeting in the spring, I believe in May, but whatever is put in place isn't going to go into place until August 1 of 2018.

So that's where I'm trying to head with this because if we wait for the wildlife cycle, which we won't start that process until January 2019, whatever is put into place will not go into effect until 2021.

Is that correct?

 ${\tt MS.}$  PETERSON: Oh, that makes perfect

sense then.

2.4

2.7

So with that in mind I would just be careful to base your proposal on numbers and trends and get it in place so that you could open it if it continues as it looks, you know, you could have a hunt within the next year or so. But if you -- as you said, if you put it off then it's going to take another year. So just base it on the numbers and on trends and what we see when we get out there and we will turn those numbers over to you.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,

Chris.

MR. HOLMES: Della.

Tom.

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah....

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  HOLMES: I've been in the que for awhile, go ahead, Tom.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yes, I'm sorry, Madame Chair. But I think we're moving in the right direction. In the past when we've had difficult things like this, the Chair has assigned a few members of the committee to frame the actual wording -- the specific wording of a proposal, going from the guidance of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17 Page 281

Council and I believe where we're at now is basically with blending Tom's wording with something like in anticipation of a continued herd growth on Unimak Island, the Kodiak -- KRAC desires a plan developed biased on the best science to provide for an expedient and limited harvest of caribou bulls on Unimak Island that would be restricted to residents of that community or that island. Something like that that we could spiffy that up a bit and then forward that to the Federal Board. But, you know, maybe we could deal with this in terms of a generalized comment and then, you know, I would be glad to work with Tom on some specific verbiage and bounce that off the Federal Tom and the Federal Greg and then the State Chris to come up with what would be workable so that we can achieve what Becky has so succinctly stated, doing some prepatory work so that we can have this on line if things continue to improve.

18 19 20

Thank you, Madame Chair.

21 22

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

23 24

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

Tom.

25 26

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, just going back to the numbers. My proposal was based on the fact that the numbers we were given last year said there were 330 animals in that herd and that the -- and the numbers from this year showed that there were 33 bulls per 100 cows and 40 calves per 100 cows, so certainly that herd is growing and I think we can establish a subsistence hunt out there.

33 34 35

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Tom.

36 37

(Teleconference interruption - participant phones not muted)

38 39 40

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Somebody's got their mic on, we hear some paper shuffling.

41 42 43

All right, we have a motion on the floor and it's been seconded.

44 45 46

MR. SCHWANTES: Call for the question.

47 48

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Question's been called. All in favor, signify by saying aye.

Page 282 IN UNISON: Aye. 1 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, same 4 sign. 5 6 (No opposing votes) 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried. 8 9 So we have some work to do. 10 I'd like to take a 10 minute break 11 maybe and then we'll move on, does that sound good. 12 should wrap up in the next hour from what's left here. 13 14 (Off record) 15 16 17 (On record) 18 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: The next item on 19 the agenda will be the OSM, Tom Doolittle, and we will 20 continue after that to the Council's annual report, 21 confirming meetings, closing comments and adjourn. 22 23 So we will -- OSM will be the next item 2.4 on the agenda. 25 26 (Pause) 2.7 2.8 29 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: For those of you on line Tom is getting set up so it will just be a 30 31 minute. 32 33 (Pause) 34 MR. DOOLITTLE: Madame Chair and 35 Regional Advisory Council. Tom Doolittle, Deputy 36 Assistant Regional Director for OSM. 37 38 First I'd like to make my sincerest 39 40 thanks to this Regional Advisory Council for the 41 opportunity to report. For the hospitality of the Refuge. It's really been great to have the support of 42 the Refuge and to get out to Cold Bay again. 43 44 community of Cold Bay for this center and involvement, and the public for attending this meeting. And I 45 promise, Della, I'll be brief, and hopefully get you on 46 47 a plane. 48 49 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm on weather

hold.

myself.

1 2 3

4 5 MR. DOOLITTLE: Usually in these reports, as I've been told, one is about Staff changes at OSM. We've had three Staff changes. One has been -- and we've had three hires.

6 7 8

Jennifer Hardin, Christine Brummer and

9 10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

Jennifer was an internal lateral transfer. We had a vacant policy coordinator position and we moved her from the lead of anthropology into the policy coordinator. Jennifer's been a real quick study of ANILCA and is truly an expert at subsistence law and policy. She has her PhD from University of Illinois in anthropology. And she has experience with multi Federal agencies and tribal entities. In a prior life she was an American Indian Liaison and Park anthropologist at Yosemite National Park and her present job within OSM and as the Anthropology Division Supervisor and was an acting Fishery Division Supervisor gave her the breadth of experience to excel at the position of policy coordinator. But since she transferred inside that means that we will have a vacancy for the anthropology lead position that will be coming up and advertised hopefully, one day, soon. And I'll explain that.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36 Christine Brummer, she was hired as a pathways student and while she's attending UAA as an anthropology student. This pathways program is a bridge to permanent Federal employment after school. She was born and raised in Alaska. She reigns from Anchorage. She has two bachelors degrees, one of them is in anthropology and she's pursuing her master's degree in anthropology at UAA.

37 38 39

40

41

42

As many of you know I took Chuck Ardizzone's position and I started in February and I was asked by Staff to give you a very short bio. So starting from the age of five....

43 44

(Laughter)

45 46 47

MR. DOOLITTLE: .....no, I won't do that to you because I know that Della has to get on a plane.

Page 284

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm not going anywhere, we're on weather hold.

5 I....

MR. DOOLITTLE: Oh, okay. Well, then

(Laughter)

MS. DEATHERAGE: Start at the age of

two.

2.4

MR. DOOLITTLE: So anyway I started my career with the National Park Service, you know, after I got out of diapers. And then working for 12 years --later after that I worked for 12 years as a Fish and Wildlife biologist and program supervisor, as a law enforcement supervisor and game warden for the Bad River Band of Lake Superior Tribe Chippewa Indians. This included the reservation, Western Lake Superior tribal commercial fisheries on Lake Superior and home use fisheries and the seated territories of Michigan, Wisconsin and Minnesota. So sometimes the reservations and jurisdiction are quite a bit different and large and different sets of laws in the Lower 48 than in Alaska.

Then I came and I was hired by Michael Reardon at Yukon-Delta National Wildlife Refuge as a supervisory wildlife biologist and later became the Deputy Refuge Manager at Yukon-Delta where I was stationed in Bethel. After seven years in Western Alaska I went back to Wisconsin to reconnect with five new grandchildren and conducted a short-tailed grouse restoration project for the US Forest Service and once I had that program secure and running I went to the Tongass National Forest supervising the fish, wildlife subsistence and watershed program on Prince of Wales Island in Southeast Alaska.

And then through the shuffle starting in December I accepted my position at OSM.

 So it's really good to really be back working primarily with subsistence and it's something that I've done, really whether I was at Bad River working for the tribe or here for the last 35 years, so it's just been an honor to come to you and to be able to serve the public and the Board and the RACs.

We also have had one other Staff member, Palma Ingles, has retired as an anthropologist and Don Rivard has retired from our fisheries Staff after 32 years of service.

So we are -- and then last, but not least, Sabrina Schmidtt at our front desk, her husband was reassigned to a duty station in Las Vegas so we've lost one of our front desk Staff. So we're down four positions and essentially as you listened to Greg we're in a hiring freeze. We will attempt also to get waivers but they need to go to headquarters in Washington, D.C., as he explained, so it doesn't matter whether you're OSM or Refuges or Migratory Birds, we're all under the same constraints for hiring people. And if I'm really going to be optimistic it's going to at least take a year to see many of these positions And -- but sometimes the process changes filled. quickly and we can see things happen quicker than we think.

2.4

2.7

We also, you know, again, with changes of Administration, as you might know, that the Board had approved the fishery regulations back in January, we're still waiting for Interior to approve those regulations to be put in the Federal Register. There's been heavy scrutiny of all regulations passed within the last 90 days of the past Administration and so this review has taken a long time. The regulations are at the printer and need to be in the Register before we can print or essentially we cannot enforce the regulations. All previous regulations are in effect since the new regulations are considered revisions of past regulation.

On the positive side is that OSM is that we have the wildlife cycle and the RACs are meeting and our Board can meet and so, again, to have a wildlife cycle it had to be put in the Federal Register and go through the formal process so parts of the Program are functioning as usual and so I am happy about that. So not all of it has stalled.

The other part of the good news is that the preliminary budgets, at least for OSMs program in the coming year looks about the same as last years. The President's budget and the recently passed House budget for subsistence looks very similar for both the Forest Service and Fish and Wildlife Service for next

year. It's still contingent on the Senate and the President for a go on our final budget and we are on a continuing resolution basically spending about a percent below last years funding level until early December when, hopefully, the Senate will act on a final budget.

There is still the possibility of large cuts but so far so good of our base operations. We have had a lot of support from representatives and the public for the Federal Subsistence Program and what we do in rural Alaska and so that's really assisted our bottom line and all of you have helped us in what I consider to be one of the most important of the programs that I've been involved with within my career.

I'd like to thank our Staff, who's put together lots of these meetings, they just have been a real joy to work with and a can-do group of people, our partners, agencies, the RACs and the public as a whole.

2.4

2.7

As I stated yesterday we are a bottom up program. You all are part of one of the world's best examples of wildlife and fishery management.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$  at this time I'm available to answer any of your questions.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Tom. Do we have any comments or questions for Tom at this time.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I told them they can't raise their hand.

(Laughter)

MR. DOOLITTLE: Della, love you man.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Well, thank you.

MR. DOOLITTLE: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: If it's okay with the Council, I had a request to move Leticia

Melendez up -- or from -- to add her from Izembek to talk a little bit about the migratory bird calendar before we move on.

MS. MELENDEZ: Good afternoon, Madame Chair and Council. My name is Leticia Melendez and I'm the Deputy Refuge Manager at Izembek. And that's L-E-T-I-C-I-A M-E-L-E-N-D-E-Z.

REPORTER: Thank you.

MS. MELENDEZ: So I just wanted to share how we do the Migratory Bird Calendar Contest. As part of the report that Greg gave we did talk a little bit about some of the outreach programs and one of the ones that have been really fun to work on since I've been employed with Izembek has been the migratory bird calendar contest. And if you all are not familiar with it I'll just give you a little bit of an insight on that.

2.4

2.7

2.8

The migratory bird calendar contest is a contest that includes the adjacent communities, which would be for us, our participating communities; King Cove, False Pass, Nelson Lagoon and Sand Point, Cold Bay when the school was open was also a part of the migratory bird calendar contest, but as of April 2015 when it was closed it enabled for the entry for the kids, however, they do have home school entries and so I'm a little bit excited about that. This coming year we're going to start facilitating those entries.

So I had Karen Deatherage pass out our 2018 migratory bird calendar entries and statewide winners. So if I can ask the Council and those on the line if they wouldn't mind just for a moment taking the time to envision the kids, the eyes of the kids and how they felt when they did this last migratory bird calendar contest and the theme for it was called:
Migratory Birds Bring Me. We have different age groups that are included in this contest. That's from K2, 3-5th, 6th-8th and 9-12th. We have posters and literatures that are submitted in and have winners for both of those entries. So some of the real interesting and insightful entries we got from the students included:

Migratory Birds Bring Me:

_			
		Page	288
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 42 43 44 44 45 46 46 47 48 48 49 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	From left to right.		
	Eggs and babies		
	Science		
	Songs		
	Peace		
	Summer		
	Outside fun		
	Ideas		
	Beauty		
	Bliss		
	Great interest		
	Elegant hello		
	Peace		
	Норе		
	New skills		
	Eggs		
	Serenity		
	Beautiful songs		
	Joy, peace, happiness		
	Wonder		
	Beauty		
	Peace		
	Music		
	A wild imagination		
	Tidings of spring		
50			

Page 289 Excitement 1 2 3 Opportunity 4 5 Inspiration 6 7 Stories 8 9 Joy 10 Intelligence 11 12 13 Ocean beauty 14 15 Joy 16 17 To me, that right there is an inspiration from the kids that are participating in the 18 migratory bird calendar contest and it's just an 19 amazing and fun outreach program. 20 21 The next year's program is going to 22 have the theme Birds Bouncing Back Conservation Works. 23 2.4 25 So this next year what we will do is we will start submitting our theme to the schools, the 26 local schools that will be participating and the 2.7 teachers will work with the students and help them 2.8 29 understand the importance of the migration, even some of the experiences that they experience as children 30 when they're out in the outdoors and cultural 31 experience as well. And once we get those posters in 32 and literatures in we have those submitted into the 33 Refuge and at that time we have some of the locals do 34 an actual judging contest and we pick the best, out of 35 each grade category, and then we have a manager's 36 choice, which in this case Greg would actually pick a 37 manager's choice and then it's submitted to the State, 38 where they do a statewide contest and thereafter, once 39 40 the statewide winners are chosen those entries go into 41 the new calendar which would be the calendar for 2019. 42 43 And so the total poster entries for 44 Izembek for 2018 was 51 posters and nine literatures. And we're hoping to get some more home schooled entries 45 46 this year. 47 48 We have an SEA that came through and 49 started working with us in the maintenance arena and he

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16 Page 290

has expressed some interest in the public arena, public outreach arena and he's going to be working with Sara Griffith at the Alaska Maritime -- or Alaska Peninsula -- correct -- Alaska Peninsula and they're going to be doing a migratory bird calendar contest workshop and they're going to facilitate this new theme for 2019 which is Birds Bouncing Back, Conservation Works, and they're going to go out to the schools and implement this and help them understand the importance of the migration and conservation and how conservation can bring birds back and help them put that on paper, whether it be through a poster or whether it be through literature and then he's going to bring back that program after they work together on it and we're going to try and implement that here locally for the Cold Bay kids that are home schooled and in addition to the other communities that we work with.

17 18 19

So we're really looking forward to implementing that.

20 21 22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

Then the next year at the December timeframe we'll get those calendars and distribute those calendars and we distribute about 18,000 of those calendars once we get them. So it's an exciting outreach program that we work with the communities on. There are other Refuges that are part of this Alaska migratory bird calendar contest that include Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska Peninsula/Becharof National Wildlife Refuge, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, Koyukuk/Nowitna/Innoko National Wildlife Refuge, North Slope Field Offices, Selawik, Tetlin, Togiak, Yukon-Delta and Yukon Flats all National Wildlife Refuges that participate in the migratory bird calendar bird calendar contest.

36 37 38

Thank you.

39 40

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Leticia. Is there any comments for Leticia.

41 42 43

Coral.

44 45

46 47

48

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes. I just want to thank you guys for being involved in this out here. It's a fun little program. I had the pleasure of judging in Kodiak. And I also want to note that there's two Old Harbor students on here that made the

statewide managers prime picks. So we want to say good job to Old Harbor.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

1

I just wanted to share one of our favorites in the room was -- so they did Migratory Birds Bring Me, and I believe it was a young man maybe did a Migratory Birds bring me soup, and the picture was great and we all just loved it. But it was a lot of fun judging and there was also -- in the literature department, we all talked about what an incredible amount of information that these kids were conveying. So shout out to the teachers and the people who are involved in this, that also were giving a lot of information, the kids are really learning a lot.

14 15

Thank you.

16 17 18

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

MS. MELENDEZ: Thank you, Coral, for

Also if I may add, Madame Chair and

19 20

Council. The award that Della presented yesterday by

that.

Tom Doolittle actually had some of that artwork from one of the students, Nichole Gould, who was also in the migratory bird calendar contest, so these talents are very skilled -- these kids are very skilled and it's very interesting and inspiring to see what kind of artwork and literature that they can produce so we're happy to do this program.

29 30 31

Thank you.

32 33

34

35 36

37

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. kids, I know, in King Cove, get pretty excited about it and they bring it home for homework and they do them and it's pretty amazing how artistic they are and their imagination. It really tickles me to see what they put on paper.

38 39 40

Thank you.

41 42

MS. MELENDEZ: Thank you.

43 44 45

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Annual report, Council. I think we kind of talked a little bit about this. So I guess it starts on Page 52 in this book.

47 48

46

I'm thinking, and correct me if I'm

wrong anybody but if I go down this list, the Council meeting Unalaska, that's not something we're going to do anything with, that's done.

3 4 5

6

1

2

You got issue number 2, Council coordination, that was recognizing Karen, I believe that's been completed.

7 8 9

10

11

Number 3, the NWRS resource technicians, the RITs Izembek, Tonya and Kodiak, is that something this Council wants to make sure that we maintain in the future, to leave that one on there.

12 13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Madame Chair. is something I had on my list for items to include in the annual report. I wrote down RIT and research biologist at Kodiak and also biologist or research biologist, however that's called, at Izembek. But I think those could either be combined into one paragraph or split out, Kodiak versus Izembek, or put the RITs in one paragraph and the research biologists in another. But I think that this -- it is important that we emphasize to the Board, and then I'm sure they'll forward our requests on to the appropriate agencies that these are both very important functions that we need at the Refuges.

26 2.7

Thank you.

2.8 29 30

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca, that's correct.

31 32 33

34

35

Number 4, was final rule on nonsubsistence take of wildlife on Alaska National Wildlife Refuges, is there something -- I don't think we need to do anything on that. That's over with.

36 37 38

Number 5, Emperor geese update, do we want to keep up with that.

39 40 41

Melissa.

42 43 44

I think that with the new MS. BERNS: hunt I would like to see it on there as a priority to keep updated as harvest information is available. It's just such a new hunt that's good to keep abreast of.

46 47

45

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

48 49

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, this is Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: I think it's important for us to continue with the philosophical statement or the intent to try to get that spring season changed, they

basically said, gee it's going to take a long time, but I think we should keep poking away and have a continuing statement that we feel that that needs to be addressed and if it can't be done through them, I know Coral has -- and the Sun'aq folks and it'd be good for the other tribes in our region to weigh in on AMBCC to try to change some of those treaties and things because I remember back when I was in high school, '60/61 or whatever, when the fall/spring hunt was so contentious and, you know, it took years for it to evolve to having any kind of spring hunt. But when they established those parameters for when it would occur, it was basically done for Western and Northwest Alaska and, you know, our RAC region was pretty much not even considered at the time. So I think we need to keep batting away on that one.

23 24 25

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Thank you, Madame Chair.

26 27

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

28 29

Rebecca and then Coral.

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43 MS. SKINNER: I don't disagree that we should keep the Emperor goose issue, well, specifically the change in the season dates, that that is important to the region, my concern is that I don't think that issue has been fully vetted through, at least, the Kodiak -- the regional AMBCC subcommittee, however you call that, and I guess I have hesitation at this Council here today putting forward a recommendation to change something when it hasn't even been through the more region specific committees that actually are in the AMBCC reporting chain, or however. And Coral might have more comments on this. So I would prefer to not have it in our report until the regional groups actually have a chance to talk about it.

44 45 46

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

47

Coral.

KODIAK-ALEUTIANS RAC Page 294 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, I.... 1 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hold up Pat, 4 I'll have Coral and then you. 5 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, that's fine. 6 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral. 9 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I guess, I mean 10 I'm okay with leaving this in but I also want us to 11 recognize that maybe what we need to do is in response, 12 the Board forwarded our concerns to the US Fish and 13 Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Program, and so maybe 14 that's the direction we need to go, is to there and to 15 the AMBCC, but I don't mind leaving this in. But if we 16 really are looking for a response or to do something, I 17 think that's the direction to go. 18 19 20 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Coral. 21 22 23 Pat. 2.4 25 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I agree with Coral. That's the direction I was going to go. Because we did 26 raise the question before it really got discussed a lot 2.7 at the AMBCC, but I think everyone's pulling in the 2.8 29 same direction so I think Coral's comment, if we could develop a generic phrase along those lines I think that 30 that would be good. Because we need to -- or in my 31 mind let the Federal Board know and all the other 32 agencies that are involved in this that Kodiak and the 33 Alaska Peninsula/Aleutians were kind of left out and 34 they need to address that. 35 36 37 Thank you. 38 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Melissa. 39 40 41 MS. BERNS: No, it's covered. 42 43 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, she says 44 it's covered. 45 All right, the next item was non-rural 46 47 determination and I do believe that's been resolved. 48

49

50

Number 7 was funding for cattle and

caribou removal on Alaska Maritime. I believe that, at this point, has been resolved.

So adding new items.

MS. BERNS: Izembek turnover should be

on there.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, yes, one of the things we kind of added in our discussion I thought would be -- I think the -- and having some discussion also with Tom and Greg and then in my -- in talking earlier I think is looking at the retention and ways we can try to get people -- the recruitment and getting people hired and, you know, one of the things too is working closely with the city, you know, trying to get people that actually have children, if they're interested in getting the school opened, you know, work closely with the community in trying to figure out how we can get things in place so hopefully your family will move here Greg....

(Laughter)

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: ....and you'll be here longer than one year.

But just something -- you know, not negatively, but how we can possibly enhance or be able to promote so that's achieved. And I think all the positions in the Refuge, they're so important and when we lose them it has an impact on what everybody does.

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: I would like to see an item added about the better outreach for the consultation process. In my initial comments yesterday I noted that I had called into the tribal and the ANCSA consultations and the participation -- and, again, there were multiple regions so not just the KRAC, maybe there were four or five different regions that were on a call, and the participation by tribes and ANCSA Corporations was very, very sparse, I mean maybe one for each of the calls, and they had tribes on one call and ANCSA on the other call. It seems like it's a really good opportunity that -- I would really like

tribes and corporations to take advantage of and for whatever reason they either don't see the value of it or they didn't know about it or they don't understand what the point of it is or how it works. So I would like to see better outreach and education about that process.

6 7 8

1

2

3

4

5

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,

9 Rebecca.

10 11

Any other items that the Council would

12 like to add.

13 14

(No comments)

15 16

17

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom, did we kind of generally talk about making sure the caribou stays on this.

18 19 20

MR. SCHWANTES: I'm sorry, I didn't

hear you.

212223

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

32

33

34

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Did we not -remember last year we were talking about making sure -it was after we had already did our letter, but to
also, I think to list how important monitoring,
continuing on the work on the caribou in Units 9D and
10 remain a part of our annual report, the importance
of it.

29 30 31

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair. I was going to include information on that along with the request for the biologist at Izembek and the ability for the biologist to get out and survey the caribou so that's what I have listed.

35 36 37

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

38 39

MS. DEATHERAGE: As well as waterfowl.

40 41

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

42 Rebecca.

43 44

45

46

MS. SKINNER: So I'll bring this up here. I can see the value of including it in the annual report, but maybe it's more appropriately handled differently.

47 48 49

I would like to have some sort of

Page 297

communication or note thanking all of the agencies for their participation and information that they provide, but also requesting or reminding the agencies how important it is to have materials submitted in our books. So if there is a deadline to submit written materials, that they endeavor to meet that deadline. If they can't meet the deadline for the book, then at least submit written materials prior to the meeting so that when we get to the meeting we have the written information.

 And, today, we heard a lot of data and statistics from a couple of the presenters and it's a lot easier, at least for me, to really digest that information if I can see it on a piece of paper and not just keep track of all the numbers that are being given verbally.

2.4

The reason I think this could be in the report is that if the Federal Subsistence Board sends the communication to the agencies I think that could carry more weight, otherwise, perhaps it could be taken care of through a letter from the Council. But having the information and having it in a timely manner is very important to this process.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any

other items.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, this is Pat

here.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: I concur with both of Becky's comments there on outreach and consultation. I usually try to call folks in the villages, I don't always get it done, or send emails, and the one little bump back I got from an area is that they saw it in the paper but I wondered, do these notices do they go to the individual tribes and to the individual corporations. I'm glad that Tom Lance was able to be here for part of it for Sun'aq, but, you know, something's lost.

And I think the written materials idea is exemplary, to have that, because particularly, you

know, Karen is really good on forwarding that down so I could get it while we're down here for family things and I think that's swell.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

1

2

One of the notes I had somewhere I had enforcement and that was something we were chatting about earlier, a recommendation for provisions for enforcement at Cold Bay and the Peninsula but I don't know exactly. I'm afraid my brain is fading.

10 11

Thank you.

12

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, I don't know whether it needs to go in the annual report or not but it might be a good idea to put it in there. But one of the things I would certainly like to see is, you know, when we have these big issues we're dealing with, like this caribou issue, boy, I'd sure like to see those people sitting at the table here instead of trying to communicate over the phone because you just -- you know, we just lose so much and you lose that ability to interact. So I'd sure like to see them sitting here at the table.

2.4 25 26

> MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other items. Karen, do you got all that.

2.8 29 30

2.7

MS. DEATHERAGE: I've got it all. Madame Chair, yes, I do, thank you.

31 32

33

34

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Karen. The next item on the -- oh, I'm sorry, go ahead.

35 36 37

Coral.

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

MS. CHERNOFF: I quess one more thing. I guess I would like to -- I know when we went to the All Councils meeting we had talked about how important we felt like all that training was that we got and I think we had talked about it a little bit afterwards, but perhaps requesting training more frequently for new members in order to understand the process, understand what are their responsibilities on this Council are, what are their responsibilities, what are not their responsibilities. Sort of how things move through, how processes move through, maybe an introduction to Staff.

Maybe having the training in Anchorage, where I assume all the Staff is mostly. I know it makes a big difference when I, myself, can meet Staff and know who Staff are.

4 5 6

1

2

3

But I think it's really helpful in understanding and being more efficient in this whole process.

8 9 10

7

Thank you.

11 12

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Karen.

13 14 15

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair, with your permission, I'd like to respond to Coral's recommendation.

16 17

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

18 19 20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MS. DEATHERAGE: In the past what the Council generally -- or what the Council coordinators generally did was give a one to two hour training for any new Council members that joined and we would do it on site at the actual Council meeting, wherever it was held. Last January, for the first time, we did an intensive two day training for all new Council members that were just appointed by the Secretary of the Interior for our Regional Advisory Councils. fantastic. We didn't have any new members from the Kodiak Council so nobody was there for that. We're hopeful that we will be able to continue to have the funding and the capacity to do that because it was very productive and as Coral said, it's really great to meet all the Staff and to learn about the different aspects we have in our office, as well as just the whole Federal system in general and how to, you know, operate within that system.

37 38 39

Thank you.

40 41

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom.

42 43

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, along with that, has there been any planning or thoughts about another All Council meeting?

45 46 47

48

44

MS. DEATHERAGE: Member Schwantes, through the Chair. We were looking at possibly having one every five years. It is a huge expense to bring in

everybody but the payoff, we thought, was tremendous. But right now we're on a five year schedule and with the current budgets, they may get better, they may not get better, but it's certainly what we are looking for, is within a five year period after the last one, to have another.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: I'd like to add an item to the annual report related to sea otters. We keep hearing that sea otters are a problem. And maybe if we phrase it in terms of could the Federal Subsistence Board let us know what are some logical steps we can take. I understand otters are not under the Federal Subsistence Board, however, multiple regions, multiple RACs have brought up sea otters, so perhaps there's an opportunity to have some kind of joint discussions or joint working group or something with other regions.

2.4

2.7

 The other thing that I think would be useful for the next -- for the February meeting is to invite representatives from the Sea Otter Commission, whether it's actual commissioners or if it's Staff that support the Commission to come in and talk about what they do, their current status, current commissioners and help us better understand what -- how we could better interact or work with the Commission.

And also it's been a few years since we've had a presentation on the sea otter population. I think a big part of that was, was it McCrea who did the -- he was a biologist that did the sea otter numbers and then he left and I don't know that, around Kodiak, that that biologist was replaced, so we haven't had actual data on the populations or harvest surveys. So as far as I know we haven't seen data on sea otter harvest around Kodiak.

So I don't think that this is a fully formed, this is what we're asking the Federal Subsistence Board to do, but I do think keeping it on the radar that it is an issue and then lining up some presentations and information for our February meeting would help move us in a productive direction.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Karen

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame Chair. Member Skinner, if you recall this was requested too at the last meeting in Kodiak but because we met in Cold Bay we thought it would be more appropriate to hold presentations on sea otters in Kodiak in the winter, so there are plans to bring in individuals to look at data and harvest surveys as well as the members of the Sea Otter Commission, to invite them to speak to the Council on the issue. So we're definitely planning on bringing that to the table.

But I also think, the annual report, is generally a report to the Board on issues that are outside the regulatory issues, so it is perfectly appropriate and if you want me to I will put this in the report to let them know that you are seeking to bring this issue to their attention.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, this is Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I would like to add on to Rebecca's comments there and I think you could add in, Karen, a brief anecdote that it's been about five or six years we've been trying to -- had hoped to have some research on sea otters and crab in Womens Bay, which was the last area (phone cuts out) king crab, and I think you could safely say and Tom would probably support me, those sea otters have basically eliminated the dungeness and the king crabs from that bay and so it's no longer a problem because they ate them. And that's just sort of a little story footnote for the reinforcement for getting together with them.

Thank you, very much.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Melissa.

MS. BERNS: Yeah, I would like to talk in support of that as well. It's been a continued issue that's been brought to our attention, not only for Womens Bay but the other villages on the north and the west side of the island, members of those communities, Larsen Bay, Port Lions and Ouzinkie, they

have to go much further to subsist for shellfish. A lot of the clam beds have pretty much been wiped out due to the sea otter populations. And so it's a big concern for subsistence users.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Is that

(Council nods affirmatively)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I think

12 so.

good.

We'll go ahead and move on to confirm winter 2018 meeting dates. Is there a calendar I could look at in here.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair. The calendar is on Page 82 in the meeting book, I hope.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MS. DEATHERAGE: That's 83. The Council is currently scheduled to meet February 21st and 22nd in Kodiak.

2.4

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Sam, go ahead.

MR. ROHRER: I would love it if we could move those dates. That's right during the Board of Game meetings and so that might affect at least having some Kodiak -- well, it could affect having some Staff there but the Board of Game meeting is the 16th through the 23rd. I'd prefer to have this meeting the next week or earlier.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: I wonder could we, going off Sam's thought there because I know a lot of the Staff will be gone for that week and then they'll probably be important to our discussions on the updates on the Peninsula caribou, and just on a personal basis, it's really tough to teleconference and that's the week of my, I think, my granddaughter's birthday and if it were moved to a little later in the month that would be really handy to be able to go out and see here.

So, thank you. 1 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom. 4 5 MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, I would certainly agree with moving it back a week. Because this will 6 7 probably interfere with my ability to be here due to my work schedule on the Slope so if we could move it back 8 a week it would be much better. 9 10 MR. KOSO: It looks like the 15th and 11 16th, that looks like a good time, Thursday and Friday, 12 13 I don't see anybody there. 14 MS. DEATHERAGE: 15 Madame Chair. 16 realize people are looking for the following week, we already have two Council meetings scheduled for that 17 week so we wouldn't have the Staff to support having a 18 Council meeting. The week before we only have one 19 Council meeting and we could do the 14th and 15th, 20 which would allow for anybody who might want to go to 21 the Board of Game meeting that starts on the 16th to 22 attend that meeting. Would that be something that the 23 Council would be interested in. 2.4 25 It's my birthday week so you'll also 26 have to have cake. 2.7 2.8 29 MR. SCHWANTES: Madame Chair. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Tom. 32 33 MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, that won't work for me, I'll be on the Slope. 34 35 36 MR. HOLMES: Well, if any of the other Councils change, it would sure handier for me to have 37 it later, but I can go with the flow and I got my handy 38 flip-phone. 39 40 41 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom. 42 43 MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, if we look at 44 that next week, that first week in March, if you look on the calendar Bristol Bay has their first option for 45 that week but their second option is for the week of 46

49 50

47

48

March 14th, so maybe we could see if they would take

their second option and take that week.

2.7

Page 304

1 MR. ROHRER: Bump Bristol Bay. 2

MS. BERNS: That's an issue for Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Oh, you want me to bump, no Sam is going to do it.

(Laughter)

MR. HOLMES: That'd be swell.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair. I can certainly ask the coordinator to see if a decision has been finalized for that and if not we could sweep in and take the first option, the dates of February 27th and 28th. The only other thing is you could move the current meeting of February 21st and 22nd into the 23rd and 24th. There's nothing to say that we cannot hold a meeting on the weekend but that may be difficult for some people. So that's another option if we can't get that Bristol Bay date.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  HOLMES: Well, how about their second option in March.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair. We could just wait to see which one Bristol Bay takes and if the Council was available both of those timeframes then we'll just slip in on the ones that Bristol Bay is not going to be using.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. And then do we keep in mind is it the ANSEP wanting to tie in their meetings round ours, did I hear that correctly?

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair, you did. And they were looking at February 22nd, so I don't know if anybody is still on the phone but I can certainly touch base with them to see if it would be problematic to reschedule that according to when the Council is meeting.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. The reason I bring that up, I think it's very important for both of our regions, just with that information they had on there, but in any way we can try to coordinate with that would be great.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair. My impression was when they first contacted me about the EarthScope Program was that they were, in fact, trying to tie it in with the RAC meeting so it wasn't tied into something that they specifically had in mind but they were trying to make sure they were there when you were there. So hopefully that still stands and they can match one of those two timeframes.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. So what were the dates then -- what did we decide?

(Laughter)

MR. SCHWANTES: One of the Bristol Bay

 dates.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: And then I guess my question would be then location.

MS. SKINNER: I'm sorry, Madame Chair, can you clarify the dates we're looking at again, our first and second choice.

2.7

2.8

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: The first choice, I understand is February 27th or 28th, which is Bristol Bay's first choice. If they go ahead and take that we'll take their second choice which is March 13th and 14th.

Is that correct?

MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Madame Chair, that's correct.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. And then in Kodiak; is that correct?

MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Madame Chair, that's correct. And that would be particularly important this time because of the EarthScope Program because it will be focused out of Kodiak, plus the sea otter issue.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Then we'll move on to the fall meetings.

KODIAK-ALEUTIANS RAC 9/27/2017 KODIAK-ALEUTIANS SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING Page 306 Tom. 1 2 3 MR. SCHWANTES: If we're at fall I 4 would like to see us keep basically the same dates we have right now, same timeframe, basically, 18th or 19th 5 of September or 19th and 20th of September and I'd like 6 to see us meet in Sand Point, if possible. 7 8 9 MR. KOSO: What about Adak, Tom. 10 (Laughter) 11 12 13 MR. SCHWANTES: Adak's fine with me, 14 Rick. 15 16 MR. HOLMES: Yes. 17 MR. KOSO: I don't think that's going 18 to happen but I thought I'd throw that in there. 19 20 MR. HOLMES: Atka's nice in the fall 21 22 too. 23 MR. KOSO: Sand Point should be fine 2.4 for me. 25 26 MS. DEATHERAGE: I think the Council, 2.7 through the Chair, needs to make a decision and put a 2.8 29 motion out on the dates and location of where they'd like to meet so we can get it on the record. 30 31 Thank you. 32 33 34 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tom. 35 36 MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah, a motion for the fall meeting to be in Sand Point on the 18th and 19th 37 of September. 38 39 40

MR. HOLMES: Second.

41 42

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion made and

second.

43 44 45

Discussion.

46 47

MS. BERNS: Call for....

48 49

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

```
Page 307
                     MS. BERNS: .....question.....
 1
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,
 4
     Rebecca.
 5
                     MS. SKINNER: Karen, can you give us a
 6
 7
     sense of the likelihood of getting a meeting in Sand
     Point since it's not a hub, and I understand in
 8
 9
     budgetary constrained times we're supposed to meet in
10
     the hubs and I'm not saying that should change our
     decision of where we ask to meet but I'd like to have a
11
     realistic sense of where we're probably going to meet.
12
13
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair, Member
14
               Through the Chair. The likelihood is low and
15
     Skinner.
     not just because of budget, because the other issue
16
     that we're seeing that will possibly is travel
17
     restrictions, sometimes they will put caps on our
18
     travel. And so in that same thought, though, I think
19
     that the Council should ask, but be prepared that the
20
     meeting will likely be in Kodiak. Our current
21
     Assistant Regional Director has kind of based meetings
22
     outside the hub once every three years. If you recall
23
     we met in Dutch Harbor, which was not a hub community,
2.4
     and even though Cold Bay is a hub community, it's
25
     extremely expensive to bring the Council here, so the
26
     likelihood in all honesty is not good that we could go
27
     to Sand Point. But I think it's important to go ahead
2.8
29
     and get it on the record and ask for it.
30
31
                     Thank you.
32
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I recommend we
33
     put this on the record and ask for it.
34
35
36
                     For one, I'll tell you, it's cheaper to
     go to Sand Point from Anchorage than it is to go to
37
     Anchorage to Cold Bay.
38
39
40
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                      It is?
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Very -- a lot
43
     cheaper. That being one. And I am going to go into
44
     this again and I've gone into this before, we
     technically have two regions, Kodiak/Aleutians,
45
     basically you're saying -- it's been said that our hub
46
47
     is Kodiak, and I've heard that before. And we've tried
     to make the case that Cold Bay is, mainly Cold Bay
48
```

50

because of the Izembek Refuge, but we also think it's

Page 308

important when we travel to the various villages to get the input from the people that we so need, that benefit and be able to speak to this program.

Karen, you know, I'm not giving you this lecture, you know, this, but I want it to go on record that I -- our winter meeting is Kodiak, our fall meeting is in the Aleutians.

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair. Can we actually -- sorry to go back to the annual report, but could we actually add that to our annual report, something about either -- I doubt we'll eliminate the concept of hubs, but let's throw it in there, or as a topic to identify what our hubs should be, because I don't think Cold Bay -- Cold Bay is great, but I don't think -- I mean it's not really where the people are. So I think that should not be considered one of our hubs. If we're going to be stuck with hubs then it should be hubs where we can actually reach the most people.

2.4

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  HOLMES: Well, about -- this is Pat, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: It seems to me about five or six years ago our hub, as far as the Peninsula Aleutians were Sand Point and Cold Bay and then we'd alternate between the two. But since we've had such a big turnover in the Anchorage office, that's definitely shifted or gotten lost.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, I think agree add it to the annual report.

Tom, did you have a comment, did you have your hand raised.

(No comment)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: The recommendation, or the motion has been made and seconded, I believe, to have the meeting the 17th, 18th and 19th in Sand Point and at this point no alternative site has been selected.

MS. BERNS: Do we need to designate an

```
alternative site.
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: I'm sorry, could you
 4
     repeat that please?
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do we need to
 7
     designate an alternate site?
8
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
 9
                                      For?
10
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: For the fall
11
12
     meeting next year.
13
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: So right now you're
14
     planning to put forth Sand Point, and you're more than
15
     welcome to offer an alternative site, if that's what
16
17
     the Council wishes to do.
18
                     MR. HOLMES: False Pass. And then we
19
     can talk about Unimak Island.
20
21
                     MR. SCHWANTES: Madame Chair.
22
23
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Tom.
2.4
25
                     MR. SCHWANTES: I think we need to
26
     decide, as a Council, if we want to go to Sand Point.
2.7
     If we want to go to Sand Point, then I think we should
2.8
29
     put that forward as our place where we want to meet so
     we can meet with our constituents and not put a second
30
     place forward.
31
32
                     (Council nods affirmatively)
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, hearing
35
36
     that we have a motion on the floor that's been made and
     seconded. All in favor signify by saying aye.
37
38
39
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, same
42
     sign.
43
44
                     (No opposing votes)
45
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Please let me
46
47
     know if they are going to have a problem with that so I
48
     know who to call.
49
```

MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair, I can 2 let you know now. 3 4 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. All 5 right, closing comments. 6 7 I think I'd like to thank everyone that's here and especially the community of Cold Bay 8 for having this meeting. It's been awhile since we 9 10 came here. I think we went to Cold Bay and then we went -- well, Sand Point then Cold Bay and then we went 11 to King Cove, we went to Unalaska, Dutch Harbor and now 12 13 we've made our way back to Cold Bay and I think it's very beneficial for us to meet in these communities, to 14 be able to talk to the people face to face and hear 15 16 some of the concerns. And it only benefits us as 17 Council members to do a really good job. 18 19 Angela, thank everybody here and, you know, I told as many people that I can, you know, if 20 they need anything or have any questions I can help, 21 just always let me know or let one of these guys know, 22 we're willing to help. 23 2.4 Izembek Refuge, Greg, I thank you for 25 doing all that you're trying to get done and I hope 26 that you'll be here for awhile and hope we can resolve 2.7 the issue of Staffing. I think it's important. 2.8 29 30 Colton, we're happy to meet you and you 31 were going to get me a card so I know how to get a hold of you. I appreciate that. 32 33 Glenn, glad to see you again, thank you 34 for the fish. 35 36 37 Karen, thank you. 38 I thank the Council for making this 39 40 trip out here and I was glad that some of you that 41 haven't been here before were able to make it and see what this community is like and it's a beautiful 42 community and lots of resources and we're very proud of 43 44 the community in our region. 45 So with that, does anybody else have 46 47 any closing remarks. 48 49 MS. SKINNER: I do, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, it was great to come out to Cold Bay, I've never been here and I know I just made comments about Cold Bay may not be an appropriate hub because there's -- because we don't have a lot of people that live here, but it was great to come out to where Izembek is because I've been hearing reports, you know, from Izembek about Izembek and this really helps me understand what it is is being talked about.

I am really glad that we didn't, you know, rush through our meeting and try to end early because I think we had some really good conversations and I do think being out in this area gives the people that are out here an opportunity to come in and interact with us and for us to just be in a different context and really take the time to deal with the issues and the questions that come up.

2.4

2.7

 I do appreciate the public that participated, that sat through the meetings and that gave comment, as well as all of the agency Staff that sat through the meeting and made comments, particularly on the phone. I tend to be on a lot of teleconferences, I know it is challenging. It's definitely not the same as being in the room, so thank you to everyone who hung on through the phone calls.

And I did enjoy the longest dock ever. We walked out on it, that thing must be a half mile long. But, yeah, that was -- it was very interesting. But Cold Bay was great. It's really beautiful out here and I'm glad that I had the opportunity to come visit.

Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Is that you,

Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, just me. Yeah, I just wanted to thank everybody, too, sorry I didn't make it to Cold Bay, I was looking forward to it, but I guess I'll have to get there next time when we're there, when we do it again. So anyway thanks, it was a great

meeting, all the information that we got from the folks that were on line and present so I just wanted to say thank you all.

3 4

1

2

Thank you, Rick. MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:

5 6 7

Melissa.

8 9

10

11

12

MS. BERNS: I have quite a few little notes here. But for one I wanted to say that it was really a pleasure to come out here and actually see Cold Bay in person. It's a little bit emotional for

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Cold Bay is the roots for my paternal side of my family. My grandfather came here with the Fish and Wildlife Service in the early 1960s and was transferred over to Kodiak after the '64 Earthquake and Tsunami. So this is the roots of my paternal side. I grew up looking at slide shows, seeing all the work that my grandfather did as a wildlife biologist out here, and so -- and this was where my dad grew up, so, you know, it's home.

23 2.4 25

26

2.7

So anyways it was nice to see and actually I wish my dad was here with me, I wanted to bring him but subsistence fishing and filling the smoker and the freezer was more important to him.

2.8 29 30

(Laughter)

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

MS. BERNS: A couple of things that really came out to me throughout this meeting was one with the Maritime Refuge's presentation. I'd like to see their study map on the \*WORS. They said they have a link and a copy or it's all out, it'd be nice to be able to get copies of that to all of us.

38 39

Also really the ANSEP report was really nice to see. We've worked with them probably for a good six years out in Old Harbor so it's nice to actually see what those EarthStations are doing and it would be nice to get more outreach with the rural communities and the students to get involved with that program because it's just a matter of time before we have another event and it'd be nice for people to be more prepared and more aware of the dangers.

47 48

I know that Tundra Swans were brought

up several times and why there isn't a subsistence hunt in 9D. I think that that's something that maybe we need to look at in the future. I've heard that several times from community members that I met while being here.

And I think that it's good for us to note the ptarmigan populations because it's a continual issue on the Kodiak Archipelago but then to hear it out here as a concern, it's something that we should keep on our radar.

I really appreciated Greg's presentation. When he shared about the surveyability study similar -- or what he had done with mule deer, I think that it's something worth looking at with these caribou, and to identify a period of time for conducting these surveys that's best to get the data needed. And from what I hear a lot of times the winter survey is not the best option and there's a lot of challenges in that and so it'd be nice to look at when is actually the best time to conduct those surveys so we can get the best data and make the best decisions.

2.7

I think that, Coral, it was -- last night and just our discussions you brought up that there is a Fish and Wildlife Service book out on introduced species and I think that many of the species that we are looking at on Kodiak Island and dealing with are introduced and also throughout the rest of Alaska and the United States for that matter, and so it'd be nice to have more information on that so we can have a better understanding of the populations and when they were introduced.

I think that is it.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Any other comments from Council members.

Leticia, did you have a comment.....

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, yes, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Well, I can wait until whenever, just don't lose me.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: His phone is

2 ringing?

MS. DEATHERAGE: He said I can wait but just don't lose me.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh. Did you have a comment Leticia.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I did.

MR. MELENDEZ: Yes, Madame Chair and Council. I just wanted to, on behalf of Cold Bay's defense and Karen Deatherage, who organizes and the rest of her group, she did send me a flier informing the public and I posted it in the two most popular areas, the most busiest areas, the store and the airport. Social media is always the best way to go and I should have plugged it into social media, FaceBook, however I did mention it at the city council meeting, but the post office doesn't allow us to post any upcoming events. But I did post it, just to make sure that you all were aware that it was out there.

Thank you.

2.8

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Leticia. People are working all day during the week here, I mean most people are at work so it's understandable.

Pat, did you have a comment.

MR. HOLMES: Yes, Ma'am. First off I'd like everybody there to give Della a big hand and a pat on the back for doing such a swell job in Chairing because it's darn difficult to handle all this stuff but anyway she just did a swell job.

(Applause)

MR. HOLMES: And after that I would like to say that, you know, in all the years I've been involved in this our Council has made some tremendous growth. I think we probably have one of the best ones ever, the introspection and the ability of folks to look through and find the issues and try to solve them for the greater good of folks for subsistence, I think, is just really swell. And I thought both the Federal

```
Page 315
     and State input to our Council was really good and I --
 1
     anyway, I think everybody is just doing a swell job and
 2
     thank you so much and I appreciate being able to be
 3
     part of it, just a small part.
 4
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.
 7
     Everyone, can I entertain a motion to adjourn.
 8
                     MR. KOSO: I make a motion to adjourn,
 9
     Della.
10
11
12
                     MR. SCHWANTES: Motion to adjourn.
13
14
                     MR. SHELIKOFF:
                                      Second.
15
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, motion
16
     made to adjourn. All in favor signify by saying aye.
17
18
19
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
20
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried.
21
22
     Have a good evening everybody and thanks again.
23
                      (Off record)
2.4
25
26
                        (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
2.7
2.8
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

```
CERTIFICATE
 1
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
                                      )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
                                      )
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
 8
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
 9
10
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered ____ through
11
         contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
12
13
     KODIAK/ALEUTIANS FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
     COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically on the
14
15
     26th day of September at Cold Bay, Alaska;
16
17
                     THAT the transcript is a true and
     correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
18
     thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
19
     reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
20
     ability;
21
22
                     THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
23
     party interested in any way in this action.
2.4
25
                     DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 8th
26
     day of October 2017.
2.7
2.8
29
30
                     Salena A. Hile
31
                     Notary Public, State of Alaska
32
                     My Commission Expires: 09/16/18
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```